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ABSTRACT
The gastrointestinal tract of complex metazoans is highly compartmentalized. It is lined by a series of specialized epithelia that are regenerated by specific populations of stem cells. To maintain tissue homeostasis, the proliferative activity of stem and/or progenitor cells has to be carefully controlled and coordinated with regionally distinct programs of differentiation. Metaplasias and dysplasias, precancerous lesions that commonly occur in the human gastrointestinal tract, are often associated with the aberrant proliferation and differentiation of stem and/or progenitor cells. The increasingly sophisticated characterization of stem cells in the gastrointestinal tract of mammals and of the fruit fly Drosophila has provided important new insights into these processes and into the mechanisms that drive epithelial dysfunction. In this Review, we discuss recent advances in our understanding of the establishment, maintenance and regulation of diverse intestinal stem cell lineages in the gastrointestinal tract of Drosophila and mice. We also discuss the field’s current understanding of the pathogenesis of epithelial dysfunctions.
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Introduction
The gastrointestinal (GI) tract of most metazoans, including that of humans, is lined by a series of highly compartmentalized epithelia that perform localized functions. These epithelia also share certain characteristics that support interactions with commensal bacteria (see Box 1 for Glossary), enhance immune responses to infections and maintain the barrier function of the intestine. These epithelia undergo regeneration in homeostatic conditions as well as in response to tissue damage. During recurring regenerative episodes, and for the lifetime of the animal, the functional diversity of newly formed intestinal cells has to be sustained—an achievement that is only beginning to be understood, via the use of animal models (Barker et al., 2010a; Buchon et al., 2013b; Li et al., 2013a; Marianes and Spradling, 2013; Strand and Michelli, 2011).

Conditions that negatively impact epithelial compartmentalization can have substantial deleterious consequences. In the human GI tract, for example, the development of epithelial metaplastic lesions (see Box 1) can place individuals at a high risk of developing intestinal cancer (Slack, 2007). In these lesions, one differentiated cell type is replaced by a cell with a different identity. One example is Barrett’s metaplasia (see Box 1), in which the esophageal squamous epithelium acquires properties that are reminiscent of the gastric or intestinal columnar epithelium. This transformation has been associated with acid reflux disease and is believed to be a cause of esophageal adenocarcinomas (Falk, 2002; Hvid-Jensen et al., 2011). Dysplasia (see Box 1), another type of epithelial lesion that commonly affects the human GI tract, is characterized by aberrant cell proliferation and differentiation. Dysplastic changes are often found at later stages during epithelial carcinogenesis than are metaplasias, and eventually lead to invasive carcinoma (see Box 1) (Correa and Houghton, 2007; Ullman et al., 2009). Much remains to be learnt about intestinal metaplasias and dysplasias, not least because of their clinical significance, such as the exact cellular origins of metaplastic cells and the molecular pathways that underpin epithelial dysfunction. Because intestinal epithelia are regenerated by local intestinal stem cell (ISC) populations, which have been characterized in the GI tract of flies and mice in the past decade (Barker et al., 2007; Micchelli and Perrimon, 2006; Ohlstein and Spradling, 2006), deregulation of these stem cell functions, including proliferation and differentiation, has been associated with metaplastic and dysplastic lesions. To better understand the molecular changes underlying epithelial carcinogenesis, it is thus crucial to pinpoint the mechanisms that regulate ISC function.

In this Review, we highlight recent insights into the maintenance of GI compartmentalization and regulation of diverse stem cell lineages, focusing on advances made by analysis of the GI tract of Drosophila and mice. We then summarize findings about signaling pathways that control these stem cell functions, drawing parallels between the fly and mammalian systems. Finally, we discuss how these findings inform our current understanding of the pathogenesis of epithelial dysfunctions that can predispose humans to cancer.

GI tract compartmentalization and stem cell lineages
The GI tract of most metazoans is highly compartmentalized in terms of morphology and function, and regional epithelial subtypes are continuously regenerated by local stem cell populations. Both in the Drosophila and mouse GI tracts, studies are underway to characterize the identity, function and regulation of regionally specified stem cell populations and stem cell lineages. Below, we provide an overview of Drosophila and mammalian GI tract morphologies and their respective stem cell populations.

The Drosophila GI tract
The Drosophila GI tract is lined by a series of pseudostratified monolayer epithelia, which are surrounded by visceral muscle cells. Morphologically, the midgut, which is the main and best characterized part of the fly GI tract, is subdivided into the anterior midgut (AM), the middle midgut (MM) and the posterior midgut (PM) by two main constrictions (Fig. 1A). The MM contains a stomach-like copper cell region, which produces gastric acid, and a large flat cell region, the function of which is not well
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understood. Two recent studies have further divided the GI tract into 10-14 regions based on more detailed characterizations of morphological and molecular landmarks (Buchon et al., 2013b; Marianes and Spradling, 2013). ISCs are found in each of these compartments and can regenerate to give rise to all intestinal epithelial cell types (Table 1) (Buchon and Osman, 2015).

The ISC lineage was first characterized in the PM by two groups simultaneously (Micchelli and Perrimon, 2006; Ohlstein and Spradling, 2006) (Fig. 1A). Numerous studies subsequently characterized the regulation of ISCs and their lineage relationship to their progeny. As discussed later, a multitude of local, paracrine and systemic signals and signaling pathways that control ISC proliferation and differentiation have been identified, and changes in ISC function during tissue damage and aging have been described (Biteau et al., 2011; Buchon et al., 2013a; Buchon and Osman, 2015; Jiang and Edgar, 2011; Lemaitre and Miguel-Aliaga, 2013). ISCs characterized by expression of Escargot (Esg+) and Delta (Dl+) constitute the majority of cells capable of mitosis in the PM. During regenerative episodes, ISCs in the PM undergo asymmetric division to give rise to precursor cells, called enteroblasts [which have the marker profile Esg+, Su(H)GBe+, Dl+] (Ohlstein and Spradling, 2006). Enteroblasts further differentiate into either absorptive enterocytes [Ecs; Pdm1+ (also called rubin or POU domain protein 1)] or secretory enteroendocrine cells [Ees; Prospero (Pros+)].

In vivo lineage-tracing methods suggest that these cells are generated from pre-committed Pros-expressing ISCs, and not, as previously described, as an alternative to EC differentiation from a common enteroblast cell. EE regeneration from pre-committed Pros+ ISCs is limited by Slit, an EE-derived ligand for the roundabout 2 (Robo2) receptor. Slit binds Robo2 on ISCs, setting up a negative-feedback loop from differentiated EEs that limits further production of these cells (Biteau and Jasper, 2014). A recent study shows that Ttk69, a BTB (broad complex, tramtrack and bric a brac)-domain-containing transcriptional repressor, also plays an important role in EE specification, presumably in parallel to Slit-Robo signaling (Wang et al., 2015a). Another study has further analyzed EE cell diversity and found that Su(H)GBe+ (Notch active) enteroblasts can give rise to class II EE cells, in addition to ECs (Beehler-Evans and Micchelli, 2015).

In the Drosophila copper cell region, which shares some similarity to the stomach in vertebrates, gastric stem cells (GSSCs; Esg+ and Dl+) generate three different cell types: copper cells, which secrete hydrochloric acid and are characterized by the marker profile Defective proventriculus (Dve+)/Labial(high)/Cut+; interstitial cells, defined by the profile Dve+/Labial(low)/Cut--; and Pros-expressing EEs (Strand and Michelli, 2011) (Fig. 1A). Similar to the ISC lineage in the PM, gastroblasts (the counterpart of the enteroblast in this region) have been identified and proposed to be the precursor cell that generates these three differentiated cell types (Strand and Michelli, 2011). Although ISCs in the AM are generally considered to be similar to ISCs in the PM, these two ISC populations have some different properties. For example, proliferation rates and expression of two genes (Pdp1 and Stat92E) are different between AM and PM ISCs (Marianes and Spradling, 2013). Using fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) of ISCs from different regions of the Drosophila GI tract, a recent paper has systematically explored gene expression profiles of ISCs in a region-specific manner, and has characterized the roles of some transcription factors, such as GATAe (GATA is a family of transcription factors that are able to bind the DNA sequence ‘GATA’), Snail (Sna; zinc-finger transcriptional repressor) and Ptx1 (paired-type homeobox transcription factor), in global and regional ISC regulation (Dutta et al., 2015b). Future studies are needed to characterize in detail how the function of regional ISCs is regulated by these transcription factors, as well as by other potential molecular factors.

**The mammalian GI tract**

In mammals, the structure of GI compartments (including the esophagus, gastric region, small intestine and colon) and the architecture of the epithelia lining these compartments are more complex than those of invertebrates (Fig. 1B). During recent years, specific markers of ISCs have been identified and new in vivo and ex vivo mouse models for exploring stem cell identity and function have been developed. As a result, our understanding of the regulation of epithelial homeostasis and of regeneration in the mammalian small intestine has improved substantially (Barker, 2014; Clevers, 2013b).

**The small intestine**

The lining of the small intestine is composed of a monostratified epithelium that folds into millions of tubular invaginations known as crypts (Fig. 1B), as well as into numerous finger-like protrusions called villi that project into the intestinal lumen, maximizing surface area for digestion and absorption. The crypts harbor stem cells, Paneth cells and transit amplifying (TA) cells, whereas the villi...
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Fig. 1. Drosophila and mammalian gastrointestinal (GI) tracts and associated stem cell lineages. (A) A schematic of the Drosophila GI tract (‘A’, anterior, top; ‘P’, posterior, bottom), focusing on the midgut, which is divided into three main regions: the anterior midgut (AM), the middle midgut (MM) and the posterior midgut (PM). The MM contains an acidic stomach-like copper cell region (CCR) and a large flat cell region (LFC). (i) Organization of epithelial cells in the AM and PM (top), and the CCR (bottom). (ii) In the AM or PM, intestinal stem cells (ISCs) divide asymmetrically, giving rise to a new ISC and either a pre-enterocyte (pre-EC) enteroblast (EB), which will differentiate into an enterocyte (EC), or a pre-enteroendocrine-cell (pre-EE) EB, which will differentiate into an enteroendocrine cell (EE). (iii) In the CCR, gastric stem cells (GSSCs) undergo similar asymmetric division, giving rise to a new GSSC and two types of gastroblast (GB), which will differentiate into either a copper cell (CC), an interstitial cell (IS) or an EE. (B) The mammalian GI tract consists of an esophagus, stomach, small intestine and large intestine (not shown here) (anterior, top; posterior, bottom). (i) The murine stomach divides into three regions: forestomach, corpus and pylorus. The architecture of the gland unit, including the crypt, in the stomach corpus (right) and pylorus (left) is shown. Different stem cell populations (blue text) have been characterized, but exact stem cell lineages and cell hierarchies are unclear in these regions. (ii) In the murine small intestine, there are two main stem cell populations: +4 stem cells and LGR5+ stem cells, which can replace each other under certain conditions. A schematic of the crypt is shown on the left, and cell lineages are shown on the right. TA cells, transit amplifying cells.

harbor three main differentiated cell types: ECs, goblet cells and EEs. Paneth cells at the base of the crypt are closely associated with stem cells, and secrete protective antimicrobial substances and the hydrolytic enzyme lysozyme, as well as niche (see Box 1) factors that are crucial for stem cell survival and function. Stem cells within the crypt self-renew and divide to generate TA cells, which in turn proliferate to generate all the functional differentiated cell types of the villi, maintaining tissue homeostasis. In contrast to Drosophila enteroblasts, which do not divide but differentiate directly into ECs or EEs, mouse TA cells undergo multiple rounds of cell division to amplify their numbers as they migrate along the crypt axis towards the base of the villus, where they differentiate into various functional cells (Table 1) (Barker et al., 2007; Bjerknes and Cheng, 1999; Hermiston and Gordon, 1995).

The existence of self-renewing and multipotent ISCs in crypts of the small intestine in mice had long been proposed, based on studies of mouse chimeras and the use of mutagen-induced somatic clones (genetically marked cells derived from a single epithelial cell) (Bjerknes and Cheng, 1999; Ponder et al., 1985). However, the recent identification of ISC-specific markers has led to a substantial
The CBC cells at the crypt base, and that these LGR5+ CBC cells are a more active population that is crucial for homeostatic epithelial renewal, whereas BMI1+ Cy4+ stem cells act as quiescent reserve stem cells that can be activated to restore tissue homeostasis after injury (Barker, 2014). A recent study has provided molecular evidence to support this two-stem-cell model for epithelial regeneration in the mouse small intestine (Li et al., 2014); however, future studies are needed to further characterize the identity of ISC populations and their exact hierarchy.

In humans, epithelial cells from the base of colonic crypts have been purified using an antibody against a surface-expressed WNT target gene, EPH B2 receptor (EPHB2). These cells can be expanded in vitro and behave as multipotent stem cells (Jung et al., 2011). In a more recent study, Lgr5-GFP-positive cells isolated from teratomas (see Box 1) derived from human pluripotent stem cells (hPSCs) generated uniform, long-lived intestinal organoids, thus demonstrating ISC properties (Forster et al., 2014). This further indicates that ISC identity is conserved between mice and humans.

Although regeneration of the small intestine is thus better understood than regeneration of other compartments of the mammalian GI tract, recent studies have made headway in characterizing stem cell populations in the esophagus and stomach, as discussed below.

The esophagus
The esophagus, which connects the throat with the stomach, is lined by a stratified epithelium and lacks established niche structures, such as the crypts that are found in the small intestine. The epithelium consists of multiple layers of squamous keratinocytes (see Box 1), with one layer of proliferating basal cells attached to the basement membrane and several layers of differentiated cells that are continuously shed into the lumen and replenished by cells generated at and migrating upward from the basal layer. Although esophageal stem cells are thought to exist in the basal layer, it remains unclear whether all basal cells or only a subpopulation have stem cell identity (Jeong et al., 2015). Studies using nucleotide label retention assays and a combination of cell markers have suggested that a portion of human and mouse esophageal basal cells are self-renewing and are long-lived stem cells (DeWard et al., 2014;...
needed to clarify these conflicting findings. Additional studies are needed to clarify these conflicting findings.
signaling in GSSCs leads to their mis-differentiation, generating ectopic EC-like cells in the copper cell region (Li et al., 2016), whereas, in PM-ISCs, JAK/Stat activation induces proliferation but does not alter differentiation (Jiang et al., 2009) (Fig. 2A). The recent regionally segregated comparison of ISC gene expression profiles (Dutta et al., 2015b) provides a powerful resource that could be used to identify potential factor(s) that specify regional stem cell identity and function in the Drosophila GI tract.

ISC proliferation and differentiation in mammals
In the mammalian small intestine, ISC functions are maintained and regulated by factors from the stem cell niche (see Box 1), which comprises adjacent epithelial cells, pericryptal myofibroblasts, enteric neurons, endothelial cells, intraepithelial lymphocytes and the basement membrane (Walker et al., 2009). As in flies, ISC proliferation and differentiation is controlled by a multitude of signaling pathways, including Wnt, BMP, Hedgehog (Hh) and Notch (Fig. 2B) signaling (Yeung et al., 2011).

A gradient of Wnt activity forms along the crypt axis in the intestinal epithelium of both mice and humans, with the highest activity at the crypt base, decreasing toward the villus (Kosinski et al., 2007; van de Wetering et al., 2002). Wnt signaling is critically important for adult ISC proliferation (Korinek et al., 1998), and loss of Wnt signaling in adult mice, via the genetic deletion of β-catenin (Fevr et al., 2007), the overexpression of the Wnt antagonist Dkk1 (Kuhnert et al., 2004; Pinto et al., 2003) or by the deletion of the Wnt/TCF4 target gene Myc (Muncan et al., 2006), leads to complete ISC ablation and defective crypt formation (Haramis et al., 2004; van Dop et al., 2009). Accordingly, inhibiting Hh signaling [Sonic hedgehog (Shh) and Indian hedgehog (Ihh)] results in defective villus formation and in a hyperproliferative epithelium (Madison et al., 2005). In the Drosophila hindgut (the posterior part of the GI tract after the midgut), stem cell self-renewal is maintained by Wg signaling, and Hh signaling is required for these cells to exit the cell cycle and differentiate, suggesting that the interaction between Hh and Wg is conserved across organisms (Takashima et al., 2008).

Notch signaling, in turn, seems to have acquired a different function in the mammalian ISC lineage, maintaining the proliferative state of stem and progenitor cells rather than promoting differentiation as it does in flies (van der Flier and Clevers, 2009) (Fig. 2B). The inhibition of Notch signaling in the mouse small intestine leads to cell cycle arrest of proliferative crypt cells and to the rapid conversion of all epithelial cells into secretory goblet cells (Milano et al., 2004; van Es et al., 2005b; Wong et al., 2004). A recent study has shown that blocking Notch signaling using antibodies against Notch receptors in the mouse small intestine leads to the conversion of LGR5+ ISCs into secretory cells. This perturbation is mediated by de-repression of the Wnt signaling pathway, demonstrated by the findings that Notch inhibition leads to activation of Wnt signaling and attenuation of the Wnt pathway rescues phenotypes induced by inhibitory antibodies against Notch (Tian et al., 2015). Ectopic activation of Notch in the mouse small

**Fig. 2. Stem-cell-regulating signaling pathways in the Drosophila and mouse gastrointestinal (GI) tracts.** (A) Regulation of stem cell functions in the Drosophila GI tract. (i) In the Drosophila posterior midgut (PM), numerous signaling pathways (major pathways depicted) regulate stem cell proliferation, self-renewal and/or differentiation. (ii) In the stomach-like copper cell region (CCR), Wg, EGFR and Notch signaling pathways have similar roles in regulating stem cell functions as the same pathways in the PM, whereas Dpp signaling predominantly regulates stem cell differentiation. The roles of other signaling pathways identified in the CCR have not yet been determined (question mark). (B) Regulation of stem cell functions in the mouse GI tract. (i) In the mouse small intestine, BMP, Wnt, Notch and Hh signaling pathways are required to regulate stem cell functions. However, their roles in regulating stem cell functions in other regions, including the stomach and the esophagus (ii), are less well studied, especially in homeostatic conditions (question mark).
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As in the fly, stem cells in different regions of the mammalian GI tract have distinct regional characteristics, allowing them to respond in unique ways to signals to meet local needs. For example, Lgr5-expressing stem cells can be found throughout the small intestine and in the pyloric region of the stomach, but not in the main body of the stomach (corpus) (Barker et al., 2010b), nor in the normal esophageal epithelium (von Rahden et al., 2011). This suggests that stem cells along the mammalian GI tract might be differentially regulated by Wnt signaling. In the stomach, stem cells in different regions show a large amount of diversity: Wnt signaling is required to maintain basal stem cells in the distal pyloric region (Fig. 1B) (Barker et al., 2010b), whereas Lgr5-negative basal stem cells in the stomach express Troy and exhibit different levels of Wnt activity compared to Lgr5-positive pyloric stem cells (Stange et al., 2013) (Fig. 1B). This diversity is further illustrated by the fact that constitutive Notch activation in multipotent progenitors of the corpus significantly increases cell proliferation, leading to adenoma formation, whereas Notch activation in pyloric Lgr5-positive stem cells results in normal cell proliferation (Kim and Shvidasani, 2011).

Overall, the regulation of stem cell function in the mammalian stomach and esophagus in homeostatic conditions remains poorly understood. This is partially due to the earlier mentioned debate concerning stem cell identities in the esophagus, but is also due to a lack of stem-cell-specific markers in both the esophagus and stomach. As stem cell markers and stem cell lineages are identified for these gastric regions and explored in detail, we anticipate that the regulation of these cell populations by conserved signaling pathways will become clearer (Fig. 2B).

Although recent studies have provided new information on the regulation of stem cell function by different signaling pathways both in Drosophila and mouse GI tracts, several interesting questions remain and warrant further exploration. For example, how are multiple signaling pathways integrated in stem cells to trigger the appropriate response, how do stem cells coordinate symmetric and asymmetric divisions to meet local epithelial needs during regeneration, and how do epithelial environments differentially regulate the plasticity of stem cells and differentiated cells [for example, when does trans-differentiation (see Box 1) occur]?

Owing to the simplicity of epithelial structures and the availability of powerful genetic tools, the Drosophila GI tract provides an important tool in which to address these questions. It can be anticipated that resolving these open questions will provide important insight not only into physiological regenerative processes, but will also improve our understanding of the origin and progression of proliferative dysfunctions in the GI tract, including the development of cancerous lesions. Our understanding of the development of metaplasias and dysplasias in the GI tract of flies and mammals has greatly advanced in recent years, and is summarized in the following section.

Metaplasia and dysplasia: understanding molecular mechanisms
Advances in our understanding of the behavior and regulation of GI stem cells under homeostatic conditions have also shed new light on the origin and progression of epithelial disorders in the GI tract. Metaplasia and dysplasia refer to two types of tissue lesions (see Box 1) that are associated with epithelial carcinogenesis (Slack, 2007; Ullman et al., 2009). These lesions are associated with aberrant cell proliferation and differentiation, which eventually leads to loss of tissue homeostasis. A tremendous number of clinical studies have focused on the epidemiology and pathogenesis of intestinal metaplasia and dysplasia in humans (Correa and Houghton, 2007; Har paz and Polydorides, 2010; Kapoor et al., 2015), and studies in animal models, including in mice and flies, have greatly expanded our knowledge of the mechanisms that cause these lesions at the cellular and molecular level (Li et al., 2013a; Liu et al., 2013; Mari et al., 2014; Quante et al., 2012a). In the closing sections of this Review, we discuss these studies particularly in the context of our emerging understanding of how intrinsic and extrinsic factors cause GI epithelial dysfunction, and how dysregulation of GI stem cells is related to these lesions.

Metaplasia in the mammalian GI tract
Metaplasias are defined as the replacement of one differentiated cell type by another in a potentially reversible manner. Metaplasias are most likely to occur in epithelial tissues that are frequently exposed to environmental insults and maintain the need to regenerate for tissue repair, e.g., as the airway, the esophagus and the stomach (Slack, 2007). These changes often predispose individuals to the development of cancer (Slack, 2007). Smokers, for example, often exhibit squamous metaplasia in the normally columnar-cell-lined bronchi, and these metaplastic sites are believed to be the site of origin of lung cancers (Auerbach et al., 1961).

Two of the most common metaplasias that affect the mammalian GI tract are Barrett’s esophagus (affecting the esophagus) and intestinal metaplasia (occurring in the gastric region) (Fig. 3A). The normal esophagus is lined by multiple layers of squamous cells, which, in Barrett’s esophagus, become column-shaped such that the distal esophageal epithelium is eventually replaced by a stomach-or intestine-like columnar epithelium (Falk, 2002). Barrett’s esophagus is strongly associated with gastro-esophageal reflux disease (Sarr et al., 1985; Winters et al., 1987), and is the most important risk factor for esophageal adenocarcinoma, especially when dysplastic changes occur in the later stages of the condition (Hvid-Jensen et al., 2011). Current treatment options for Barrett’s esophagus include aggressive inhibition of stomach acid production, anti-reflux surgery, chemoprevention and ablation therapy; however, there is still no agreement on an optimal treatment or prevention route (Quante et al., 2012a). This is partly due to a lack of knowledge of the exact cellular origins of this condition in humans. Recent studies of mouse models of Barrett’s-like metaplasia have implicated several types of cell, including LGR5+ stem cells, which originate in the cardiac region and migrate proximally into the esophagus in response to pro-inflammatory stimuli (Quante et al., 2012b), carbonic anhydrase 4 (CAR4)+/keratin 7 (KRT7)+/residual embryonic cells that expand proximally into the esophagus from their normal postnatal position at the squamocolumnar junction (see Box 1) (Wang et al., 2011), and cells of the submucosal gland ducts that expand and have been shown to generate Barrett’s esophagus (Leedham et al., 2008). It is also possible that normal esophageal stem cells within the basal layer change their identity and give rise to Barrett’s metaplasia. A better characterization of esophageal stem cells and of cell lineage hierarchy would help to test this hypothesis.

Intestinal metaplasia, another common lesion in the human GI tract, is characterized by the presence of intestinal epithelial cells in the stomach (Correa, 1992). Gastric carcinogenesis often follows a
Metaplasia and dysplasia in the human and Drosophila gastrointestinal (GI) tract. (A) GI pathologies in humans (‘A’, anterior, top; ‘P’, posterior, bottom). Barrett’s esophagus (left) is a metaplastic lesion, in which the distal esophageal epithelium (see red arrowhead) is replaced by a stomach- or intestine-like columnar epithelium. Gastric metaplasia (right) is another common metaplastic disease in the human GI tract, in which intestinal epithelial cells (see green arrowhead) are found in the stomach. Gastric metaplasia can develop further into dysplasia (far right, depicted in red), characterized by atypical changes of the epithelial structure due to aberrant cell proliferation and differentiation. (B) GI pathologies in Drosophila. (i) Metaplasia in the Drosophila anterior midgut (AM) is characterized by ectopic generation of copper cells (CCs), normally restricted to the middle midgut (MM) in homeostatic conditions, in the AM. The schematic on the left summarizes the replacement of AM cells by MM cells (depicted in yellow) during metaplasia. PM, posterior midgut. The images on the right were obtained by immunostaining (using an antibody specific for Cut, a marker for CCs) and confocal microscopy of the midgut region in flies. Dpp overexpression (right-hand panel) generates ectopic acid-producing CCs, indicative of metaplasia (see main text for further details). Scale bars: 100 μm. Microscopy images adapted from Li et al. (2013a). (ii) Dysplasia in the Drosophila midgut is characterized by stem cell over-proliferation and abnormal differentiation. The schematic on the left summarizes changes of the epithelial structure during dysplasia. The images on the right were obtained from confocal microscopy of the posterior midgut (blue, DAPI; green, GFP). The stem/progenitor cell marker esg>GFP (esg::Gal4, UAS::GFP) is only expressed in intestinal stem cells (ISCs) and enteroblasts (EBs) during homeostasis, whereas, in the dysplastic condition, esg>GFP begins to be expressed in differentiated cells, including enteroendocrine cells (EEs). Scale bars: 25 μm.

Dysplasia in the mammalian GI tract
Dysplasia is characterized by the acquisition of an epithelial structure that has no counterpart in the healthy body (Slack, 2007). During carcinogenesis, dysplasias are found at the neoplastic stage (Rugge et al., 2000), and their rate of progression to invasive carcinomas is very high, both in the gastric region and in the colon (de Vries et al., 2007; Rugge et al., 2000; Ullman et al., 2009). Dysplasias can be classified into low-grade and high-grade dysplasia, depending on the severity of cellular abnormalities (Itzkowitz and Harpaz, 2004). Precancerous metaplastic lesions transition to dysplasias at a relatively slow pace, but the rate of progression can vary, and can be higher in older individuals (Correa et al., 1990; Correa and Houghton, 2007). Furthermore, it is believed that this transition is not a one-way process, because more-advanced lesions can regress to less-advanced lesions (Correa et al., 1990). However, once dysplastic cells cross the basal membrane of the stomach, they become invasive gastric carcinomas, which can be lethal. Dysplasias in the colon are often seen as precursor lesions of colorectal cancer, which accounts for about 10% of all cancers throughout the world (Siegel et al., 2014; Ullman et al., 2009). Proctocolectomy, a surgical technique to remove the rectum and all...
or part of the colon, is therefore often recommended for cases in which dysplasias are detected in the colon (Itzkowitz and Harpaz, 2004).

Colorectal cancer is strongly associated with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), including ulcerative colitis and Crohn’s disease (Podolsky, 2002). A series of molecular alterations in epithelial cells, including mutations of the Wnt signaling suppressor adenomatosis polyposis coli (APC), the tumor suppressor gene p53, and the k-ras oncogene, drive the development of dysplasia or colorectal cancer from colitis in individuals with IBD (Itzkowitz and Harpaz, 2004; Aust et al., 2002; Brentnall et al., 1994; Burmer et al., 1992; Khor et al., 2011; Neurath, 2014). Inflammatory cells contribute to cancer progression by supplying growth and survival factors that sustain proliferation and limit cell death, and by releasing reactive oxygen species (ROS) that perturb genome maintenance (Grivennikov et al., 2010; Hanahan and Weinberg, 2011). Chronic inflammation, a hallmark of IBD, is thus a critical contributory factor to the molecular changes that drive the progression of colorectal cancer. In addition, recent studies have linked the development of IBD as well as the susceptibility of colitis-associated colorectal cancer to alteration of the intestinal microbiota (Manichanh et al., 2012; Uronis et al., 2009), which could modulate host immune function to favor disease development (Sivan et al., 2015; Vetziou et al., 2015).

Both metaplasia and dysplasia involve the transformation or trans-differentiation of epithelial cells, a process that likely involves changes in the transcriptional programs that establish and maintain cell identities. Indeed, the homeodomain transcription factors Cdx1 and Cdx2 have been implicated in changes in GI compartmentalization in mice. During embryonic development, Cdx1 and Cdx2 define prospective intestinal cells, but are excluded from prospective stomach regions (Correa, 1992). This expression pattern is maintained in the adult GI tract and is required to maintain the segregation of different compartments (Beck et al., 1999). Intestinal metaplasia in the human stomach is associated with ectopic expression of CDX genes (Silberg et al., 1997), and the forced expression of Cdx2 using a stomach-specific promoter in mice is sufficient to generate intestinal tissues in the stomach (Mutoh et al., 2002; Silberg et al., 2002). In the mouse intestine, loss of Cdx2 leads to the formation of a squamous epithelium that resembles the esophagus (Beck et al., 1999), whereas, in Barrett’s esophagus, Cdx2 is ectopically expressed in metaplastic cells (Eda et al., 2003). Furthermore, the overexpression of Cdx2 and bone morphogenetic protein 4 (Bmp4) induces the expression of intestinal genes in the mouse esophagus (Mari et al., 2014), whereas activation of two transcription factors, Sox2 and Stat3, transforms basal progenitor cells into malignant cells, causing squamous cancer (Liu et al., 2013). These studies suggest that deregulation of crucial transcription factors or growth factor pathways triggers a cascade of events that eventually lead to metaplasia and dysplasia.

The development of metaplasias and dysplasias is tightly linked to deregulation of GI stem cell function, and gaining a deeper understanding of the regulation of stem cell activity, identity and maintenance is crucial if we are to understand the origin and progression of these pathologies. Work in the Drosophila GI tract has made substantial progress towards this goal, as highlighted below.

**Metaplasia and dysplasia in the Drosophila GI tract**

The adult Drosophila GI tract is emerging as a powerful model in which to explore in mechanistic detail the origin and progression of metaplasias and dysplasias as a consequence of dysfunctions in ISC biology, microbe-host interactions and epithelial deregulation (Biteau et al., 2011; Lemaître and Miguel-Aliaga, 2013). During aging or after a pathogenic infection, compartmentalization of the GI tract is disturbed, as revealed by a strong alteration in gene expression patterns in these two conditions (Buchon et al., 2013b). However, signaling mechanisms that maintain compartment identities are only beginning to be understood. Dpp signaling activity forms a gradient near the boundary between the MM and the PM. This gradient seems to segregate stem cell identities in those two regions (Guo et al., 2013; Li et al., 2013a). Ectopic and chronic activation of Dpp signaling in the AM can lead to the aberrant development of copper cells, which are normally restricted to the MM, in this region; a metaplasia similar to Barrett’s esophagus in humans (Li et al., 2013a) (Fig. 3B). Interestingly, this metaplasia is only observed when Dpp is overexpressed in ECs using a strong EC driver (Li et al., 2013a), and not when it is expressed using a visceral muscle driver (Driver and Ohlstein, 2014), indicating that the source and/or strength of the Dpp signal determines the response of AM cells. Metaplasia induced by Dpp overexpression in the AM is mediated by the downstream transcription factor Labial (Li et al., 2013a), which also plays an important role in GI compartmentalization in the larval stage, specifically for MM development (Nakagoshi, 2005), similar to the role of CDX genes in mammals. Metaplasias thus seem to be driven by the ectopic activation of developmental pathways in the adult. This metaplasia is not seen in the PM, supporting the notion that ISCs in different regions of the GI tract possess distinct characteristics. It is possible that ‘pan-ISC’ transcription factors along the GI tract determine a general stem cell identity, and region-specific transcription factors further refine stem cell properties. The analysis of regional ISC gene expression profiles and of regional properties of ISCs by lineage tracing supports this notion (Dutta et al., 2015a; Marianes and Spradling, 2013).

Dysplasia is a common age-related dysfunction of the Drosophila GI tract (Fig. 3B). In aging flies, ISCs become hyper-proliferative, leading to the accumulation of mis-differentiated cells that co-express stem and progenitor cell markers (such as Dl and Esg), and differentiation markers (such as Notch signaling activity and polyplody) (Biteau et al., 2008; Buchon et al., 2009; Hochmuth et al., 2011). These dysplastic changes can result in epithelial barrier dysfunction, which is strongly associated with fly death (Rera et al., 2012). Age-related intestinal dysplasia is caused by increased JNK and/or Platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF)/Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) signaling activity in the aging intestine (Biteau et al., 2008; Choi et al., 2008). In response to oxidative stress or pathogenic infection, JNK signaling and JNK-mediated cytokine/JAK/Stat signaling can also be chronically activated in Drosophila, leading to similar dysplastic phenotypes (Biteau et al., 2008; Buchon et al., 2009; Hochmuth et al., 2011). In addition, a recent study shows that dysregulation of ISC niche signals, including EGFR ligands and cytokines that activate JAK/Stat signaling, contribute to the development of dysplasia and tumorigenesis from Notch-defective ISCs (Patel et al., 2015). Furthermore, defects in endocytic degradation can cause intestinal dysplasia (Nagy et al., 2016).

A number of factors that contribute to dysplasia in the aging intestine, including a decline of mitochondrial function in stem and progenitor cells, dysbiosis of gut commensals, inflammatory signals from the fat body, increased endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress and frequent somatic mutation in ISCs in the intestinal epithelium, have now been identified (Chen et al., 2014; Clark et al., 2015; Guo et al., 2014; Rera et al., 2011; Siudeja et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2017).
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