SirT1 in muscle physiology and disease: lessons from mouse models
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Sirtuin 1 (SirT1) is the largest of the seven members of the sirtuin family of class III nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD+)−dependent protein deacetylases, whose activation is beneficial for metabolic, neurodegenerative, inflammatory and neoplastic diseases, and augments life span in model organisms (Finkel et al., 2009; Lavu et al., 2008). In vitro studies show that SirT1 protects genome integrity and is involved in circadian physiological rhythms (Asher et al., 2008; Nakahata et al., 2008; Oberdoerffer et al., 2008). In the last few years, a fundamental role for SirT1 in the metabolism and differentiation of skeletal muscle cells has been uncovered (Fulco et al., 2003), and the use of specific transgenic or knockout SirT1 mouse models implicates it in the protection of heart muscle from oxidative and hypertrophic stresses (Alcendor et al., 2007). In this Perspective, we review the recent exciting findings that have established a key role for the ‘longevity’ protein SirT1 in skeletal and heart muscle physiology and disease. Furthermore, given the multiple biological functions of SirT1, we discuss the unique opportunities that SirT1 mouse models can offer to improve our integrated understanding of the metabolism, as well as the regeneration and aging-associated changes in the circadian function, of skeletal and heart muscle.

Why is SIRT1 considered to be a ‘longevity’ gene? SirT1 is the mammalian ortholog of yeast Sir2, an enzyme that is involved in protein deacetylation, which was first characterized as an important regulator of life span in this organism, and subsequently in higher eukaryotes (Longo and Kennedy, 2006). However, whether SirT1 is associated with an extension of the life span of human cells is a matter of some debate (Michishita et al., 2005). SirT1 substrates and transcriptional/epigenetic co-factors make up an impressive and constantly growing list, including, among others, PGC-1α, HNF4α, p53, FOXOs, PPARγ, NF-κB, Ku70, PCAF, MyoD, MEF2, STAT3, HSF1, Smad7, Suv39h1, Ezh2, nucleoethymylin, eNoSC and various histones (Nemoto et al., 2004; Nemoto et al., 2005; Rodgers et al., 2005; Kume et al., 2007; Grummt and Ladurner, 2008; Finkel et al., 2009; Nie et al., 2009; Vaquero and Reinberg, 2009; Westerheide et al., 2009). SirT1 influences numerous processes that are crucial to cell viability, such as gene silencing or activation, apoptosis, stress resistance, senescence, energy balance, and lipid and glucose metabolism (Fig. 1). Recent elegant work on SirT1 knockout mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) and embryonic stem cells showed that SirT1 activity impacts functionally on the circadian clock (Asher et al., 2008; Nakahata et al., 2008) and on genome (chromatin) stability (Oberdoerffer et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2008), and an integrated picture of SirT1-dependent anti-cancer and anti-aging effects is just emerging (Fig. 1) (Jung-Hynes and Ahmad, 2009; Liu et al., 2009).

Several mechanisms that are capable of activating sirtuin enzymatic activity have been shown to increase life span. Classical activators of SirT1 include the polyphenol resveratrol (contained in red grapes and green tea) (Howitz et al., 2003), as well as a regimen of caloric restriction (CR) (Cohen et al., 2004). CR, defined in mice as a reduction in food intake of 30%-50% compared with animals fed ad libitum, is a very well-known intervention that enhances longevity in laboratory animals (Fig. 1). CR may actually increase life span by triggering a complex interplay of signaling molecules, including not only SirT1, but also AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK), forkhead box O transcription factors (FOXOs), mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR), and the ratio of NAD+ to NADH (Cantó and Auwerx, 2009b). Similarly, resveratrol impacts on additional cellular pathways, probably owing to its chemical nature as a protein-binding polyphenol. Owing to the pleiotropic positive effects of SirT1 on the health of organisms, the pharmaceutical industry have shown a growing interest in developing compounds that are able to modulate SirT1 activity (Lavu et al., 2008).

In this review, we will narrow our focus on the role of SirT1 activity in two striated muscle tissues of embryonic mesodermic origin, skeletal and heart muscle, which govern fundamental processes such as glucose and lipid metabolism, physical activity, and propulsion of blood around the circulatory system, with a particular attention to relevant SirT1-specific mouse models. Readers who are interested in other sirtuins (SirT2-SirT7) or in other tissue-specific SirT1 mice models are referred to the recent excellent reviews by Finkel et al. and Guarante (Guarante, 2007; Finkel et al., 2009).

Given the technologies available to manipulate the mouse genome (van der Weyden et al., 2003), and the high degree of homology between murine and human genomes, the mouse is considered the premier organism for modeling human pathologies.
Using the mouse as a model organism provides the possibility of generating loss-of-function and gain-of-function mutants of disease-candidate proteins, even in a conditional (tissue-specific) and/or inducible manner. In this respect, we learned in 2003 that, when generated in an inbred genetic background, whole-body SirT1 knockout (KO) mice carrying two null alleles of Sirt1 die prenatally or during the early postnatal period, with neurological and cardiac malformations (Cheng et al., 2003; McBurney et al., 2003). This points to a crucial role for active SirT1 in homeostasis (Table 1). However, in outbred backgrounds, whole-body SirT1 KO produces viable mice with diverse phenotypes such as imperfect gametogenesis and sterility (McBurney et al., 2003; Coussens et al., 2008); an autoimmune-like condition (Sequeira et al., 2008); and an impairment in obtaining benefits from the positive CR-induced metabolic effects (Table 1) (Boily et al., 2008). These findings highlight the importance of considering the impact of genetic background variability when analyzing murine phenotypes.

Conversely, whole-body bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC)-driven transgenic (Tg) overexpression of SirT1 in mice, even at moderate levels (~ twofold to threefold), has been unequivocally proven to be beneficial, inducing an increase in energy efficiency and preventing metabolic damage (Banks et al., 2008; Pfluger et al., 2008). SirT1 overexpression is thus thought to resemble closely the beneficial phenotype induced by CR (Table 1) (Bordone et al., 2007). Given that CR is a very efficient strategy to reverse both the clinical features of metabolic syndromes such as obesity and insulin resistance in humans (Opie, 2009), and the CR-like phenotypes of SirT1-overexpressing mice, this evidence suggests that new SirT1-activating compounds could be useful for the future management of patients suffering from metabolic disturbances.

What happens if SirT1 is artificially manipulated in mouse skeletal or heart muscle cells? Skeletal muscle-specific SirT1 Tg or KO mice models have not yet been reported, but the effects of SirT1 have been studied extensively in skeletal muscle cells. An original report using cultured murine myotubes and human primary skeletal muscle cells demonstrated that SirT1 overexpression represses the muscle transcriptional regulator MyoD (Fulco et al., 2003). As a consequence, the production of several transcripts including those encoding myogenin and muscle contractile proteins was blocked, and muscle differentiation, which was monitored as a reduced fusion of myoblasts into myotubes, was severely inhibited (Fulco et al., 2003). The ratio of NAD+ to NADH and the redox state are intimately linked to nutrient availability in muscle cells, and an elegant follow-up of this work places SirT1 at the crossroads between the two. If cultured myoblasts are exposed to glucose restriction, SirT1 activity is enhanced through AMPK-dependent regulation of NAM phosphoribosyltransferase (NAMPT), the rate-limiting enzyme that is responsible for NAD+ turnover, and this blocks differentiation into myotubes (Fulco et al., 2008). Moreover, in cultured myotubes, the presence of SirT1 was shown to be necessary for the cell-autonomous switch from glucose utilization to fatty acid oxidation in the presence of a low glucose concentration; this flexible metabolic response occurs during CR and is generally impaired during metabolic diseases (Gerhart-Hines et al., 2008).
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Table 1. SirT1 mutant mice models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tg or KO</th>
<th>Gene locus/promoter</th>
<th>Genetic background</th>
<th>Stage of lethality</th>
<th>Tissues affected</th>
<th>Phenotype</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KO</td>
<td>SirT1</td>
<td>Inbred (129sv)</td>
<td>Within a few weeks after birth</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Heart and retinal abnormalities</td>
<td>Cheng et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KO</td>
<td>SirT1</td>
<td>Inbred (129sv)</td>
<td>Prenatal or early postnatal</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Smaller size; developmental delays</td>
<td>McBurney et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KO</td>
<td>SirT1</td>
<td>Outbred</td>
<td>A few weeks to 2 years</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Reproductive defects (imperfect gametogenesis and sterility)</td>
<td>Coussens et al., 2008; McBurney et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KO</td>
<td>SirT1</td>
<td>Outbred</td>
<td>A few weeks to 2 years</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Autoimmune disease</td>
<td>Sequeira et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KO</td>
<td>SirT1</td>
<td>Outbred</td>
<td>Within 1 year</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>CR insensitive; metabolic defects</td>
<td>Boily et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG</td>
<td>SirT1 BAC</td>
<td>C57BL6</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Increased energy efficiency; protection from metabolic damage</td>
<td>Banks et al., 2008; Pfluger et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG</td>
<td>β-actin</td>
<td>C57BL6/129sv</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>CR-like phenotype</td>
<td>Bordone et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG</td>
<td>α-MHC</td>
<td>FVB</td>
<td>Same as wild type</td>
<td>Heart</td>
<td>Resistance to oxidative stress, apoptosis and age-associated dysfunctions</td>
<td>Alcendor et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG</td>
<td>α-MHC</td>
<td>CDI</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Heart</td>
<td>Induction of q-MHC expression; resistance to PTU-induced MHC isoform switch (α to β)</td>
<td>Pillai et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND, not determined.

et al., 2007). These and other seminal in vitro studies thus uncovered a key role for SirT1 in shaping muscle cellular metabolism and differentiation by functional interaction with other regulators of cellular energy stores, such as AMPK and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPARγ) coactivator-1 alpha (PGC-1α) (Nemoto et al., 2005; Lagouge et al., 2006; Gerhart-Hines et al., 2007; Amat et al., 2009; Cantó and Auwerx, 2009a). The field now awaits skeletal muscle-specific SirT1 Tg or KO mice models, which would confirm, in vivo, the role of SirT1 in the differentiation, metabolism and contractile function of this tissue at the level of a whole organism.

By contrast, two cardiac-restricted Tg SirT1 mouse models, obtained by using the tissue specific α-myosin heavy chain (α-MHC) promoter, have been described (Alcendor et al., 2007; Pillai et al., 2008). In one study, low to moderate (about threefold to eightfold) SirT1 overexpression efficiently protected mice from paraquat-induced cardiac stress and apoptosis, and delayed the onset of age-dependent heart dysfunctions (Table 1) (Alcendor et al., 2007). Conversely, greater increases in SirT1 levels (about 13-fold) induced oxidative stress and apoptosis, ultimately leading to cardiomyopathy and decreased survival (Table 1) (Alcendor et al., 2007). This was the first report to introduce the ‘hormesis’ concept, meaning that SirT1 activation – depending on its extent – can be either beneficial or deleterious in the heart. A second study showed that Tg heart-restricted SirT1 overexpression upregulated α-MHC levels and protected against the switch in cardiac MHC isoform expression (α to β) that is induced by 6-propyl-2-thiouracil (PTU), a potent antithyroid drug (Table 1) (Pillai et al., 2008).

In parallel, in vitro findings from cultured or primary cardiomyocyte models expanded our understanding of the cardioprotective effects of the longevity protein SirT1 and increased NAD⁺ availability, including the increased resistance to ischemia/reperfusion-induced oxidative stress (Hsu et al., 2009; Rane et al., 2009), angiotensin II-dependent hypertrophy (Pillai et al., 2006) and apoptosis (Alcendor et al., 2004; Pillai et al., 2005), thus strengthening the view that pharmacological SirT1 activation might be beneficial for the treatment of cardiac diseases (Hsu et al., 2008; Lavu et al., 2008; Borrodaile et al., 2009).

Therapeutic areas that will probably benefit from SirT1 research are (1) the control of reduced muscle mass and (2) muscle regeneration upon injury. First, muscle mass is reduced during a condition of atrophy (such as in response to starvation, immobilization or treatment with glucocorticoids) or cachexia, which leads to muscle wasting owing to increased protein catabolism (McKinnell and Rudnicki, 2004; Mourkioti and Rosenthal, 2005). Muscle-specific RING finger protein 1 (MuRF1) and MAFbx/atrogen-1, which are E3 ubiquitin ligases involved in proteasome-mediated proteolysis of muscle proteins, are transcriptionally controlled by NF-κB and FOXOs, respectively (Glass, 2005). Since SirT1 modulates both NF-κB and FOXOs, in concert with regulating MyoD and myocyte enhancer factor-2 (MEF2), it may also control muscle mass during injury (Fig. 2). In addition, SirT1 controls angiogenesis and vasculogenesis during development (Potente et al., 2007; Potente and Dammel, 2008); however, its regenerative potential in other tissues is unknown. This is an active field of investigation in skeletal and heart muscle diseases since these tissues were long considered ‘post-mitotic’ and therefore have a limited regenerative capacity upon aging, damage, skeletal muscle dystrophy or cardiac infarct.

Recently, many efforts have relied on the characterization of skeletal or heart muscle-specific stem and/or satellite cells that, once recruited, may contribute to repairing injured tissues. Since it has been proposed that SirT1 may also influence the lineage/cell-fate decisions of stem cells by sensing redox status (Machida and Booth, 2004; Mantel and Broxmeyer, 2008), it is conceivable that SirT1 may also influence the regenerative potential of skeletal muscle and...
SirT1 modulates the activity of circadian clock molecular components and regulates the activity, energy expenditure, metabolism and differentiation of skeletal muscle, as well as the stress resistance and metabolism of heart muscle. Hypothetical connections that are not yet supported by experimental evidence are accompanied by a question mark.

Fig. 2. Role of SirT1 in skeletal and heart muscle physiology, disease and regeneration upon damage. SirT1 modulates the activity of circadian clock molecular components and regulates the activity, energy expenditure, metabolism and differentiation of skeletal muscle, as well as the stress resistance and metabolism of heart muscle. Hypothetical connections that are not yet supported by experimental evidence are accompanied by a question mark.

Based on these considerations, and the established role of SirT1 in skeletal muscle cell proliferation and differentiation (Fulco et al., 2003), we predict that SirT1 agonists and antagonists may be useful in treating muscle damage. In the initial phases of muscle injury, SirT1 agonists may help in amplifying the expansion of the satellite cell pool, and later on, administration of SirT1 antagonists may favor the differentiation of this expanded satellite cell population.

In both skeletal and heart muscle, gene expression is regulated in a circadian fashion (24-hour cycles), and the molecular architecture of this phenomenon relies on a complex transcription-translation feedback loop in which a heterodimeric transcription factor, CLOCK/BMAL1, regulates the expression of other clock genes [such as Bmal1 (also known as Arntl), Per2, Dbp, Rora and Cry1]. This molecular circadian clock machine governs all of the physiological rhythms that are present in living beings, including sleep–wake cycles and feeding. Twenty-four-hour rhythms are endogenously generated but can also be entrained by external cues, such as light and food availability, which act on the hypothalamic suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) (Crosio et al., 2000). The SCN clock is believed to set the phases of peripheral tissues, such as skeletal and heart muscle (Lamia et al., 2008). Whereas in the skeletal muscle the circadian clock is crucial for activity and body weight (Zambon et al., 2003; McDearmon et al., 2006), the cardiac clock enables the heart to anticipate environmental stimuli, ensuring an appropriate response (Esser and Young, 2009). In fact, diurnal changes in myocardial contractions are well known, both in mouse models and in humans. Clock gene expression patterns are altered in animal models of hypertension, myocardial infarction or ischemia (Esser and Young, 2009), and in humans, myocardial infarction more often occurs early in the morning and in shift workers (with altered sleep–wake cycles) (Esser and Young, 2009). However, the cause-effect relationships of this phenomenon are not understood.

SirT1 deacetylates CLOCK and BMAL1 in a circadian fashion in MEFs and is a core component of the circadian clock (Asher et al., 2008; Nakahata et al., 2008). Genetic mouse models have uncovered unequivocal links between metabolic intracellular activity and circadian rhythms. Mice that are deficient for CLOCK and BMAL1 display metabolic phenotypes with altered glucose and fat homeostasis (Rudic et al., 2004; Turek et al., 2008). Nonetheless, our understanding of the connections between SirT1-dependent metabolism and the circadian clock is just beginning. What is clear is that NAD+ is central for both metabolism and circadian rhythm. By activating SirT1, NAD+ can control the production of NAMPT, through CLOCK and BMAL1, in a circadian fashion (Nakahata et al., 2009; Ramsey et al., 2009). Therefore, the circadian clock governs intracellular NAD+ levels through an interlocked and transcriptional feedback loop. These in vitro findings, mainly obtained in MEFs, will undoubtedly be the basis for in vivo studies to assess the functional relevance of the interaction between the circadian clock and SirT1 in skeletal and heart muscle physiopathology. A functional relationship between other energy metabolism regulators, which are in turn intimately bound to SirT1 function, such as AMPK (Fulco et al., 2008; Cantó et al., 2009a) and PGC-1α (Nemoto et al., 2005; Rodgers et al., 2005), and the mammalian clock has been found in skeletal muscle (Liu et al., 2007; Vieira et al., 2008), whereas in the heart such links have not yet been explored.

New SirT1 mutant mouse models will allow us to decipher its role in regulating the activity and structure of many proteins that are at the core of muscle and heart muscle function, including the important connections to the observed circadian patterns of metabolic behavior and to pathophysiological events in muscle (dys)function (Fig. 2).
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