Evaluation of human dermal fibroblasts directly reprogrammed to adipocyte-like cells as a metabolic disease model
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Abstract

Adipose tissue is the primary tissue affected in most single gene forms of severe insulin resistance, and growing evidence has implicated it as a site where many risk alleles for insulin resistance identified in population-wide studies may exert their effect. There is thus increasing need for human adipocyte models in which to interrogate the function of known and emerging genetic risk variants, yet primary adipocyte cultures, existing immortalised cell lines, and stem-cell based models all have significant biological or practical limitations. In an attempt to widen the repertoire of human cell models in which to study adipocyte-autonomous effects of relevant human genetic variants, we have undertaken direct reprogramming of skin fibroblasts to adipocyte-like cells by employing an inducible recombinant lentivirus overexpressing the master adipogenic transcription factor PPAR\(\gamma\)2. Doxycycline-driven expression of PPAR\(\gamma\)2 and adipogenic culture conditions converted dermal fibroblasts into triglyceride-laden cells within days. The resulting cells recapitulated most of the critical aspects of adipocyte biology in vivo, including the expression of mature adipocyte markers, secreted high levels of the adipokine adiponectin, and underwent lipolysis when treated with isoproterenol/IBMX. They did not, however, exhibit insulin-inducible glucose uptake, and withdrawal of doxycycline produced rapid de-lipidation and loss of adipogenic markers. This protocol was applied successfully to a panel of skin cells from individuals with monogenic severe insulin resistance, however, surprisingly, even cell lines harbouring mutations causing severe, generalised lipodystrophy accumulated large lipid droplets and induced adipocyte-specific genes. The direct reprogramming protocol of human dermal fibroblasts to adipocyte-like cells we established is simple, fast and efficient, and has the potential to generate cells which can serve as a tool to address some, though not all, aspects of adipocyte function in the presence of endogenous disease-causing mutations.
Introduction

Adipose tissue is a critical nexus in the regulation of intermediary metabolism, orchestrating metabolic adaptation to widely fluctuating nutritional demands. It serves not just as an insulin-regulated energy buffer, storing energy-dense triglyceride in times of plenty, and releasing it as free fatty acids and glycerol in times of privation, but also engages in more complex crosstalk with metabolically important organs such as liver, muscle and brain. It achieves this through fluxes of other metabolites and of a wide variety of established or putative “adipokine” hormones, pre-eminent among which are leptin and adiponectin (Rosen and Spiegelman 2014; Fasshauer and Bluher 2015; Cohen and Spiegelman 2016). In keeping with this key role of adipose tissue in metabolic homeostasis, anatomical deficiency or dysfunction of adipose tissue is now known to be the primary abnormality in many single gene forms of dyslipidaemic insulin resistance, and a major contributor to insulin resistance in more complex pleiotropic syndromes (Garg 2011; Robbins and Savage 2015; Hussain and Garg 2016). Growing population-wide genetic evidence has also implicated adipose dysfunction as the mediator of the associations observed among a raft of common genetic variants and insulin resistance (Yaghootkar, Scott et al. 2014; Shungin, Winkler et al. 2015; Kilpelainen, Carli et al. 2016; Yaghootkar, Lotta et al. 2016; Lotta, Gulati et al. 2017). These findings have created a growing need for readily available and robust human adipocyte models in which the effects of natural genetic variation can be studied.

Several murine preadipocyte cell lines, most prominently the 3T3-L1 line (Green and Meuth 1974), have been heavily studied, and have been the major tool in the delineation of the transcriptional network underlying adipogenesis over the past three decades (Rosen and MacDougald 2006; Poulos, Dodson et al. 2010). Some human cell lines also exist, including hMADS cells, isolated from the stromovascular fraction of infant adipose tissue (Rodriguez, Elabd et al. 2004; Bezaire, Mairal et al. 2009), SGBS cells, cultured from an individual with an overgrowth syndrome of undefined molecular aetiology (Fischer-Posovszky, Newell et al. 2008), and ChubS7 cells, primary preadipocytes immortalised with telomerase and HPV-E7
expression (Darimont, Zbinden et al. 2003), however most are either too fragile to permit genetic engineering and clonal selection while retaining differentiation potential, or else recapitulate only poorly key behaviours of bona fide adipocytes, including secretion of leptin, insulin-stimulated glucose uptake, and suppression of lipolysis. Primary cells may be used, but establishing cultures involves invasive biopsy procedures, which are impractical or hazardous in those with lipodystrophy, and the resulting cells may only be studied for relatively short periods before replicative senescence and differentiation failure supervene.

A further option is to utilise stem cells, whether embryonic stem cells (whose use is practically constrained by licensing and ethical considerations) or induced pluripotent stem cells. Protocols for differentiating human stem cells to adipocyte-like cells have been published (Ahfeldt, Schinzel et al. 2012; Noguchi, Hosoda et al. 2013; Lee and Cowan 2014; Mori, Fujikura et al. 2016; Guenantin, Briand et al. 2017), however while this approach may generate cells with close transcriptional and functional similarities to primary adipocytes (Ahfeldt, Schinzel et al. 2012), it is time consuming and relatively labour intensive, taking several months to achieve, whether via reprogramming of primary human cells or via CRISPR/Cas9-mediated editing of an established iPSC (induced pluripotent stem cell) cell line (Ahfeldt, Schinzel et al. 2012). In addition, generation of disease-specific iPSCs is often complicated by factors such as low efficiency of reprogramming, variability in protocols, and the high cost of cell culture. Moreover, cellular changes during the reprogramming process, culture-induced spontaneous differentiation, and differences in genetic background may constrain their use in cellular phenotyping of disease (Soldner and Jaenisch 2012). These disadvantages are often prohibitive, rendering screening of numerous individuals laborious and impractical.

A faster approach to utilising primary human cells is the direct reprogramming to the differentiated cell type of interest, thereby bypassing the generation of true pluripotent cells as intermediates (Vierbuchen and Wernig 2011; Tanabe, Haag et al. 2015). This approach generally exploits knowledge about transcriptional and hormonal regulation of differentiation,
and potentially offers the advantages of fast dynamics and high efficiency, making relatively rapid screening of numerous individuals feasible (Vierbuchen and Wernig 2011). The detailed knowledge of the stereotyped transcriptional network driving adipogenesis that has accrued over the past 25 years, and particularly the dominant role of PPARγ (peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma) as the master adipogenic transcription factor (Rosen and MacDougald 2006), means that direct reprogramming of suitable cells to adipocytes is particularly tractable. Moreover scattered evidence suggest that fibroblasts cultured from human dermis, obtainable by a quick and simply biopsy procedure, include mesenchymal progenitor cells (Feisst, Brooks et al. 2014). We thus set out to assess whether readily cultured human dermal fibroblasts could be driven directly to become adipocytes as a cheaper and more convenient way of studying human disease variants in a disease-relevant cell type.

**Results**

**Direct reprogramming of dermal fibroblasts into adipocyte-like cells**

We first constructed a recombinant lentivirus (pSLIK-PPARγ2) incorporating the longer PPARγ2 splice variant of the PPARG gene, which is highly expressed in adipose tissue (Tontonoz and Spiegelman 2008) (**Figure 1A**). This vector permitted conditional overexpression of PPARγ2 under the control of doxycycline via a third-generation version of the reverse Tet transactivator (rtTA3), which has been shown to have improved doxycycline sensitivity and activity (Das, Zhou et al. 2004; Markusic, Oude-Elferink et al. 2005; Shin, Wall et al. 2006). Western blot analysis showed that PPARγ2 overexpression in pSLIK-PPARγ2-transduced cells was induced 1 day after addition of doxycycline (1 μg/ml) and was maintained strongly in the presence of doxycycline (**Figure 1B**). PPARγ1 was also detected, although at a much lower expression level (**Figure 1B**), however it was not possible to discriminate whether this was due to minor usage of a second PPARG translational start codon in the transduced cDNA, or to upregulation of endogenous PPARγ1 by PPARγ2.
overexpression. Both PPARγ2 and low level PPARγ1 overexpression were rapidly turned off by removing doxycycline from the culture medium, becoming almost undetectable 1 day after doxycycline withdrawal (Figure 1B).

To determine whether pSLIK-PPARγ2-transduced dermal fibroblasts can be directly reprogrammed into adipocyte-like cells, we subjected the stable cell lines to doxycycline induction for 2 days followed by exposure to a standard adipogenic protocol. This consisted of use of an adipogenic cocktail (1 μM insulin, 200 nM rosiglitazone, 1 μM dexamethasone, 0.5 mM IBMX) for 2 days followed by insulin and rosiglitazone at the same concentrations as before for 2 days, with rosiglitazone only for the rest of the culture period (Figure 1C). Doxycycline was included throughout to maintain PPARγ2 overexpression. Morphological changes (loss of typical spindle-shaped, bipolar and refractile characteristics to become rounder and less refractile) were noticed as early as one day after adipogenic cocktail addition and were accentuated on day 2, when the appearance of small lipid droplets was noted. During the course of adipogenic differentiation, lipid droplets continued to accumulate and merge, with most lipid droplet-containing cells containing a dominant lipid droplet surrounded by many small droplets. Nearly homogenous differentiation and lipid accumulation were confirmed by Oil-Red-O staining (Figure 1D). Stable cell lines remained undifferentiated in the absence of doxycycline despite being subjected to the adipogenic protocol (Figure 1D). We observed that the majority of reprogrammed cells which bear a prominent large lipid droplet were still alive at day 70 when cultures were terminated (Supplementary Figure S1).

Quantitative real-time PCR revealed that reprogrammed lipid droplet-containing cells expressed a panel of adipocyte marker genes, including FABP4 (encoding aP2), ADIPOQ (encoding adiponectin), CEBPA (encoding C/EBPα) and SLC2A4 (encoding GLUT4) (Figure 2A), however LEP expression (encoding leptin) was suppressed even compared to the low baseline in skin cells (Supplementary Figure S2A). Expression of brown adipocyte
marker genes was variable, with UCP1 strongly induced transcriptionally, but other genes showed either no increase (\textit{DIO2} and \textit{CYC1}) or only a moderate increase (\textit{ELOVL3}) (\textit{Supplementary Figure S3A}). Expression of aP2, adiponectin and C/EBP\(\alpha\) was confirmed by Western blot analysis (\textit{Figure 2B}), however there was no evidence of UCP1 protein expression (\textit{Supplementary Figure S3B}). Western blot analysis also revealed that insulin receptor and perilipin protein expression were induced in reprogrammed “adipocyte-like” cells (\textit{Figure 2B}), while IGF1 receptor expression was markedly suppressed up to day 8, after which time it gradually returned to a level of expression close to that of undifferentiated cells (\textit{Figure 2B}). GLUT4 protein expression showed no significant change during the course of exposure to adipogenic medium (\textit{Figure 2B}).

Secretion of adiponectin by reprogrammed adipocyte-like cells was determined by DELFIA (Dissociation-Enhanced Lanthanide Fluorescent Immunoassay) in media exposed to cells for 48 hours. Adiponectin became detectable on day 4 and increased up to day 12 after which time it decreased, in good agreement with Western blot analysis (\textit{Figure 2C}). High sensitivity DELFIA showed leptin, in contrast, to be suppressed from a low baseline level to be barely detectable in adipocyte-like cells (\textit{Supplementary Figure S2B}).

It is believed that once the adipogenic programme is initiated, a cascade of pro-adipogenic factors is activated that eventually leads to self-sustaining mutual up-regulation of PPAR\(\gamma\)2 and C/EBP\(\alpha\) (Rosen and MacDougald 2006; Lefterova and Lazar 2009). We thus sought to determine whether the adipocyte-like phenotype were maintained in the absence of exogenous PPAR\(\gamma\)2 expression, as has been reported in stem cell-derived adipocytes (Ahfeldt, Schinzel et al. 2012). Withdrawal of doxycycline from adipocyte-like cells at 10 days of differentiation led to abrupt cessation of PPAR\(\gamma\)2 expression, however, with attendant decreased expression of insulin receptor (\textit{Figure 2D}) and delipidation of adipocyte-like cells (\textit{Figure 2E}).
Insulin-stimulated glucose uptake into adipocytes accounts for only a small proportion of insulin-stimulated glucose disposal compared to skeletal muscle, the major site of insulin-stimulated, GLUT4-mediated glucose disposal. Nevertheless glucose uptake into adipose tissue is important for de novo lipogenesis. Furthermore, as murine 3T3-L1 adipocytes in culture show notably robust insulin-stimulated glucose uptake, unlike primary muscle cells or muscle-derived cell lines, it has been in adipocytes that many of the key studies of the biochemistry and cell biology of insulin-stimulated glucose uptake have been undertaken. The degree of insulin stimulated glucose uptake in human adipocyte cell lines is generally much smaller than in 3T3-L1 adipocytes (commonly less than 2 fold compared to 5 to 10 fold). Despite strong upregulation of insulin receptor expression using the adipogenic protocol in conjunction with PPARγ2 overexpression in this study we did not observe any insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in the reprogrammed adipocyte-like cells (Figure 2F).

Farmer and co-workers (El-Jack, Hamm et al. 1999; Hamm, el Jack et al. 1999) previously reported that although PPARγ overexpression was sufficient to drive both NIH-3T3 and Swiss-3T3 cells to assume adipocyte-like morphology and express key adipocyte marker genes such as Fabp4, insulin-dependent glucose uptake was seen only in differentiated Swiss-3T3 cells. Lack of insulin-dependent glucose uptake in differentiated NIH-3T3 cells was shown to be due to absence of C/EBPα and GLUT4 expression as overexpression of C/EBPα was sufficient to induce GLUT4 expression and insulin-dependent glucose uptake in the resulting differentiated NIH-3T3 cells. We therefore assessed the effect of combining adipogenic induction of skin cells with overexpression of C/EBPα either alone or in combination with PPARγ2 (Supplementary Figure S4A). We observed that conversion into adipocyte-like cells by C/EBPα overexpression alone was inefficient and that the resulting adipocyte-like cells generally accumulated small lipid droplets with no dominant droplet as seen in adipocyte-like cells by PPARγ2 overexpression (Supplementary Figure S4B). Moreover immunoblotting revealed that neither C/EBPα
alone nor C/EBPα with PPARγ2 (Supplementary Figure S4C) enhanced GLUT4 expression in the reprogrammed cells (Supplementary Figure S4D).

A further characteristic feature of mature white adipocytes is the ability to undergo lipolysis with release of glycerol upon stimulation of certain adrenergic receptors (predominantly β3 in mice and α2 in humans) (Wang, Soni et al. 2008). Lipolysis assays demonstrated that the reprogrammed adipocyte-like cells were capable of undergoing lipolysis when exposed either to the adrenergic agonist isoproterenol or the phosphodiesterase inhibitor IBMX. No synergy was observed between treatments (Figure 2G).

Comparison with stem cell-derived human adipocytes

Having demonstrated that adipocyte-like cells derived directly from dermal fibroblasts exhibit some but not all characteristics of adipocytes, we sought to compare them to adipocytes derived from human embryonic stem cells using a protocol closely similar to that previously described (Supplementary Figure S5A). Stem cells were first differentiated to mesenchymal stem cells before acute infection with the same conditional PPARγ2-expressing lentivirus as used in dermal fibroblasts. Adipogenic differentiation was then undertaken in the presence of doxycycline for 16 or 21 days. Like the dermal fibroblast-derived cells the ES-derived cells accumulated neutral lipids (Supplementary Figure S5B), strongly induced a panel of adipocyte-specific genes at mRNA level (Supplementary Figure S6A), as well as adiponectin secretion (Supplementary Figure S6B), and exhibited isoproterenol-stimulatable lipolysis (Supplementary Figure S6C). Also like dermal fibroblast-derived adipocyte-like cells, these cells did not upregulate leptin expression, and although they did exhibit significant upregulation of glucose uptake on insulin stimulation, the increase was modest, at only 1.7 fold (Supplementary Figure S6D). Contrary to previous findings neither the expression of mature adipocyte genes nor the expression of endogenous PPARγ expression were robustly maintained after 1 week of doxycycline deprivation, with
gene expression of all markers falling sharply in all cell lines tested (Supplementary Figure S7). Cellular lipid droplets were preserved after one week of doxycycline deprivation, however (Supplementary Figure S8), unlike in dermal fibroblast-derived adipocyte-like cells.

Reprogramming of dermal fibroblasts derived from patients with genetic diseases

We next sought to determine whether the direct reprogramming approach could be applied to human cells harbouring known mutations associated with Mendelian forms of severe insulin resistance, and whether disease-relevant phenotypic abnormalities could be observed in the resulting adipocyte-like cells. The genetic defects studied, and the clinical phenotypes of the donors, which included varying degrees of lipodystrophy in many cases, are summarised in Supplementary Table S1. We found that cell lines harbouring pathogenic mutations in PPARG, INSR, LMNA, BSCL2, PIK3R1, WRN, BLM, NSMCE2 ALMS1 or PCYT1A all exhibited lipid accumulation upon PPARγ2 overexpression and culture in adipogenic conditions (Figure 3, Supplementary Figures S9 and S10), despite many of these Mendelian disorders featuring some degree of failure of adipose tissue accumulation, ranging from generalised moderate paucity in the case of insulin receptoropathy, through partial lipodystrophy in the case of PPARG and LMNA, to severe generalised lipodystrophy in the case of BSCL2. Indeed, despite the severity of the lipodystrophy associated with biallelic BSCL2 mutations, lipid droplets were indistinguishable in size and morphology from those in wild type cells treated the same way (compare Figure 3A to Figure 1D). Adipocyte marker gene and protein expression (FABP4, ADIPOQ and CEBPA) were also strongly induced in all cells assessed (Figures 3B and 3C), with the exception of FABP4 in LMNA mutant cells. Adiponectin secretion was also detected by DELFIA in culture media (Figure 3D). Induction of insulin receptor and suppression of IGF1 receptor expression, as seen before, were observed in patient cell lines with the exception of the line harbouring biallelic INSR mutations, in keeping with the known effects of these INSR mutations to reduce protein expression of the receptor (Figure 3C). Expression of the pathogenic p.Arg482Trp LMNA variant was shown in the relevant cell line using a mutation-
specific antibody (Figure 3C), while cDNA sequencing confirmed expression of the PPARG and BSCL2 variants studied (Figure 3E). Notably, however, expression of the PPARG mutant was no longer detectable after doxycycline addition, consistent with a high level of overexpression of wild type protein. Reprogrammed fibroblasts from a patient with Alström syndrome and biallelic ALMS1 mutations also displayed absent ALMS1 immunostaining at the centrosome (Supplementary Figure S9).

Discussion

Study of monogenic human disorders has unequivocally established impaired adipose tissue development or adipose dysfunction to be sufficient to produce severely insulin resistant diabetes, dyslipidaemia, fatty liver and ovulatory dysfunction (Semple, Savage et al. 2011). Emerging evidence suggests moreover that a subset of common human genetic variants confer genetic susceptibility to prevalent metabolic syndrome through a similar “lipodystrophic” mechanism (Yaghootkar, Scott et al. 2014; Shungin, Winkler et al. 2015; Kilpelainen, Carli et al. 2016; Yaghootkar, Lotta et al. 2016; Lotta, Gulati et al. 2017). To pursue this notion mechanistically, and to test a growing repertoire of candidate “lipodystrophy” susceptibility genes, requires study of gene variants in a relevant adipocyte or preadipocyte context, ideally with preservation of gene dosage and genomic context, and in a system that is scalable, to permit interrogation of multiple variants.

Primary preadipocytes, or adipose-derived stromal vascular cells (ADSVCs) are obtained by invasive tissue biopsy, show variable but often low differentiation efficiency, and can only be studied for a short period in culture. Mesenchymal stromal cells from bone marrow or other sources can also be differentiated into adipocytes, but similarly have limited proliferative and differentiation capacity (Mauney, Nguyen et al. 2007). Early attempts to generate adipocytes by differentiation of pluripotent human embryonic stem cells and induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) were also inefficient (Taura, Noguchi et al. 2009), but
this approach was refined more recently by using conditional overexpression of PPARγ2 in conjunction with chemical induction of differentiation of iPSC-derived mesenchymal progenitor cells, yielding approximately 90% of cells with white adipocyte characteristics (Ahfeldt, Schinzel et al. 2012). These cells were reported to show stable adipocyte-like characteristics even after the turning off of PPARγ2 transgene expression, and moreover exhibited insulin-stimulated glucose uptake and inducible lipolysis among other characteristics of mature adipocytes. Other reports have shown subsequently that adipocytes derived from induced pluripotent stem cells or embryonic stem cells can maintain adipocyte characteristics for 4 weeks after transplantation into mice (Noguchi, Hosoda et al. 2013), with one protocol reporting development in vivo of well vascularised beige-like adipose tissue, capable of beta-adrenergic-responsive glucose uptake (Guenantin, Briand et al. 2017). Nevertheless these protocols are labour- and cost-intensive, prohibiting ready scaling for study of a wide range of mutations.

Direct reprogramming of somatic cells into other differentiated lineages has been achieved without an intermediate pluripotent stage for several cell types, in general relying on overexpression of key transcription factors previously established to be pivotal for development of the destination cells in question. Indeed, conversion of human dermal fibroblasts into haematopoietic progenitors (Szabo, Rampalli et al. 2010), various neurones [e.g. (Caiazzo, Dell’Anno et al. 2011)], cardiomyocytes (Nam, Song et al. 2013), osteoblasts (Yamamoto, Kishida et al. 2015), endothelial progenitor cells (Van Pham, Vu et al. 2016) and Schwann Cells (Sowa, Kishida et al. 2017) has previously been described. The power of PPARγ2 as the “master regulator” of adipogenic differentiation (Tontonoz and Spiegelman 2008; Letterova, Haakonsson et al. 2014), makes this approach potentially appealing for adipocytes also, as illustrated by early studies in which its overexpression was used to turn mouse embryonic fibroblasts into adipocytes (Tontonoz, Hu et al. 1994).

We demonstrate that conditional expression of PPARγ2 in lentivirally-transduced cells combined with a conventional adipogenic induction cocktail swiftly induced accumulation of
large lipid droplets, as well as inducing expression of a panel of white but not brown adipocyte-specific genes, and high levels of adiponectin secretion. Another change in gene expression relevant to metabolic disease modelling was a marked increase in insulin receptor protein expression with concomitant downregulation of IGF1 receptor expression. Reprogrammed cells also exhibited isoproterenol or IBMX-stimulated lipolysis, in keeping with functional properties of mature white adipocytes.

Despite recapitulating some key aspects of adipocyte morphology, gene expression and function, however, the reprogrammed cells failed to recapitulate some important adipocyte behaviours relevant to at least some disease modelling. Thus, no insulin-stimulated increase in glucose uptake was seen in the adipocyte-like cells despite high levels of insulin receptor expression. Consistent with this, induction of GLUT4 protein expression was weak whether or not C/EBPα, which was previously suggested to induce GLUT4 expression (Hamm, el Jack et al. 1999), was also overexpressed. The reprogrammed cells in this study also failed to induce leptin expression or secretion compared to non-reprogrammed dermal fibroblasts. Although PPARγ and CEBPα have been said to form a self-sustaining expression positive feedback loop, we moreover found that the adipocyte-like phenotype of “reprogrammed” fibroblasts was reversible when doxycycline-driven PPARγ2 expression was turned off, with rapid delipidation and loss of adipocyte gene expression, including endogenous PPARG, and of adiponectin secretion seen over the course of one week. For all the central importance of PPARG in the transcriptional control of adipogenesis, normal adipogenesis involves a complex cascade of activation of transcriptional networks as well as extensive chromatin remodelling (Siersbaek, Nielsen et al. 2012; Lefterova, Haakonsson et al. 2014), and it is presumably the lack of such wider remodelling that determines the dependence of the adipocyte-like phenotype we report on continued artificial overexpression of PPARG. It will be of great interest to extend development of the model we describe to explore whether co-expression of other adipogenic factors may further enhance its robustness and fidelity in replicating mature adipocyte
properties. One transcription factor of particular interest is ZFP423, which several studies have strongly implicated in priming perivascular cells for adipogenic differentiation (Gupta, Mepani et al. 2012).

Collectively these findings raise the question as to whether the cells generated by the protocol described are truly “adipocytes” or rather are simply cells with forced overexpression of PPARG-responsive genes, including those required for development of lipid droplets and adiponectin, which are known to be upregulated, and suppression of genes such as LEP, encoding leptin, which is known to be regulated negatively by PPARG (Hammarstedt, Andersson et al. 2005). The latter possibility would still lend the cells utility for the study of certain aspects of adipocyte function, and indeed although the cells have shortcomings as bona fide adipocyte models, some of these are shared by other existing human adipocyte models: although iPSC-derived cells have been reported to show insulin-stimulated glucose uptake (Ahfeldt, Schinzel et al. 2012), and although we replicated this finding, the degree of stimulation, as for other human adipocyte cell lines, was very small, such that the dynamic range of the response is unlikely to be of great use in assessing perturbations that induce insulin resistance. Furthermore, while leptin was reported to be expressed in iPSC-derived adipocytes, levels of protein secretion were extremely low (Ahfeldt, Schinzel et al. 2012). Finally, in our hands even iPSC-derived adipocytes, although derived using a protocol very closely mimicking the prior study, showed marked loss of adipocyte gene expression, including endogenous PPARγ, after withdrawal of doxycycline-driven PARG2 expression. These findings suggest that the advantages of using an iPSC-based approach to study human mutations in the correct genomic context are marginal only, at least when limited to studies in cell culture, and are substantially offset by the prolonged and expensive nature of the protocol.

We applied our reprogramming protocol to a panel of cells derived from patients with monogenic insulin resistance either attributable to an insulin signalling defect (INSR, PI3K3R1), to primary lipodystrophy (BSCL2, PCYT1A, PPARG or LMNA), or forming part of a
more complex, pleiotropic syndrome (ALMS1, WRN, BLM, NSMCE2). All cells could be transformed into lipid-laden, adipocyte-like cells, and while some variation in the efficiency of this process was observed across the panel of cells studied, similar variation was seen in wild type cells, and is thus most likely attributable to intrinsic differences in cell proliferation and differentiation potential related to donor age or other factors not specific to the mutation harboured. The pathogenic genetic perturbation could be detected in four of the five cell lines in which it was assessed, whether this was loss of protein expression (compound heterozygous INSR or ALMS1 mutations), expression of mutated protein (LMNA p.Arg482Trp heterozygosity) or expression of mutant mRNA (BSCL2). The only exception were cells with a pathogenic PPARG mutation, which could be detected in cDNA at baseline but not after PPARG2 overexpression, which presumably swamped the endogenous mutant expression.

Surprisingly, no overt defects in lipid droplet accumulation was seen for any genotype, even where the corresponding human disorder features near complete absence of adipose tissue, as in generalised lipodystrophy due to BSCL2 mutations. The underlying mechanisms remain the subject of research, but several studies have invoked a critical role for BSCL2 in lipid droplet formation or function (Payne, Grimsey et al. 2008; Robbins and Savage 2015). Although an obligate role for BSCL2 in lipid droplet biogenesis would appear at odds with the observations in this study, lipid droplets can occur in some cellular contexts even in BSCL2-related lipodystrophy, such as in severely fatty liver cells. A previous study of adipogenesis of iPSC-derived human cells from a patient with BSCL2 mutations did show impaired adipose differentiation (Mori, Fujikura et al. 2016), while other studies have suggested that the defect in adipose differentiation of cells with knockout or knockdown of BSCL2/Bscl2 may be partially overcome to some extent by activation of PPARG (Chen, Yechoor et al. 2009; Prieur, Dollet et al. 2013). Our findings confirm that BSCL2 gene is dispensable for lipid droplet formation in the context of forced PPARG overexpression and application of an adipogenic protocol, but caution that some cell autonomous defects
relevant to the in vivo human phenotype may be masked by the strength of PPARG activation employed in this model. For most of the other cell lines studied, the associated adipose phenotype is more complex, variable or age dependent, and calibration or adaptation of the reprogramming protocol may further enhance the value of the model in probing cell autonomous disease mechanisms.

In conclusion, we describe a protocol involving conditional PPARγ2 overexpression and a chemical adipogenic cocktail to turn dermal fibroblasts into lipid-laden adipocyte-like cells. These adipocyte-like cells expressed a panel of adipocyte-specific genes, showed upregulation of insulin receptor and downregulation of IGF1 receptor, secreted large amounts of adiponectin, and showed robust lipolysis in response to adrenergic stimulation. On the other hand we could not demonstrate insulin-stimulated glucose uptake nor meaningful levels of leptin expression of secretion. The protocol was successful when applied to a panel of cells harbouring monogenic defects causing severe insulin resistance, some with severe lipodystrophy, in humans. This simple protocol adds to the repertoire of cell models available to interrogate the consequences of genes involved in human metabolic disease in the appropriate genomic context and with endogenous expression levels. The approach may be most suited to examination of disorders of lipid droplet formation and function, of proximal insulin signalling, or, for example, to assess naturally occurring ADIPOQ variants for their impact on protein expression.

**Materials and Methods**

**Maintenance of dermal fibroblasts**

All patient cell line studies were approved by the United Kingdom National Health Service Research Ethics Committee. The proband and family provided written informed consent, and studies were conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. Dermal fibroblasts were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle Medium (DMEM;
D6546, Sigma) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (HyClone), 2 mM L-glutamine (G7513, Sigma) and 1% penicillin–streptomycin (P0781, Sigma) in a humidified incubator (37°C, 5% CO₂). Cells were passaged once a week at a 1:4 split ratio.

**Inducible lenti-PPARγ2 production and generation of stable cell lines**

The coding region of human PPARγ2 was amplified by PCR from a plasmid containing PPARγ open reading frame (a gift from Erik Schoenmakers, University of Cambridge) and cloned into pCR4TOPO vector (Zero Blunt TOPO PCR Cloning Kit, Invitrogen). After sequencing confirmation the coding sequence was excised and inserted into the entry vector pEN-Tmcs (ATCC MBA-251, LGC Standards) using Spe I and Not I restriction sites. The expression cassette was then transferred to the pSLIK-Neo expression lentivector (ATCC MBA-236, LGC Standards) using site-specific recombination (Gateway LR Clonase II Enzyme Mix, Invitrogen). All clones were confirmed by restriction digest screening and sequencing. To produce recombinant lentivirus, 10 µg of pSLIK-PPARG plasmid together with 7.5 µg of each of the two packaging plasmids – pMDLg/pRRE, pRSVREV – and 5 µg of the plasmid coding for VSV-G envelope as well as 1 µg of pEGFP were transfected into HEK293T cells on 10 cm culture dishes using CalPhos Mammalian Transfection Kit (Clontech). The transfection efficiency was monitored with GFP expression which is revealed by fluorescent microscopy. The medium was replaced after 12 hours with BioWhittaker Ultraculture Medium (Lonza) and 48 hours post-transfection the viral supernatants were harvested, filtered through a 0.45 µm filter and concentrated by using Centricon Plus-70 Ultracel PL-100 (Millipore).

Dermal fibroblasts which were trypsinized, seeded at about 40% confluency and just adhered onto the culture plates (about 4 hours after seeding) were transduced with the above concentrated lentiviral supernatant at low MOI in the presence of 8 µg/ml of polybrene. 6-well plates were transduced with 8 µl lenti-PPARγ virus per well in 0.8 ml culture medium and after adding 1.2 ml fresh medium per well 6 – 8 hours later cells were cultured for 2 days.
After removing the virus-containing medium cells were then subjected to G418 (Sigma) selection at a final concentration 800 μg/ml for 10 days. Stable cell lines were maintained in culture medium containing 200 μg/ml G418. Inducibility of PPAR_γ overexpression with doxycycline was assessed using western blotting.

**Inducible lenti-C/EBPα production and generation of stable cell lines**

Human C/EBPα cDNA clone (TrueORF) was purchased from OriGene Technologies (SC303472, Rockville, USA). The cDNA was released from pCMV6-XL5 vector with EcoRI and XbaI restriction digestion, gel-purified and then inserted into pEN-Tmcs vector that had been digested with the same restriction enzymes. After sequencing confirmation the expression cassette was then transferred to the pSLIK-Hygro expression lentivector (ATCC MBA-236, LGC Standards) using site-specific recombination (Gateway LR Clonase II Enzyme Mix, Invitrogen). Lenti-C/EBPα production and generation of stable cell lines were carried out essentially in the same ways as described in the Materials and Methods in the main text except that selection of stable cell lines was performed using hygromycin (200mg/ml).

**Adipogenic differentiation of stable cell lines**

Stable dermal fibroblasts were treated with doxycycline (1 μg/ml) for two days to induce PPAR_γ2 overexpression. Adipogenic differentiation was then initiated by addition of adipogenic adipogenic cocktail (1 μM insulin, 200 nM rosiglitazone, 1 μM dexamethasone and 0.5 mM IBMX) for 2 days followed by insulin and rosiglitazone for 2 days and then rosiglitazone only for the rest of culture. Doxycycline was included throughout the reprogramming process in order to maintain PPAR_γ2 overexpression in differentiating cells and the resulting mature adipocyte-like cells.
**Generation of stem cell-derived adipocytes**

The protocol used to generate adipocytes from stem cells has been adapted from a previously published protocol (Ahfeldt, Schinzel et al. 2012). Briefly, embryoid bodies (EBs) were derived from H9 embryonic stem (ES) cells. Detached H9 colonies were then dissociated into clumps of 5-10 cells in EB formation medium consisting of 15% KnockOut serum replacement (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and 1% GlutaMAX (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in DMEM (Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with 4 μM Y-27632 (Sigma-Aldrich). Cell clumps were then allowed to attach onto Ultralow attachment plates (Corning). Medium was refreshed after 24 hours. EB medium was replaced every other day for 5 days after which the EBs were collected into a pellet, resuspended in EB Plating medium consisting of 10% KnockOut Serum Replacement and 1% Glutamax in DMEM and then plated onto 0.1% gelatin-coated plates. Fresh EB plating medium was added every other day until the EBs have attached and reached 90% confluency. Cells were then passaged using 0.125% trypsin-EDTA (Sigma-Aldrich) onto 0.1% gelatin-coated plates in adipocyte precursor (AP medium) containing 15% KnockOut Serum Replacement (Thermofisher) and 1X GlutaMAX in DMEM supplemented with 2.5 ng/ml bFGF (R&D Systems). AP medium was refreshed every other day.

AP cells were then plated onto each well of a 0.1% gelatin-coated 6-well plate in AP medium and transduced with lenti-PPARγ viruses in the presence of 8 μg/ml polybrene. To induce adipocyte differentiation, AP medium was removed and adipocyte differentiation medium consisting of 15% KnockOut Serum Replacement (Lifetech), 0.5% non-essential amino acids (Invitrogen), 1% Glutamax (Lifetech) in DMEM (Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with 1 μM dexamethasone (Sigma-Aldrich), 10 μg/ml insulin (Actrapid, Novo Nordisk), 0.5 μM rosiglitazone (Sigma- Aldrich) and 1 μg/ml doxycycline (Sigma-Aldrich) was applied to confluent transduced AP cells. The adipocyte differentiation medium supplemented with doxycycline was refreshed every other day for 16 or 21 days. Cells were then maintained for a further 7 days in adipocyte differentiation medium without doxycycline.
**Sequencing**

Sequencing reactions were performed with primers specific (see Supplementary Table 1) to respective plasmids or PCR-amplified cDNA targets using BigDye terminator (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. PCR products were treated with shrimp alkaline phosphatase (EF0511, Fermentas) and ExoI (M0293L, BioLabs) to eliminate unincorporated primers and dNTPs prior to sequencing reaction. Sequencing extension products were purified using BigDye cleaning beads (BCB-100, MCLAB) and then analysed with an ABI3730 DNA analyser. DNA sequence data were analysed with Sequencher software (Gene Codes Corporation).

**mRNA Expression Analysis**

Total RNA samples were prepared using RNeasy Mini Kits (Qiagen) with a DNase digestion step included to eliminate potential contaminating DNA and quantified spectrophotometrically on a NanoDrop ND-1000 (Thermo Scientific). First strand cDNA was reverse-transcribed from 400 ng of total RNA using an ImProm-II Reverse Transcription System (Promega) with oligo(dT)$_{15}$ as the primer, according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

Quantitative RT-PCR was carried out using an ABI PRISM 7900 Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems) with a SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) and gene-specific primers (see Supplementary Table S2). Primers were custom-designed and synthesized by Sigma. cDNA template (2 µl; diluted according to the relative expression level of each gene of interest) was used in a 12 µl total reaction volume in each well in a 96-well reaction plate. For every gene analysed in the present study, we performed dissociation curve analysis to ensure that the primers used did not form primer dimers. The transcripts of each gene were amplified in duplicate. Standard curves were constructed using serially diluted pooled cDNA samples. The relative expression levels were calculated against each gene’s standard curve with the Ct values of each gene with the housekeeping gene HPRT1.
as a loading control. Expression of HPRT1 had equal levels between control dermal fibroblasts and reprogrammed adipocyte-like cells

**Protein expression analysis**

Cells were washed with ice cold PBS and harvested in M-PER Mammalian Protein Extraction Reagent (Thermo Scientific) which was freshly added protease inhibitor mini complete cocktail (Roche) at a 1:7 ratio. Proteins were mixed with equal volume of 2x SDS loading buffer and denatured at 100°C which were then resolved by SDS-PAGE and transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride membranes using the iBlot system (Invitrogen). Blots were blocked in TBST (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH7.6, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween-20) containing 5% milk or BSA (Sigma) and probed for overnight at 4°C with the following antibodies: anti-PPARγ (sc-7196, Santa Cruz, 1:500 dilution), anti-adiponectin (ab13881, abcam, 1:1,000 dilution), anti-Glut4 (ab654, abcam, 1:4,000 dilution), anti-C/EBPa (#2295, Cell Signaling, 1:1,000), anti-insulin receptor β (sc-711, Santa Cruz, 1:500 dilution), anti-IGF1 receptor (sc-713, Santa Cruz, 1:500 dilution), anti-calnexin (ab75801, abcam, 1:10,000 dilution), anti-aP2 (sc-18661, Santa Cruz, 1:5,000 dilution), anti-lamin A/C (sc-20681, Santa Cruz, 1:500 dilution), anti-perilipin (#3467s Cell Signaling, 1:1,000 dilution) and anti-lamin R482W (SAB4200422, Sigma, 1:5,000 dilution). The bound primary antibodies were detected by horseradish peroxidase conjugated secondary antibodies (1:5,000 dilution), followed by Immobilon™ Western chemiluminescent HRP substrate (WBKLS0500, Millipore).

**Glucose uptake assay**

Adipocyte-like cells were serum-starved in serum-free DMEM containing 0.5% BSA for 2 hours with change after 1 hour. Cells were then washed 2 times with HEPES glucose uptake buffer (120 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 1.2 mM MgSO4, 10 mM NaHCO3, 1.3 mM CaCl2, 1.2 mM KH2PO4 and 20 mM HEPES, pH7.8) containing 0.5% BSA and then incubated in HEPES glucose uptake buffer containing 0.5% BSA in the absence or presence of 100 nM insulin for 30 min at 37°C. Glucose uptake was measured by incubating cells with 0.5 μCi/ml
2-deoxy-D-[\textsuperscript{3}H]glucose (Perkin-Elmer) for 5 min at 37°C. After three washes with ice-cold PBS, cells were lysed with 0.5M KOH for 30 min which were then neutralized with 0.5M HCl followed by scintillation counting. Protein concentration of the cell lysate was determined using Coomassie Plus Reagent (Thermo Scientific).

**Lipolysis Assay**

Adipocyte-like cells were serum starved overnight (16 hours) in serum-free DMEM containing 0.5% BSA. Cells were washed with KRB-HEPES buffer (118.5 mM NaCl, 4.75 mM KCl, 1.92 mM CaCl\textsubscript{2}, 1.19 mM KH\textsubscript{2}PO\textsubscript{4}, 1.19 mM MgSO\textsubscript{4}, 25 mM NaHCO\textsubscript{3}, 6 mM Glucose and 10 mM HEPES, pH7.4) containing 4% fatty-acid-free BSA and then incubated in KRB-HEPES buffer with 4% fatty-acid-free BSA alone, with isoproterenol or with IBMX (3-Isobutyl-1-methylxanthine) at 37°C, 5% CO\textsubscript{2} for 3 hours. The culture medium was collected for glycerol measurement using the free glycerol reagent (Sigma, F6428).

**Oil-Red-O Staining**

Cells were washed with PBS twice and fixed with 10% Formalin solution (Sigma, Neutral-buffered) at room temperature for 10 min. After washing twice with PBS and twice with 60% isopropanol cells were stained in Oil-Red-O working solution (6 parts stock Oil-Red-O [0.25% in isopropanol] : 1 part 60% isopropanol : 3 parts water) for 30 min. Stained cells were washed with 60% isopropanol and kept in PBS for scanning or microscopic imaging.

**Immunofluorescence analysis**

Cells on coverslips were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 10 min followed by one wash with TBS [50mM Tris–HCl (pH7.4), 150mM NaCl], permeabilization in 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS for 5 min, three washes with TBS and quenching in fresh 0.1% sodium borohydride in TBS. Coverslips were blocked with blocking buffer (10% horse serum, 1% BSA, 0.02% NaN\textsubscript{3}, 1x PBS) for 1 h, washed with TBS and incubated with anti-ALMS1 (ab84892, abcam, 1:1,000 dilution) and anti-acetylated tubulin (T7451, Sigma-Aldrich,
1:1,000 dilution) in 1% BSA in TBS overnight at 4°C. After washing, the cells were incubated with 1:1000 dilution of Alexa Fluor® 488 goat anti-mouse IgG (A11001, Invitrogen) and Alexa Fluor® 555 goat anti-rabbit IgG (A21430, invitrogen) for 45 min at room temperature in the dark, washed with TBS, mounted on glass slides using the ProLong Gold Antifade Reagent with DAPI (P36931, invitrogen) and inspected with a Zeiss LSM510 Meta confocal laser scanning microscope.

**Statistical Analysis**

Data are expressed as means ± SEM of at least three independent experiments (n ≥ 3). Statistical analyses were performed in GraphPad Prism 5.0 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). Statistical significance was determined by pairwise comparisons using a two-tailed unpaired Student’s t-test with a P<0.05 being considered significant. The investigators were not blinded to the group allocation during the experiments.
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Figure 1. Direct reprogramming of human dermal fibroblasts into adipocyte-like cells using inducible lentiviral PPARγ2 overexpression. (A) Schematic showing predicted constitutive (black) and DOX (doxycycline)-induced (green) transcripts from the pSLIK lentivirus. (B) Western blot analysis of kinetics of PPARγ2 overexpression in human dermal fibroblasts transduced with pSLIK-PPARγ2 recombinant lentivirus, which were cultured in the presence of DOX (1 μg/ml) followed by DOX withdrawal for the indicated length of time. Equal loading was revealed by calnexin antibody. (C) Schematic showing direct reprogramming protocol which consists of DOX induction for two days followed by two days culture in the presence of adipogenic cocktail and two days in the presence of insulin and rosiglitazone and then rosiglitazone only for the rest of the culture. (D) Oil Red O staining showing a successful direct conversion of human dermal fibroblasts into triglyceride-laden adipocyte-like cells (scale bar: 200μm). The high magnification inset demonstrates a representative adipocyte with a large dominant lipid droplet.
Figure 2. Characterisation of direct reprogrammed adipocyte-like cells. (A) Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of expression of marker genes of white (FABP4, ADIPOQ, CEBPA and SLC2A4) at four different differentiation time points. (B) Western blot analysis of key proteins in adipocyte-like cells during direct reprogramming up to day 18, using antibodies as indicated. (C) Adiponectin secretion from direct reprogrammed adipocyte-likes cells. 48 hour
culture media were determined with DELFIA (Dissociation-Enhanced Lanthanide Fluorescent Immunoassay). (D) Downregulation of PPARγ2 and then INSR expression after removal of doxycycline from culture medium. (E) Delipidation were also observed in reprogrammed adipocyte-like cells. Shown are cell images taken 10 days after doxycycline withdrawal (image on the left) or doxycycline was included in the culture media throughout (image on the right). (scale bar: 100 μm). (F) Glucose uptake assay. (G) Lipolysis assay of direct reprogrammed adipocyte-like cells treated with isoprotenerol and/or IBMX. Data from three independent experiments are represented as mean ± SEM (**P<0.001, t-test).
Figure 3. Direct reprogramming of dermal fibroblasts derived from patients with metabolic diseases due to mutations on PPARγ, insulin receptor, lamin and BSCL2 respectively. (A) Oil Red O staining showing that the four patient cell lines were all successfully converted into adipocyte-like cells using our direct reprogramming protocol as
schematized in Figure 1. (scale bar: 200μm). (B) Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of expression of white adipocyte marker genes. (C) Western blot analysis of key proteins in undifferentiated control cells (DOX -) and reprogrammed adipocyte-like cells (DOX +). Sequencing confirmation of mutations on PPARG and BSCL2 genes were shown for SIR1 and SIR4 respectively. (D) Adiponectin secretion from direct reprogrammed adipocyte-likes cells. 48 hour culture media were determined with DELFIA. Data from three independent experiments are represented as mean ± SEM (***P<0.001, t-test).
## Supplementary Tables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell Line</th>
<th>Patient ID</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Disease-related Genotype</th>
<th>Clinical Phenotype</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SIR1</td>
<td>P894</td>
<td>M</td>
<td><strong>PPARG</strong> p.Leu441Leu_fs*9 heterozygote</td>
<td>Familial partial lipodystrophy type 3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Unpublished</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>INSR</strong> p.Val93Ala/ del exon 18</td>
<td>Rabson Mendenhall syndrome</td>
<td></td>
<td>P16 in Simpkin et al (Simpkin, Cochran et al. 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIR2</td>
<td>P672</td>
<td>F</td>
<td><strong>LMNA</strong> p.Arg482Trp heterozygote</td>
<td>Familial partial lipodystrophy type 2</td>
<td>Common FPLD2 mutation</td>
<td>Kumar et al (Kumar, Durrington et al. 1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIR3</td>
<td>P0014</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>BSCL2 c.1324delC/ p.Ala276fs*20</td>
<td>Congenital generalised lipodystrophy</td>
<td>Most severe form of generalised lipodystrophy</td>
<td>unpublished</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIR4</td>
<td>P340</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>ALMS1 p.Thr3591Ilefs<em>5/p.Thr3591ilefs</em>5</td>
<td>Alström syndrome</td>
<td>Subject</td>
<td>P13 in (Chen, Geberhiwot et al. 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIR5</td>
<td>P13</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>PIK3R1 p.Tyr657* heterozygote</td>
<td>SHORT syndrome</td>
<td></td>
<td>Huang-Doran et al (Huang-Doran, Tomlinson et al. 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIR6</td>
<td>P621</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>WRN*</td>
<td>Werner syndrome</td>
<td></td>
<td>AG12795 (Coriell Institute)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIR8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>BLM* Homozygous for a 6 p deletion/ 7bp insertion at nucleotide 2,281of the open reading frame of the RECQL3 gene</td>
<td>Bloom syndrome</td>
<td></td>
<td>GM02932 (Coriell Institute)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Supplementary Table S1. Clinical and Genetic Diagnoses of Volunteers Contributing Dermal Fibroblasts for Study.** *WRN and BLM deficient cells were purchased from Coriell Institute, catalogue numbers AG12795 and GM02932 respectively. Precise mutations were not available.*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Forward primer (5’ to 3’)</th>
<th>Reverse primer (5’ to 3’)</th>
<th>Amplicon size (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADIPOQ</td>
<td>GGGTGGGGCTCCTACAGAAC</td>
<td>CTCTCTGTGCCTCTGGTTCC</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FABP4</td>
<td>TACTGGGCCAGGAATTGAC</td>
<td>TGCACATGTACCAGGACACC</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEBPA</td>
<td>GAGGAGGGAGAATTCTTGG</td>
<td>GAGCGGTGAGTGGTCATTTC</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLUT4</td>
<td>AGTTCCAGCCATGAGCTACG</td>
<td>CCACGATGAACCAAGGATG</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEP</td>
<td>CCAGGTAATGAGGAGCTGGA</td>
<td>CATCCCCTACCTCCTTCAAA</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCP1</td>
<td>AGTGTCGTTCACTGTGCAAT</td>
<td>AATGACGTCCAGGATCCAA</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYC1</td>
<td>AGAGCAAGACCATCGAAAAC</td>
<td>TCAGGACTGACCACCTTGCC</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELOVL3</td>
<td>CCTGGGTCTTCTTCTTCAGC</td>
<td>GTGCTGTGCTGCCACCAGTG</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIO2</td>
<td>AGACCCAGCTCTGTCTCCAGA</td>
<td>TCTGCTGTGCTGCCTAAGA</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPRT1</td>
<td>AGTTCTGTGGCCATCTGCTT</td>
<td>TAGGAATGCAGCAACTGCA</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSCL2</td>
<td>ACATGCACGGTGCTGATGT</td>
<td>GGATGTTAACCTGCAGAGAG</td>
<td>538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPARG</td>
<td>TCTCAGTGGAGAGCCGCGGTT</td>
<td>GCAGTGTCAGGACTCTCTT</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Supplementary Table S2. Primers used for quantitative RT-PCR and mutation confirmation
**Supplementary Figures**

**Supplementary Figure S1.** Direct reprogrammed adipocyte-like cells can be maintained in culture for a long time in the continuous presence of doxycycline. Shown are two images at different magnifications for reprogrammed cells maintained in culture for 70 days. (scale bar: top, 200µm, bottom, 40µm).
Supplementary Figure S2. Leptin gene expression of secretion of reprogrammed adipocyte-like cells. (A) Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of leptin gene expression. (B) Leptin secretion in 48 hour culture was determined with DELFIA. Data from three independent experiments are represented as mean ± SEM (*P<0.05, ***P<0.001, t-Test).
Supplementary Figure S3. Expression of brown adipocyte-specific genes (A) and UCP1 protein (B) in reprogrammed adipocyte-like cells. Expression was determined by quantitative RT-PCR and Western blotting respectively. A rat brown adipose sample was used as a positive control (“+ve”) in the Western blotting. Data from three independent experiments are represented as mean ± SEM (**P<0.01, ***P<0.001, t-Test).
Supplementary Figure S4. Overexpression of C/EBPα and adipogenic differentiation of dermal fibroblasts infected with C/EBPα lentivirus alone or together with PPARγ2 lentivirus.

(A) Western blot analysis of kinetics of PPARγ2 overexpression in human dermal fibroblasts transduced with pSLIK-C/EBPα recombinant lentivirus, which were cultured in the presence of DOX (1 µg/ml) followed by DOX withdrawal for the indicated length of time. Equal loading was revealed by calnexin antibody.

(B) Representative images of adipocyte-like cells that accumulated lipid droplets upon DOX induction of C/EBPα overexpression (α) or co-overexpression of C/EBPα and PPARγ2 (α/γ) at adipogenic differentiation day 9. (scale bar: 10µm).

(C) Western blot analysis of C/EBPα, PPARγ2, and adiponectin in cells that had been subjected for adipogenic differentiation in the presence or absence of DOX for 9 days. Loading was revealed by calnexin antibody as well as Coomassie staining.

(D) Western blot analysis of Glut4 expression in cells as in (C) above using unboiled samples. Equal loading was revealed by Coomassie staining. Note the uneven exposure of the blot that resulted in lighter bands coinciding with the first lane on the left hand side.
Supplementary Figure S5. **Protocol of adipogenic differentiation via pluripotent stem cell stage.** Overview of the protocol for deriving adipocytes from pluripotent stem cells. (A) Pluripotent stem cells were first differentiated into embryoid bodies (EBs) from which adipocyte precursor (AP) cells were derived. (B) Schematic showing adipogenic differentiation protocol which consists of DOX induction in the presence of adipogenic cocktail for the indicated time. DOX was included in the medium until day 16 or day 21 and cells were used for analysis either on the same day when DOX was withdrawn or 7 days after DOX withdrawal. Oil Red O staining showing a successful conversion of adipocyte precursors (Day 0) into triglyceride-laden adipocyte-like cells (Day 28).
Supplementary Figure S6. Characterisation of adipocyte-like cells obtained using a protocol described in Supplementary figure 6. For details of quantitative RT-PCR (A), ELISA, lipolysis assay and glucose uptake assay (B), see above respective figure legends. Data from three independent experiments are represented as mean ± SEM (*P<0.05, ***P<0.001, t-Test).
Supplementary Figure S7. Marked decrease in expression of adipocyte specific genes in mature differentiated adipocyte-like cells 7 days after withdraw of PPARγ2 overexpression inducing reagent DOX. Endogenous PPARγ (ePPARG) expression was determined by RT-PCR using a pair of primers specific to a 3′-UTR region immediately after PPARg stop codon while pSLIK-PPARγ2 expression was determined by RT-PCR using a forward primer that is specific to a vector sequence immediately before the PPARγ2 open reading frame and a reverse primer that is specific to a PPARγ2 open reading frame sequence at the 5′ end. Data from three independent experiments are represented as mean ± SEM (***P<0.001, t-Test).
Supplementary Figure S8. Delipidation of mature differentiated adipocyte-like cells via pluripotent stem cell stage using protocol described in supplementary Figure S6 did not occur 7 days after withdrawal of PPARγ2 overexpression inducing reagent DOX. (scale bar: 200 µm)
Supplementary Figure S9. Direct reprogramming of dermal fibroblasts derived from an Alström Syndrome patient. (A) Confocal immunofluorescence analysis revealed absence of ALMS1 at centrosome and the basal body of primary cilium in the patient cells due to the disease causing mutations on the ALMS1 gene. (scale bar: 5 \mu m) (B) Oil Red O staining showing a successful conversion of ALMS1 dermal fibroblasts into adipocyte-like cells. (scale bar: 200 \mu m) (C) Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of expression of marker genes of white adipocytes at four different differentiation time points. (D) Western blot analysis of key proteins in adipocyte-like cells during direct reprogramming up to day 18, using antibodies as indicated. (E) Adiponectin secretion from direct reprogrammed adipocyte-like cells. 48 hour culture media were determined with DELFIA. (F) Glucose uptake assay. (G) Lipolysis assay of direct reprogrammed adipocyte-like cells treated with isoprotenerol and/or IBMX. Data from three independent experiments are represented as mean ± SEM (**P<0.01, ***P<0.001, t-Test).
Supplementary Figure S10. Direct reprogramming of dermal fibroblasts derived from lipodystrophy patients harbouring mutations on *WRN, BLM, NSMCE2, PIK3R1* and *PCYT1A* genes. (scale bar: 200 µm)
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