Biology and therapy of inherited retinal degenerative disease: insights from mouse models
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ABSTRACT
Retinal neurodegeneration associated with the dysfunction or death of photoreceptors is a major cause of incurable vision loss. Tremendous progress has been made over the last two decades in discovering genes and genetic defects that lead to retinal diseases. The primary focus has now shifted to uncovering disease mechanisms and designing treatment strategies, especially inspired by the successful application of gene therapy in some forms of congenital blindness in humans. Both spontaneous and laboratory-generated mouse mutants have been valuable for providing fundamental insights into normal retinal development and for deciphering disease pathology. Here, we provide a review of mouse models of human retinal degeneration, with a primary focus on diseases affecting photoreceptor function. We also describe models associated with retinal pigment epithelium dysfunction or synaptic abnormalities. Furthermore, we highlight the crucial role of mouse models in elucidating retinal and photoreceptor biology in health and disease, and in the assessment of novel therapeutic modalities, including gene- and stem-cell-based therapies, for retinal degenerative diseases.
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Introduction
Light is a fundamental driver of daily functions and behavior in most organisms. In vertebrates, light is captured by photoreceptors in the retina and their output constitutes the major sensory input to the brain (Noback, 2005; Rodieck, 1998). In humans, vision is paramount for quality of life and the impairment of sight represents a highly incapacitating condition. Vision loss or dysfunction can be caused by obstruction of the light path to the neural retina or inability of the retina to detect and/or transmit light-triggered signals to the brain. In retinal degenerative diseases (RDDs), it is the latter that is largely responsible for incurable blindness due to dysfunction or death of photoreceptor cells. Genetic components determine the genesis and health of photoreceptors, and mutations that lead to structural and/or functional perturbations can eventually lead to blindness. RDDs can be broadly divided into monogenic (Mendelian) or multifactorial (complex) disorders. Several RDDs can be recognized in monogenic non-syndromic and syndromic forms (see Box 1 for a glossary of terms) with clinically distinguishable findings (Berger et al., 2010) (RetNet: https://sph.uth.edu/retnet/). For the purpose of this Review, we have focused on commonly observed Mendelian retinal diseases (Table 1). The most common multifactorial RDD is age-related macular degeneration (AMD). The readers are directed to excellent reviews on AMD (Cooke Bailey et al., 2013; Fritsche et al., 2014) for further information.

During the last decade, genetic studies have provided tremendous insights into Mendelian forms of retinal diseases (Swaroop and Sieving, 2013), which afflict one in 2000-3000 individuals (Hartong et al., 2006). Retinitis pigmentosa (RP) is the most common form of inherited retinal degeneration, with a frequency of one in 3000-7000 individuals (Ferrari et al., 2011). Our understanding of molecular and genetic defects in Mendelian retinal blindness has improved tremendously in recent years (Wright et al., 2010), with the discovery of genetic defects in over 200 genes (RetNet: https://sph.uth.edu/retnet/). The advent of next-generation sequencing and better molecular diagnosis methods has enabled us to identify the genetic cause of inherited retinal disease in the majority of patients (Neveling et al., 2012; Ratnapriya and Swaroop, 2013). The major challenge now is to elucidate biological mechanisms of retinal disease pathogenesis, with the goal being the design of gene-based treatments.

The majority of genes associated with non-syndromic or syndromic retinal diseases influence photoreceptor development or function. In this Review, we focus on mouse models of monogenic retinal degeneration, where a genetic defect in a single gene is generally sufficient to cause disease. The ability of such models to assist in elucidating disease mechanisms was recognized very early by the identification of a naturally occurring nonsense mutation in the cGMP phosphodiesterase (PDE) subunit encoded by Pde6b, which causes rapid retinal degeneration in affected mice (Keeler, 1924; Pitterl and Baehr, 1991; Sidman and Green, 1965). As molecular genetic methods improve, naturally occurring models have been augmented by genetically engineered mouse models that have been immensely valuable in advancing our understanding of retinal development and degeneration. These models provide fundamental insights into biological pathways and often display phenotypes that are similar to clinical manifestations of the corresponding disease in humans, providing an opportunity to decipher mechanisms of disease pathology as well as develop therapies. However, the progress in the generation and characterization of mouse retinal disease models has been relatively slow despite the rapid pace of disease gene discovery during the last decade. With the advent of new technologies, such as ‘clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats’ (CRISPR) (Wang et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2013), we should be able to quickly produce mouse mutants with single- or even multi-gene defects.
In the following sections, we begin by describing the structure and function of the retina, followed by the genetics of hereditary retinal degeneration and discussion of the most relevant mouse models for RDDs. Next, we outline current techniques used for evaluating retinal degeneration in humans and mice, followed by a description of specific forms of RDDs caused by perturbations in photoreceptor development, intracellular trafficking, cilium biogenesis, phototransduction and synaptic function. RDDs associated with retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) dysfunction are also addressed. Finally, we discuss the importance of mouse models of RDDs in discovering novel therapeutic interventions for blinding retinal diseases.

**Retina structure and function**

During embryogenesis, the retina arises from neuroectoderm, which also generates other parts of the central nervous system. The retina is uniquely structured for perception, integration and transmission of visual information (Lamb et al., 2007). Six major types of neuron in the retina are organized in three cellular layers that are separated by synaptic layers (Fig. 1). Photoreceptors are the light-sensitive cells in the retina, with two distinct subtypes: rods and cones. Rod photoreceptors enable dim light vision, whereas cone photoreceptors mediate color vision and high visual acuity under brighter light conditions.

In the retina of most mammals, rods greatly outnumber cones, even in species that are largely diurnal; e.g. the human retina has ~105 million rods and 6 million cones. An additional cell layer, the RPE, underlies the retina and serves as a barrier between the photoreceptors and the choroidal blood supply. RPE plays crucial roles in supporting photoreceptor function, including two-way transport of nutrients and waste products and retinoid recycling (Fig. 1). Photoreceptors are highly specialized neurons designed for capturing light quanta and are organized in four distinct regions: the cell body, which includes the nucleus; the inner segment (IS); the outer segment (OS); and the synaptic region (Fig. 1C) (Lamb, 2013). The OS includes hundreds of stacked membranous discs carrying the proteins associated with phototransduction, including the visual pigment (opsin). The type of opsin present is unique to a photoreceptor subtype and defines its identity. Almost 10% of OS discs at the distal end are shed and phagocytosed by RPE daily, with new discs added at the proximal end, thereby renewing the complete OS in 10-15 days.

Three subtypes of cone photoreceptors can be generally identified in the human retina, based on the opsin they contain and its maximal spectral sensitivity; these are L- (long, 564 nm), M- (medium, 533 nm) and S- (short, 437 nm) wavelength cones. The mouse retina has only M- and S-cones. Only one type of rod photoreceptor, carrying the rhodopsin visual pigment, is present in the vertebrate retina, including in mouse and human. When in its ‘ready to be activated’ state, each opsin molecule is covalently bound to a light-sensitive chromophore, 11-cis retinal. Upon photon capture, the chromophore isomerizes to all-trans retinal, causing a conformational change in rhodopsin and activation to meta-rhodopsin II. This initiates the process of phototransduction, a cascade of biochemical events that culminate in closure of ionic channels in the cell membrane, hyperpolarization of the photoreceptor and transmission of the signal(s) to second-order neurons in the inner retina via modulation of neurotransmitter release at the synaptic terminals. All-trans retinal is then transported to the RPE for recycling and is returned to the photoreceptor in cis form, to allow production of new chromophore molecules (the visual cycle) (Travis et al., 2007).

The integrity and function of photoreceptors are absolutely crucial for vision, and mutations that affect photoreceptor function or survival disrupt the phototransduction process, leading to vision loss (Wright et al., 2010). In addition, defects in other retinal cell types, specifically the RPE, can also lead to photoreceptor dysfunction and retinal degeneration.

**Genetics of retinal degenerative diseases**

Here, we briefly review RDDs before discussing relevant mouse models. A quick search of online Mendelian inheritance in man® (OMIM; www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/omim/) shows over 1500 entries of inherited diseases with retinal dysfunction associated with over 200 different causative genes (RetNet), thus revealing tremendous clinical and genetic heterogeneity. RDDs exhibiting Mendelian inheritance can be subdivided into dominant, recessive and X-linked forms (Table 1) that can either solely impact retinal function or manifest as syndromic disease involving multiple tissues in addition to the retina. Interestingly, mutations in the same gene [e.g. **Peripherin** (also known as **RDS**) and **CEP290**, **CRX**] can cause a range of clinical phenotypes (Boon et al., 2008; Coppieters et al., 2010; Sohocki et al., 1998), whereas similar phenotypes can be the end result of impairment in one of many different genes (RetNet). In other words, a clear one-to-one genotype-phenotype correlation is frequently not possible, and hereditary retinal degenerations are currently considered as probably the most genetically heterogeneous group of diseases in humans.

RDDs are usually classified into one of the two main clinical phenotypes – rod degenerative retinitis pigmentosa (RP) and cone degenerative retinitis pigmentosa (RP).
or cone-rod dystrophy (CD or CRD, respectively) – that differ in the manner they affect rod versus cone photoreceptors. In RP, primary loss of rod photoreceptors occurs and is usually followed by cone dysfunction, whereas in ‘pure’ CDs the primary dysfunction or loss of cone photoreceptors might not necessarily cause secondary involvement of rods. When rods are involved in a primary CD, the disease is referred to as CRD. In early stages, the clinical phenotype usually reflects the primary cell type affected; i.e. in RP, night vision impairment often precedes subsequent visual field and visual acuity loss (owing to rod followed by subsequent cone impairment), whereas, in CD or CRD, the loss of visual acuity, impairment of color vision and photosensitivity (light aversion) are frequently the initial symptoms. In advanced RP and CRD, however, once widespread and severe retinal degeneration has developed, distinguishing between these two forms of disease can be difficult.

In addition, it must be stressed that clinical phenotypes represent a wide spectrum, and the classification of these diseases is continuously being modified as molecular genetic insights are gained regarding the cause of disease. As mentioned above, in addition to primary mutations in rod- or cone-specific genes that might be associated with RDDs, mutations in genes associated with RPE function can also cause secondary photoreceptor disease because the RPE is crucial for photoreceptor homeostasis (Saari, 2012; Travis et al., 2007).

Fig. 2 illustrates the intimate relationship between photoreceptors and the RPE, and lists selected proteins, mutations in which cause RDDs, according to their specific localization. Among syndromic RDDs that involve other organs besides the retina, it is important to mention ciliopathies. Because the photoreceptor OS is a modified primary cilium (see Box 1), mutations in genes affecting cilia biogenesis or function often lead to retinal degeneration in addition to dysfunction of ciliated cells in other organs, such as the inner ear.

Specific examples of syndromic ciliopathies with RDDs include Usher syndrome (in which varying degrees of hearing and vestibular function impairment occur in addition to retinal degeneration), Bardet-Biedl syndrome (BBS), Joubert syndrome and Senior-Loken syndrome (Table 1).

Macular degeneration (MD) is a specific form of RDD, affecting both rod and cone photoreceptors but limited to the macula, which is the central region of the human retina (Fig. 1A, top), responsible for high-resolution vision. The most common monogenic MD is Stargardt disease, a condition of early age onset, whereas AMD is a common and complex multifactorial disease with multiple genetic risk factors and onset in older age, as the name implies (recently reviewed in Fritsche et al., 2014).

Animal models

The use of model organisms can facilitate the elucidation of cellular mechanisms underlying human disease. The fruit fly Drosophila is a classical model that has been used for defining fundamental pathways in vision, but its photoreceptor anatomy and physiology markedly differ from those of vertebrates. Zebrfish have become a model of choice for ocular developmental studies because of a closer phylogenetic link to humans and ease of genetic manipulations and experimentation (Avanesov and Malicki, 2010). Additionally, zebrfish embryos are transparent and can be obtained in large numbers. Among mammals, large animal models, particularly primates (that have a macula), might be better suited for understanding human disease; however, in addition to the ethical concerns involved, these animals are difficult to manage and manipulate genetically, are expensive to maintain, and only a limited number of spontaneously arising models of RDDs have been identified. Thus, rodent models, and particularly mice, have become the most widely used models of human disease. These small

Table 1. A partial list of human retinal diseases with monogenic inheritance*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenotype (disease)</th>
<th>Cell type affected</th>
<th>Mode of inheritance</th>
<th>Genes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-syndromic monogenic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSNB</td>
<td>Rods more than cones (largely non-progressive)</td>
<td>Dominant</td>
<td>GNAT1, PDE6B, RHO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Recessive</td>
<td>GNAT1, CEBP4, GRK1, SAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X-linked</td>
<td>CACNA1F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA</td>
<td>Rods and cones</td>
<td>Dominant</td>
<td>CRX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Recessive</td>
<td>CRX, AIPL1, TULP1, CEBP4, PDE65, CEP290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP</td>
<td>Rods more than cones and/or RPE (progressive)</td>
<td>Dominant</td>
<td>CRX, NRL, NR2E3, PRPH2, RHO, ROM1, PDE65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Recessive</td>
<td>ABCA4, MERTK, NRL, NR2E3, PDE6A, PDE6B, RHO, RPE65, SAG, TULP1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD-CRD</td>
<td>Cones more than rods</td>
<td>X-linked</td>
<td>RPGR, RP2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macular degeneration</td>
<td>Rods and cones</td>
<td>Dominant</td>
<td>AIPL1, CRX, PRPH2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Recessive</td>
<td>ABCA4, CNGB3, RAB28,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synaptic diseases</td>
<td>Rods and cones</td>
<td>X-linked</td>
<td>CACNA1F, ELOV4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBS</td>
<td>Rods and cones</td>
<td>Dominant</td>
<td>PRPH2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joubert syndrome</td>
<td>Rods and cones</td>
<td>Recessive</td>
<td>ABCA4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior-Loken syndrome</td>
<td>Rods and cones</td>
<td>X-linked</td>
<td>RPGR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usher syndrome</td>
<td>Rods and cones</td>
<td>Dominant</td>
<td>UNC119, RIMS1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Recessive</td>
<td>CACNA2D4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X-linked</td>
<td>CACNA1F, XLR5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syndromic</td>
<td></td>
<td>Recessive</td>
<td>BBS2, BBS4, BBS6, CEP290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rods and cones</td>
<td>Recessive</td>
<td>CEP290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rods and cones</td>
<td>Recessive</td>
<td>CEP290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rods and cones</td>
<td>Recessive</td>
<td>MYOT1, USH2A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Only genes that are discussed in detail are listed here. Mutations in some of the genes lead to distinct clinical phenotypes. For a complete list, see https://sph.uth.edu/retnet/. BBS, Bardet-Biedl syndrome; CD, cone dystrophy; CRD, cone-rod dystrophy; CSNB, congenital stationary night blindness; LCA, Leber congenital amaurosis; RP, retinitis pigmentosa.
The human retina are 120 million and 6 million, respectively. The highest density of cones is at the center of the fovea (~161,900/mm²), which has no rods. The mouse retina lacks a distinct fovea and/or macula. The retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) monolayer separates the choroidal blood supply from the photoreceptors and is crucial for visual function. The lens is much larger in mice than humans relative to the eye size. (B) Photograph of a mouse retinal section stained with hematoxylin and eosin, indicating different cellular layers. The outer nuclear layer (ONL) contains photoreceptor cell bodies, from which the inner segment (IS) and outer segment (OS) extend towards the RPE. The inner nuclear layer (INL) includes amacrine, bipolar and horizontal neurons, whereas ganglion cells, axons of which form the optic nerve, reside in the ganglion cell layer (GCL). Outer and inner plexiform layers (OPL and IPL, respectively) contain synaptic regions. (C) Schematic representation of the rod and cone photoreceptors, which have distinct compartmentalized morphology. The outer segment includes hundreds of membranous discs that contain visual pigment and other phototransduction components. The metabolic machinery is present in the inner segment. The visual proteins are transported to the outer segment via a connecting cilium. The nucleus is contained in the cell body, and the presynaptic region includes one or more ribbon-like structures for docking of synaptic vesicles.

Evaluation of retinal degeneration phenotype

The eye and the retina, by virtue of their location, transparency, anatomy and physiology, allow detailed characterization of structure and function using an array of imaging, electrophysiological and psychophysical techniques that are largely non-invasive (Fig. 3). Examination of the ocular fundus (back of the eye visible through the pupil) by color photographs, fluorescein angiography, fundus autofluorescence and optical coherence tomography (OCT) imaging is routinely used to define retinal structure in health and disease in humans (Fig. 3Ai,ii) as well as in animal models (Fig. 3Bi,ii). Advanced techniques that correct for optical aberrations (adaptive optics) now allow imaging at the level of individual photoreceptors. Electrophysiological examinations, including electroretinography (ERG; see Box 1 for glossary) (Fig. 3Aiii-Biii) and electro-oculography (EOG), permit quantification of retinal and RPE function, respectively. To a large extent, mouse models of retinal disease recapitulate the human disease, albeit at a different time course and with limitations that stem from the differences between the two species, such as life span and absence of a cone-rich macular region in mice.

In the following sections, we discuss different genes associated with RDDs that are grouped according to their primary role in retinal development and function. In each case, we provide reference to relevant mouse models and how they improved our understanding of disease pathogenesis, evolution and in some cases treatment. Similarities as well as differences between human disease and the mouse model are also addressed.
CRX expression is largely restricted to photoreceptors in human and mouse retina (Chen et al., 1997; Freund et al., 1997; Furukawa et al., 1997), and it regulates the expression of numerous rod- and cone-specific genes (Corbo et al., 2010; Furukawa et al., 1999; Hao et al., 2012; Mitton et al., 2000). Mutations in CRX cause a spectrum of retinal disease phenotypes (Sohocki et al., 1998), including dominant CRD (Freund et al., 1997; Swain et al., 1997), RP and dominant as well as recessive LCA, in which marked retinal degeneration is already evident at birth (Rivolta et al., 2001; Swaroop et al., 1999). The key insight into CRX’s function in photoreceptors and its crucial role in photoreceptor development came from a mouse Crx-knockout (KO) model (Furukawa et al., 1999). Crx-KO mice are born blind, with non-functional photoreceptors that do not exhibit sufficient expression of many phototransduction genes, such as rhodopsin (Rho), compromising elaboration of rod outer segments (ROS) and ultimately resulting in photoreceptor degeneration. Surprisingly, whereas heterozygosity of specific CRX mutations in humans can cause severe retinal disease, Crx+/− mice develop normal photoreceptors that do not degenerate (Furukawa et al., 1999). However, recently reported Crx mutants in mice can largely recapitulate the dominant LCA phenotype (Roger et al., 2014; Tran et al., 2014).

NRL is a retina-specific basic motif-leucine zipper (bZIP) transcription factor (Swaroop et al., 1992), which regulates the expression of hundreds of rod genes (Hao et al., 2012; Yoshida et al., 2004). In humans, mutations that affect NRL function by affecting its phosphorylation (Kanda et al., 2007) result in retinopathies (Bessant et al., 1999; Kanda et al., 2007; Nishiguchi et al., 2004a). Although NRL is only expressed in rods, heterozygous NRL mutations severely affect both rods and cones in affected individuals (DeAngelis et al., 2002). This is a common theme in RP; even when the genetic defect is in a rod-specific gene, cones eventually die for a variety of reasons, including the lack of trophic support. The Nrl-KO mouse was seminal in demonstrating that NRL is required for determination of rod fate (Mears et al., 2001) because the Nrl-KO retina has no rods and no expression of rod-specific genes. However, short-wavelength cones (S-cones) as well as S-opsin levels are markedly increased, and M-opsin levels are moderately enhanced. Notably, replacement of Nrl with thyroid hormone receptor (TR)-β2 in mice resulted in retina with M-cones instead of rods (Ng et al., 2011). This led to the hypothesis that S-cones are the ‘default’ fate, and expression of Nrl is required to switch on the molecular pathways that determine differentiation into the rod lineage (Swaroop et al., 2010), and that NRL and TR-β2 together determine different photoreceptor fates (Ng et al., 2011). Furthermore, global gene expression analysis of photoreceptors in the Nrl-KO mouse was instrumental in obtaining critical insights regarding genes and signaling pathways that are integral to rod homeostasis (Akimoto et al., 2006; Brooks et al., 2011; Yoshida et al., 2004; Yu et al., 2004). Ectopic expression of NRL in photoreceptor precursors produces only rods in mouse retina, implying its role as a master regulator in determining cone versus rod cell fate (Oh et al., 2007).

The significance of the NR2E3 gene was recognized following the discovery that mutations in this gene cause enhanced S-cone syndrome (ESCS), with ‘gain-of-S-cone function’. The disease is progressive, often leading to marked visual impairment in later stages (Haider et al., 2000; Jacobson et al., 2004; Wright et al., 2004). In a post-mortem ESCS retina, the absence of rods and an excess of S-cones was confirmed (Milam et al., 2002). Elucidation...
of the function of NR2E3 and understanding of how mutations in this gene lead to ESCS came from analysis of a spontaneously arising mouse model, rd7, in which NR2E3 is mutated and the human phenotype is recapitulated (Ahkmedov et al., 2000; Cheng et al., 2011; Peng et al., 2005). NR2E3 is downstream of NRL (Oh et al., 2008) and Nr2e3 expression is limited to post-mitotic rods (Chang et al., 2006b). The retinal phenotype in Cep290<sup>rd16</sup> was intriguingly rescued in the McKusick-Kaufman syndrome 6 (Mkks6) mutant background; however, the mechanism of rescue is not understood (Rachel et al., 2012b).

Almost 70% of X-linked retinitis pigmentosa (XLRP; RP3) can be accounted for by mutations in the RPGR gene (Breuer et al., 2002; Vervoort et al., 2000; Zito et al., 2000). RPGR mutations are also detected in RP patients (specifically males) where no family history is available, in apparently autosomal dominant RP families, and in patients with CRD and MD (Ayyagari et al., 2002; Branham et al., 2012; Churchill et al., 2013; Demirci et al., 2002; Sharon et al., 2003). Studies with the Rpgr-KO mouse permitted the investigators to suggest its possible role in connecting cilia and directional transport needed for photoreceptor survival (Hong et al., 2003; Hong et al., 2000). The Rd9 mouse was identified as a naturally occurring mutant mouse that lacks the functional RPGR protein due to a frameshift mutation within the region of open reading frame 15 (ORF15) (Thompson et al., 2012). These two and another conditional knockout mouse model have been valuable for designing gene therapy vectors for treatment of human disease caused by RPGR mutations (Hong et al., 2005; Huang et al., 2012).

BBS is another genetically heterogeneous syndromic ciliopathy, with high incidence of retinal dystrophy together with polydactyly, urinary system abnormalities, obesity, renal failure, varying degrees of mental retardation and cardiovascular complications. BBS is associated with mutations in at least 19 genes. We provide three examples here. BBS2 and BBS4 mutations cause BBS (Mykytyn et al., 2001; Nishimura et al., 2001), whereas BBS6 (or MKKS) gene defects are associated with McKusick-Kaufman syndrome (abnormalities in finger, heart and genitals) in addition to BBS (Katsanis et al., 2000; Slavotinek et al., 2000). BBS2 and BBS4 proteins are constituents of the BBSome, a component of the basal body that is involved in formation of the nonmotile primary cilium (see Box 1) (Kim et al., 2004; Nachury et al., 2007; Shah et al., 2008), and BBS6 is a component of the chaperonin complex (see Box 1) that is required for assembling the BBSome (Seo et al., 2010). The analysis of KO mice has revealed that BBS2 and BBS4 are required for photoreceptor maintenance. In Bbs4-KO mice, the photoreceptors degenerated much earlier than in Bbs2-KO mice (Mykytyn et al., 2004). BBS4 is also required for formation of spermatozoa flagella, but is not required for nonmotile primary cilia in other tissues (Nishimura et al., 2004). These mice recapitulated...
Fig. 3. Characterization of retinal degeneration in human patients and mouse mutants. (A) Human ocular fundus photographs, optical coherence tomograms (OCT) and electroretinograms (ERG; see Box 1). (i) Wide-field color fundus image in an adult normal subject shows preserved macula and peripheral retina, with normal coloration of the underlying retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) and choroid. (ii) In an adult patient with retinitis pigmentosa [Affected (RP)], areas of atrophy accompanied by pigmentary changes indicate underlying photoreceptor degeneration. OCT imaging allows 'histological-like' assessment of retinal structure in vivo, including identification of different retinal layers. Whereas, in a normal subject, the photoreceptor layer (outer nuclear layer; see rectangular areas marked by the broken red line) is well preserved, marked thinning is evident in a patient with RP, with some sparing only in the area of the fovea, which contains only cone photoreceptors. This thinning reflects loss of photoreceptors as part of the progressive degeneration. The black arrow in the fundus images shows the location of the OCT scan across the macula, and the area in the red rectangle is magnified in the image to the right. (iii) ERG testing allows measurement of retinal function in response to light stimulation. Under dark-adapted conditions (scotopic), stimulation of the normal eye with a dim or bright white flash elicits a well-formed rod response (black traces, upper left panel) or mixed rod/cone response (upper right panel), respectively. In light-adapted conditions (photopic), single flash stimulation of the eye results in a normal cone response (lower left panel) whereas rapid stimulation (30 Hz) results in flicker waveform (lower right panel). By contrast, in RP patients, severe attenuation of these electrophysiological responses of the retina is evident (red traces). (B) Mouse ocular fundus photographs, OCT and ERG. (i) The normal [wild type (WT), C57BL/6J] mouse retina fundus has a uniform color and the blood vessels are visible. (ii) The rd1 mutant mouse retina shows large areas of atrophy and discoloration, where the photoreceptors and possibly also the RPE have degenerated. The blood vessels are not visible in the degenerating retina. OCT imaging in a 3-month-old rd1 mouse shows a striking difference in retinal thickness compared with the normal control (rectangular areas marked by broken red line). The OCT scan position is indicated by a green line in each fundus image. (iii) The dark-adapted (scotopic; indicating rod function) and light-adapted (photopic; indicating cone function) ERG responses are robust in the normal mouse (WT, black traces, at 3 weeks of age) and are practically non-detectable in the rd1 mutant mouse (red traces). Anatomical and functional studies in mouse rd1 mutants are thus similar to what is generally observed in RP patients.
some but not all aspects of the human syndrome (Mykytyn et al., 2004). The photoreceptor degeneration is comparable in Bbs2-KO, Bbs4-KO and Bbs6-KO mice (Fath et al., 2005; Ross et al., 2005). In summary, the studies in rodent models have suggested that BBS genes are required for the maintenance of cilia function in photoreceptors, and mutations in BBS genes likely compromise trafficking of proteins to the cilium. Rodent models are not available for many BBS genes, and creation of additional models would facilitate the functional analysis of their role in cilia.

Usher syndrome is another genetically heterogeneous group of disorders that are characterized by RP along with congenital or progressive sensory deafness and varying degrees of vestibular dysfunction. Type I Usher syndrome, the most severe form, is mostly caused by mutations in MYO7A (myosin VIIA; also known as USH1B) (Le Quesne Stabej et al., 2012; Weil et al., 1995). MYO7A encodes an unconventional myosin motor protein and is expressed in human embryonic RPE, photoreceptors, cochlear and vestibular neural epithelia (Weil et al., 1996). The shaker mouse (sh1) is a naturally occurring model with a spontaneous mutation in Myo7a, and manifests deafness and vestibular dysfunction. The photoreceptors in sh1 mice have accumulation of opsin at the base of the cilia, suggesting a role for MYO7A in opsins transport (Liu et al., 1999). A second Usher gene, USH2A (Usher syndrome 2A) encodes an enormously large matrix protein and is expressed in the photoreceptors and cochlear hair cells. Mutations in USH2A are associated with type II Usher syndrome (Eudy et al., 1998), in which RP is invariably present but the hearing deficit is of later onset, progressive and variable. Indeed, some mutations in USH2A result only in RP (RP39) without involvement of the inner ear (Rivolta et al., 2002). Mutations in USH2A are a common cause of autosomal recessive RP (arRP), accounting for 10-15% of cases. The analysis of Us2a-KO mice revealed that Usherin, the protein encoded by this gene, wraps around the connecting cilia at the boundary of inner and outer segments and is required for the maintenance of photoreceptors (Liu et al., 2007). After the development of the structural components, additional proteins such as Usherin seem to be required for functional integrity of the photoreceptors. In contrast, Usherin is required for the development of cochlear hair cells (Liu et al., 2007). We note that mouse models of Usher syndrome generally do not exhibit significant photoreceptor disease (Gibson et al., 1995).

The molecular carriers required for trafficking of rhodopsin to the ROS are not yet fully understood. RAB proteins are small GTPases involved in subcellular trafficking of membranes and have been suggested to mediate rhodopsin trafficking (Deretic, 1997). Rab3A, Rab6, Rab8 and Rab11 have been implicated in trafficking of rhodopsin from the sorting organelle known as the Golgi apparatus to the connecting cilium (Deretic et al., 1996; Mazelova et al., 2009). However, the analysis of rab8a and rab8b double-KO mice ruled out their requirement for ciliogenesis and/or photoreceptor development (Sato et al., 2014). No retinal diseases associated with RABs have been identified, except for CRD, which likely arises from a mutation in RAB28 (Roosing et al., 2013). The localization of RAB28 to the basal body and ciliary rootlet suggests a role in ciliary transport. Mouse mutants of Rab28 would facilitate functional analysis of Rab28 in intracellular transport and provide insights into disease mechanism.

Tul1 (tubby like protein 1) is expressed in the retina and is implicated in trafficking of rhodopsin (Hagstrom et al., 1999; Ikeda et al., 2000). Mutations in TULP1 are associated with arRP (Banerjee et al., 1998; Hagstrom et al., 1998) and LCA (Hanein et al., 2004). The Tul1-KO mouse indeed manifests early-onset retinal degeneration with rapidly progressive loss of photoreceptors.

The structural scaffold for membranous discs in the ROS is dependent on peripherin (RDS) and its interacter protein ROM1 (retinal outer segment membrane protein 1). Peripherin is localized to the rim of the OS in rods and cones, and is essential for their formation and renewal (Connell et al., 1991; Travis et al., 1991). Not surprisingly, mutations in peripheral2 (PRPH2) are associated with a variety of retinal degeneration phenotypes in humans, such as CRD and autosomal dominant RP (adRP) (Keen and Inglehearn, 1996; Nakazawa et al., 1996). The ‘retinal degeneration slow’ (rds) mouse (Rd2) is the classical model for retinal degeneration (Sanyal and Bal, 1973) and carries a Prph2 mutation (Travis et al., 1991). Homozygous rds mice are unable to elaborate the OS, and photoreceptors degeneration begins as early as postnatal day 14, but this loss progresses relatively slowly over the span of 1 year (Sharma et al., 2012). Following identification of human RP patients who were doubly heterozygous for a mutation in PRPH2 (RDS) and a null mutation in ROM1 (Dryja et al., 1997; Kajiwara et al., 1994), a similar digenic mutant mouse model showed faster photoreceptor degeneration compared with rds mutation alone, and a positive correlation was observed between the rate of photoreceptor loss and the extent of OS disorganization (Kedzierski et al., 2001).

**RDDS caused by phototransduction defects**

Visual transduction is initiated by a cascade of biochemical reactions (Fig. 4), and mutations in genes encoding phototransduction proteins are associated with blindness. Mutations in rhodopsin and cone opsins, which initiate phototransduction in rod and cone photoreceptors, respectively, can cause photoreceptor dysfunction with or without degeneration. In the rods, for example, G90D, T94I, A292E and A295V rhodopsin mutations result in a form of congenital night blindness (nyctalopia) despite rod photoreceptors being maintained nearly intact across the human life span (Dryja et al., 1993; Sieving et al., 1995; Zeitz et al., 2008). By comparison, many rhodopsin mutations (such as T17M, P23H) are associated with night-blindness from a degenerative progressive RP phenotype. K296E and K296M rhodopsin mutations cause adRP with early severe photoreceptor degeneration and vision loss (Keen et al., 1991; Vaithinathan et al., 1994). Interestingly, some of these mutations result in constitutive activity of opsin (Rao et al., 1994; Robinson et al., 1994; Zeitz et al., 2008); however, the disease phenotypes are profoundly different, suggesting that the mechanism of disease is distinct in many cases. For example, analysis of the G90D mutation using a transgenic mouse revealed sufficient activity of the chromophore-free opsin that interferes with the ability to perceive dim, real environmental light against the background of intrinsic spurious light, thereby causing vision loss at night (Sieving et al., 2001).

Rhodopsin is a major structural protein of the ROS. In patients with T17M and P23H rhodopsin mutations, which are a common cause of adRP (Hartong et al., 2006), rhodopsin is not targeted to the outer segments, resulting in short ROS (Li et al., 1994). A transgenic mouse model with humanized rhodopsin carrying the P23H mutation confirmed defective rhodopsin transport (Olsson et al., 1992). P23H is inherently unstable and its regeneration is slower compared with wild-type rhodopsin (Chen et al., 2014). Recently, the analysis of P23H knock-in mice revealed a new step in OS disc biogenesis (Sakami et al., 2014), explaining the cause of structural defects in discs and consequently photoreceptor degeneration. The Rho-KO mouse model demonstrated that a single copy of Rho is sufficient to drive both development and function of the ROS in mice, yet both alleles are required to maintain long-term functional...
Fig. 4. Schematic view of major proteins involved in phototransduction. The phototransduction events are broadly similar in rod and cone photoreceptors, and, given their complexity, we show here only the key proteins associated with rod phototransduction. During phototransduction (black arrows), the capture of photon(s) results in activation of rhodopsin, leading to dissociation of transducin (G protein) subunits βγ from Gα, which in turn activates cGMP-phosphodiesterase (PDE). PDE catalyzes the hydrolysis of cGMP to GMP, thereby causing closure of cyclic-nucleotide-gated (CNG) channels in the photoreceptor outer segment membrane. The closure of CNG channels results in photoreceptor hyperpolarization and transmission of the electrochemical signal(s) to second-order neurons in the inner retina via modulation of neurotransmitter release (not shown here). Channel closure also blocks Ca2+ entry, phosphodiesterase (PDE). PDE catalyzes the hydrolysis of cGMP to GMP, thereby causing closure of cyclic-nucleotide-gated (CNG) channels. Termination of phototransduction (red arrows and T bars) also requires the inactivation of rhodopsin, which is initiated by its phosphorylation by rhodopsin kinase [G-protein receptor kinase (GRK)], facilitating the binding of arrestin to rhodopsin. In the dark and at high intracellular Ca2+ levels, recoverin inhibits GRK and controls the lifetime of activated rhodopsin. The transducin-PDE complex is inactivated by the hydrolysis of bound GTP that is greatly accelerated by the RGS9 complex (not shown here). The latter consists of regulators of G protein signaling member 9 (RGS9), G protein β and RGS9 associated protein (R9AP) (not illustrated in the figure). The negative feedback loop associated with Ca2+ concentration is critical for maintaining phototransduction.

In rods, the α-subunit of the heterotrimeric G protein transducin is encoded by GNAT1 (Lerman and Minna, 2000), and patients with missense mutations in GNAT1 exhibit congenital stationary night blindness (CSNB) (Dryja et al., 1996; Naeem et al., 2012). A missense mutation in GNAT1 leading to a G38D change in the protein causes Nougaret CSNB, with ~100-fold reduction in rod sensitivity (Dryja et al., 1996). Mimicking this mutation in a transgenic mouse revealed reduced GTPase activity of GNAT1 and its ability to activate PDE6 (Moussaif et al., 2006). However, in contrast to affected humans, mice with the G38D mutation in the heterozygous state do not display reduced rod sensitivity. The Gnat1-KO mice demonstrated that GRK1-mediated light-dependent phosphorylation is required for deactivation of activated rhodopsin, and absence of GRK1 leads to photosensitization of the rods and induces apoptotic death (Chen et al., 1999). Mutations in S4G, which is required for terminating rhodopsin activation, are primarily associated with Oguchi disease-1 (Maw et al., 1998; Nakamura et al., 2004; Waheed et al., 2012), but some mutations can cause an RP phenotype (Nakazawa et al., 1998). Studies with knockout mice revealed that arrestin does not initiate but completes the quenching of rhodopsin’s catalytic activity (Xu et al., 1997). Mouse models have also permitted the identification of fundamental differences in the presence and function of arrestin proteins in rod and cone photoreceptors as well as in different species; e.g. cone arrestin that is ectopically expressed in rods binds less efficiently to phosphorylated rhodopsin, compared with rod arrestin (Chan et al., 2007; Nikonov et al., 2008; Weiss et al., 2001).
The heterotetrameric PDE6 complex regulates intracellular cGMP levels by hydrolyzing cGMP in response to light activation and is thus a key component in the phototransduction cascade (see Fig. 4). Null or missense mutations in PDE6A, which encodes the α-subunit of this protein, are associated with arRP (Dryja et al., 1999; Petersen-Jones et al., 1999). Rapid photoreceptor degeneration is detected in a mouse model carrying a Pde6a missense mutation, without the induction of apoptosis (Sakamoto et al., 2009). This mutation affects the catalytic domain of PDE6A, required for maintaining PDE6B levels in the retina. Missense or truncating mutations toward the C-terminus of PDE6B (encoding the β-subunit) also result in arRP (McLaughlin et al., 1993). The naturally occurring Pde6b<sup>rd1/rd1</sup> (rd1) mouse (Sidman and Green, 1965) develops rapid photoreceptor degeneration (Caley et al., 1972; Sanyal and Bal, 1973), whereas another mutation in this gene in the Pde6b<sup>rd1/rd1</sup> mouse displays a somewhat milder phenotype (Gargini et al., 2007). The rd10 mouse model is often used for testing therapeutic interventions of RP (Chang et al., 2002). In humans, the H258N mutation in PDE6B results in an autosomal dominant CSNB phenotype (Gal et al., 1994). An attempt to recapitulate the human phenotype by expressing the H258N transgene in mice did not succeed (Tsang et al., 2007), but a single allele of H258N Pde6b rescued the photoreceptor degeneration in Pde6b<sup>rd1/rd1</sup> mice (Farber and Lolley, 1976).

The PDE heterotramer also contains two γ-subunits, encoded by the PDE6G gene. Only one large consanguineous family manifesting an early-onset RP phenotype has been reported to have a PDE6G mutation (Dvir et al., 2010). Analysis of transgenic Pde6g<sup>mt1</sup> mice revealed that cGMP levels were initially increased in photoreceptors (Tsang et al., 1996). Analysis of Pde6g mutant mice (Del7C transgenic) showed that the PDE6G C-terminus has no independent catalytic function because it could not rescue Pde6g<sup>mt1</sup> mice (Farber and Tsang, 2003). On the other hand, Pde6g transgenic mice with a Y84G mutation rescues Pde6g<sup>mt1</sup> mice (Tsang et al., 2001). Similarly, a W70A mutant Pde6g transgene, thought to affect the affinity of PDE6G for transducin, rescues Pde6g<sup>mt1</sup> mutant mice, but α-transducin GTPase hydrolysis was slower. In W70A Pde6g transgenic mice, a model of stationary nystagmapia, the rods are highly insensitive to light (Salchow et al., 1999).

The PDE complex also contains PDE-delta protein, and mutations in the PDE-delta gene are associated with Joubert syndrome (Barker et al., 2014). The PDE-delta-KO mouse displays recessive CRD (Zhang et al., 2007), and this model allowed researchers to identify the role of PDE-delta in trafficking of lapidated proteins such as RGS9, RGS9 associated protein (R9AP) and G protein β5 (Kirschman et al., 2010), suggesting that PDE-delta function is restricted to rods (van der Spuy et al., 2002). AIPL1 interacts with the α-subunit of PDE6 and is essential for assembly of PDE6 subunits (Kolandaivelu et al., 2009).

In rods, the inward negative current flowing in the dark-adapted state (the so-called ‘dark current’) is a result of sodium and calcium influx through open cyclic-nucleotide-gated (CNG) channels. Light initiates the phototransduction cascade, resulting in the closure of CNG channels, which generates a hyperpolarization wave in the photoreceptors. CNG channels comprise α- (CNGA) and β- (CNGB) subunits (Kaupp and Seifert, 2002). Mutations in CNGA1 and CNGB1 cause arRP (Bareil et al., 2001; Dryja et al., 1995). A Cngb1-KO mouse displayed rod degeneration (Hüttl et al., 2005). Although, initially, cone function was preserved, by 1 year of age both cones and rods were lost. In the absence of CNGB1, the level of CNGA1 was also reduced in the OS, suggesting that the CNGB1 subunit is required for proper targeting of the CNGA1 subunit. The Cngb1 locus also encodes two related glutamic-acid-rich proteins (GARPs) (Körschen et al., 1995), and deletion of CNGB1 along with the GARPs in the null Cngb1-XI mouse markedly affected photoreceptor disk morphogenesis (Zhang et al., 2009). Mutations in CNGA3 and CNGB3, which encode similar subunits in cone photoreceptor channels, are a major cause of achromatopsia (Kaupp and Seifert, 2002; Kohl et al., 1998). Mouse models of CNGA3 and CNGB3 achromatopsia showed cone dysfunction and have been used to evaluate the efficacy of gene augmentation therapy (Biel et al., 1999; Carvalho et al., 2011; Pang et al., 2012). A Cngb3-KO mouse (as well as additional mouse models of cone disease) was also recently used to examine the effects of thyroid hormone on cone survival, as a potential novel therapeutic approach (Ma et al., 2014).

The rate-limiting step in the termination of phototransduction is the deactivation of activated transducin-PDE (G*-PDE*) (Krispel et al., 2006). This is accomplished by two important steps: GTPase activating protein [GAP; consisting of ‘regulators of G protein family member 9’ (RGS9), RGS9 associated protein (R9AP) and G protein β5] activates the G*-PDE* complex, and guanylate cyclases (GCs) with their activators (GCAPs) replenish cGMP. Whereas R9AP and RGS9 mutations cause bradyopsia (see Box 1) (Nishiguchi et al., 2004b), GC1 mutations result in CD and LCA (Hanein et al., 2004). On the other hand, GCAP1 mutations have been associated with CRD (Baehr et al., 2007). In mice, GC1 is expressed both in rods and cones, whereas GC2 is expressed only in rods; these two GCs maintain the dark current in rods and their function seems redundant or overlapping, as revealed by G<sup>C1</sup>-KO or GC2-KO mice. Notably, the double KO has nonfunctional rods and cones (Baehr et al., 2007). The analysis of a GCAP1 and GCAP2 double-knockout mouse reveals a photoreceptor with larger amplitude and delayed decline compared with the wild type (Mendez et al., 2001).

Given the importance and complexity of the visual process in mammals, it is not surprising that mutations in almost all proteins that are associated with photoreceptor function, specifically phototransduction, can cause vision impairment. Here, model organisms (particularly mouse mutants) have been invaluable in elucidating disease mechanisms and designing of treatments (discussed later).

**RDDs and synaptic transmission defects in mouse models**

Photons captured by photoreceptors are transduced into an electrochemical signal at the ribbon synapses with bipolar cells. The vesicles carrying glutamate neurotransmitter mediate this step by releasing their content in response to changes in the membrane potential. In the dark, photoreceptor L-type calcium channels are open and Ca<sup>2+</sup> influx to the cytoplasm occurs, causing glutamate release. Following activation by light, a graded decrease in glutamate release at the ribbon synapses mediates the signal onwards from the photoreceptor to the bipolar cell. The main Ca<sup>2+</sup> channel in rod and cone synapses is the calcium-binding protein CaBP4 (Haeseleer et al., 2004). CACNA1F encodes a subunit of the voltage-gated L-type calcium channels expressed in the retina, and mutations in this gene cause X-linked CSNB (Strom et al., 1998).
and, less frequently, X-linked CRD (Huang et al., 2013; Jalkanen et al., 2006). This might be due to an abnormal Ca²⁺ influx and neurotransmitter release that compromises membrane potential at the outer plexiform layer (OPL; Fig. 1B) (Ball et al., 2002; Haeseleer et al., 2004). Cacna1f-KO mice show reduced rod and cone ERG and loss of photoreceptor synapses (Mansergh et al., 2005). Mutations in CACNA2D4, another subunit of the voltage-gated L-type calcium channel, are associated with autosomal recessive CRD (arCRD) (Wycisk et al., 2006). Furthermore, a naturally occurring Cacna2d4 mutant mouse displayed retinal degeneration with marked defects in the synaptic layer.

Unlike conventional glutamate synapses, the photoreceptor ribbon synapses are not dependent on proteins of the Munc13 family for exocytosis (Cooper et al., 2012). This fundamental difference of the ribbon synapse was identified through analysis of mice lacking the ubiquitously expressed Munc13-2 splice variant (ubMunc13-2-KO mice), indicating specialized machinery to mediate exocytosis in ribbon synapses. Although the molecular components in this process are still largely unknown, the significance of one key component, CSP-α (DNAJC5), in vision has been demonstrated using null mice (Schmitz et al., 2006). Lack of CSP-α in photoreceptor terminals impaired assembly of the SNARE complex, which is required for membrane fusion and the development of ribbon synapses, leading to progressive neurodegeneration (Sharma et al., 2011).

UNC119 (uncoordinated 119) is expressed in ribbon synapses of rods and cones, and a mutation in UNCI19 was linked to late-onset CRD in one patient. However, its involvement in CRD is debatable, because the mutation did not co-segregate with the disease in the family (Kobayashi et al., 2000). Transgenic mice carrying a mutated human UNCI19 transgene develop fundus lesions, display abnormalities in ribbon synapses and abnormal ERG responses, suggesting that retinal degeneration might be caused by defects in trans-synaptic transmission (Kobayashi et al., 2000). Further investigations are however required.

RIMS1 (regulating synaptic membrane exocytosis 1) is a RAB3A-interacting protein (Wang et al., 2000), and RAB3A is a synaptic-vesicle-associated protein involved in exocytosis. Mutations in RIMS1 cause autosomal dominant CRD (adCRD) (Johnson et al., 2003), and the Rims1-KO mouse indeed shows defects in neurotransmitter release (Schoch et al., 2002).

Currently, we have limited knowledge of the molecular determinants at retinal synapses. In the future, forward- and reverse-genetic approaches using mouse models could be adopted to identify new genes and pathways affecting the structure and function of photoreceptor and other synapses in the retina.

**RDDs caused by defects in RPE integrity or function**

We now discuss defects in the RPE, which plays a crucial role in photoreceptor survival. Mutations in several RPE-specific genes, including RPE65, LRAT (lecithin retinol acyltransferase) and MERTK (tyrosine-protein kinase Mer), have been identified in patients with early-onset retinal degeneration and LCA (Gal et al., 2000; Gu et al., 1997; Thompson et al., 2001). Currently, three mouse models are available for investigating RPE65, which encodes an isomerase that is crucial for the derivation of cis-retinal: first, a naturally occurring mouse mutant, rd12; second, an Rpe65-KO model; and, finally, a transgenic mouse carrying the R91W mutation that is often seen in humans (Pang et al., 2006; Redmond et al., 1998; Samardzija et al., 2008). The null and rd12 models display degeneration of photoreceptors, but the Rpe65-KO model has a preponderance of loss of S-cones. RPE65-R91W transgenic mice are able to generate some 11-cis-retinal (~10% of normal), which leads to partially functional rhodopsin, and the rate of degeneration in this mutant is somewhat slower than in the Rpe65-KO mouse. Differences in mouse mutants might reflect observed phenotypes among patients with distinct RPE65 mutations (Cideciyan et al., 2010) (R. Ratnapriya, E.B., S. G. Jacobson and A.S., unpublished data). Analysis of Lrat-KO mice has demonstrated a requirement of LRAT in RPE for ROS maintenance (Batten et al., 2004): ERG recordings were severely reduced in Lrat-KO mice at a young age. Thus, this mutant serves as a good model for early-onset severe retinal dystrophy such as that occurring in LCA. The functional role of MERTK was initially analyzed in the Royal College of Surgeon (RCS) rat and then in Mertk-KO mice, which demonstrate retention of discarded disc material between the photoreceptors and the RPE, resulting in gradual loss of photoreceptors (Duncan et al., 2003).

**Other degenerative diseases**

**Stargardt disease**

Stargardt disease is the most common form of genetically driven progressive juvenile macular degeneration that affects central vision. In the original use of the term, Stargardt is inherited in an autosomal recessive mode from mutations in the gene ABCA4 [ATP-binding cassette, sub-family A (ABC1), member 4], which encodes an ATP-binding transporter protein (Allikmets, 1997) that is specifically expressed in photoreceptors. ABCA4 functions as a flipase, which moves N-retinylidenephosphatidylethanolamine (NR-PE) from inside the ROS to the outside. The Abca4-KO mouse model has been utilized to understand the etiology of Stargardt disease (Weng et al., 1999). In Abca4-KO mice, phagocytosis of the ROS by the RPE results in the accumulation of A2-E (N-retinylidenene-N-retinylethanolamine) to toxic levels in the RPE.

Some cases of Stargardt disease follow autosomal dominant inheritance owing to mutations in ELOVL4 (elongation of very long chain fatty acids-like 4) (Allikmets, 1997; Zhang et al., 2001), which is required for the synthesis of very-long-chain fatty acids (Agbaga et al., 2008). Analysis of humanized transgenic mice expressing mutant ELOVL4 reveals that RPE atrophy and photoreceptor degeneration result from accumulation of phagosomes and lipofuscin (Karan et al., 2005; Vaisreddy et al., 2006).

**Retinoschisis**

X-linked retinoschisis (XLRS) is a prevalent retinal dystrophy affecting only males and marked by the schisis (splitting) of the neural retina. XLRS is caused by mutations in the retinoschisin gene (RS1) (Hiriyanna et al., 1999; Sauer et al., 1997). Retinoschisin is a cell-surface adhesion molecule expressed by photoreceptor and bipolar cells, and is required for the development and maintenance of retinal architecture (Vijayasarthathy et al., 2007). Analysis of Rs1-KO mice showed pan-retinal pathological splitting of the retina (Weber et al., 2002), which mimics human XLRS disease (Prenner et al., 2006). One difference in humans is the distinctive radiating pattern of macular schisis cysts, which are not replicated in mouse owing to the lack of a macular structure. The findings in Rs1-KO mice support the notion that this protein is required for the organization of retinal layers and for organization and function of the photoreceptor-bipolar cell synapse, thereby explaining the characteristic reduction of the ERG b-wave, which is generated by the bipolar cells following trans-synaptic activation by the photoreceptors. Furthermore, in Rs1-KO mice the a-wave (produced by the hyperpolarization of photoreceptors) is preserved, supporting normal initiation of the visual signal (Takada et al., 2008). Importantly, Rs1-KO mouse models have served to show the
possibility of gene therapy via intravitreal delivery of viral vectors carrying the normal gene, in preparation for application of this treatment in patients with retinoschisis (Byrne et al., 2014; Park et al., 2009; Zeng et al., 2004).

**Leber hereditary optic atrophy**

Leber hereditary optic atrophy (LHOA) often manifests in the second decade of life and is the cause of acute or subacute central vision loss. LHOA results from mutations in mitochondrial genes; some of the mutations can also induce neurological and muscular phenotypes (Larsson et al., 1991). Many alleles are associated with LHOA, but three primary mutations (at nucleotide positions −3460, −11778 and −14484 of LHOA, affecting Complex I) underlie the majority of cases (Riordan-Eva and Harding, 1995). Given its multigenic and complex inheritance pattern, designing an animal model has been quite challenging. The animal models for LHOA have been generated by reducing SOD2 mRNA levels in the eye (Qi et al., 2003) or by delivering mutant versions of the human ND4 (NADH dehydrogenase subunit 4) gene into the eye (Ellouze et al., 2008; Qi et al., 2007). Such localized alteration of gene expression in the eye replicated clinical features of LHOA, with disrupted mitochondrial cytarchitecture and death of the ganglion cells (Qi et al., 2007). The use of wild-type human ND4 has been promoted as a safe option for treating LHOA because delivery of ND4 to the mouse eye did not elicit side effects (Ellouze et al., 2008). An induced mouse model has also been used in preclinical studies of ND4 gene therapy for LHOA (Koilkonda et al., 2014). A human clinical trial was started in 2014 using adeno-associated virus 2 (AAV2) delivery to target the mitochondrial ND4 gene mutation, G11778A (www.clinicaltrials.gov NCT02161380) (Lam et al., 2014).

**Preclinical models for developing therapies**

The eye and especially the retina have become the ‘testing ground’ for novel therapeutic modalities for neurodegenerative diseases by virtue of their accessibility, small size, and the ability to apply multiple techniques to assess structural and functional integrity. After huge success in gene discovery and in the generation of excellent model systems, first-of-their-kind gene- and cell-based therapies are often launched in the eye, targeting retinal disease (Fig. 5). Currently, application of such novel therapies in humans necessitates preclinical testing in animal models in order to prove safety and efficacy, and the most widely used are mouse models of disease.

**Gene therapy**

Gene replacement/augmentation therapy relies on the delivery of a normal copy of the defective gene to restore function. Currently, in clinical application, viral vectors are used to transduce the target cells. Pioneering gene therapy trials have become possible because of the use of animal models, and AAV vectors have now been successfully used to deliver target gene(s) to the RPE or photoreceptors (Fig. 5C). The first successful clinical example of such gene therapy came from LCA patients with congenital blindness caused by mutations in the RPE65 gene (Bainbridge et al., 2008; Cideciyan, 2010; Cideciyan et al., 2008; Maguire et al., 2008). The Rpe65-KO mouse (Redmond et al., 1998) and a naturally occurring Briard dog model of RPE65 disease were instrumental in developing this treatment, providing the opportunity to examine and prove safety and efficacy of the vectors prior to application in human patients (Acland et al., 2001; Pang et al., 2006). A better understanding of RPE65 as well as LRAT function in the visual cycle, based on data from mouse models (Van Hooser et al., 2000), has allowed development of a treatment for respective LCA patients based on supplementation of synthetic 9-cis retinoid (Koenekoop et al., 2014). Along these lines, a Merit-KO mouse has been used for testing efficacy of gene therapy for another RPE-specific gene that causes severe arRP, and a clinical trial in patients is ongoing (Conlon et al., 2013) (www.clinicaltrials.gov NCT01482195).

The majority of inherited retinal degenerations are caused by mutations in genes that affect photoreceptor function. Indeed, many mouse models have been used to demonstrate efficacy of gene augmentation therapy to correct defects in genes involved in phototransduction (Bennett et al., 1996; Boye et al., 2010; Michalakis et al., 2012; Tan et al., 2009; Wert et al., 2013) or ciliopathy (Chamling et al., 2013; Lopes et al., 2013; Simons et al., 2011). In the Bbs4-KO mouse, AAV-mediated BB$S$ delivery was shown to prevent photoreceptor death and maintain nearly normal-appearing ROS by rescuing rhodopsin mislocalization (Simons et al., 2011). Gene therapy can also rescue defects in Peripherin$^{-2}$KO (Schlichtenbrede et al., 2003) and Aip1$^{-}$KO (Ku et al., 2011; Sun et al., 2010) mice. Treatment for achromatopsia was successful in CNGA3-KO and CNGB3-KO mice (Carvalho et al., 2011; Pang et al., 2012). The accumulation of lipofuscin pigment A2E in the retina of ABCa4-KO mice could be corrected by delivering the intact human ABCA4 gene (Kong et al., 2008). Clinical gene therapy trials have already begun for patients with several photoreceptor diseases, including Stargardt disease and Usher syndrome (www.clinicaltrials.gov NCT01367444 and NCT01505062).

Retinoschisis, which affects retinal architecture, is another disease nearing clinical application, following successful gene therapy in the Rs1$^{-}$KO mouse model (Min et al., 2005; Zeng et al., 2004). Targeted expression of RS1 in the IS of photoreceptors via AAV vectors was capable of improving structure and function of the retina in this model (Byrne et al., 2014; Park et al., 2009). The potential of gene therapy in the context of hereditary retinal disease is further highlighted by the recent report of treatment in patients with choroideremia, which is characterized by slow degeneration of the photoreceptors, RPE and choroid (see Box 1) (MacLaren et al., 2014). Mutations in REP1 (Rab escort protein 1) are the cause of choroideremia, and the treatment of patients was made possible after safety and efficacy were shown in a mouse model (Tolmachova et al., 2013).

Studies in mice also suggest that it would be crucial to deliver the normal gene before maturation of the photoreceptors for maximum efficacy of treatment rather than delivering the gene after maturation (Byrne et al., 2014). Early diagnosis and intervention would thus be desirable for the treatment of RDD patients.

Gene replacement therapy in the eye has benefited greatly from the use of AAV-derived vectors that have retinal tropism, allowing significant advances in gene transfer for both preclinical and clinical research (Koerber et al., 2009; Trapani et al., 2014; Vandenberghe et al., 2011). AAV is safe and delivers genes to both photoreceptors and RPE; however, AAV cannot accommodate genes over 5 kb. Therefore, other methods are being explored. For example, a non-viral nanoparticle has been used to deliver RS1 or RPE65 plasmid to the retina (Delgado et al., 2012; Koirala et al., 2013). Lenti- and adenovirus-based vectors are also being investigated for gene delivery to the retina (Yañez-Muñoz et al., 2006), but these do not seem to be very efficient in transducing photoreceptors (Puppo et al., 2014). The vast majority of these studies have been conducted in mouse models of disease, which have greatly assisted in the development and optimization of treatment strategies.
Cell-based therapy

Cell-based therapy is being explored in the context of retinal disease, and first-in-human clinical trials have been recently launched by targeting the RPE. These trials were preceded by studies in animal models, particularly in rodents. Human embryonic (Lu et al., 2009), induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC)-derived (Buchholz et al., 2009), fetal, umbilical-tissue-derived and bone-marrow-derived (Lu et al., 2010) neuronal and retinal progenitors (Tucker et al., 2011) were examined in models of retinal degeneration, delivered in suspension or on a scaffold. The RPE was chosen as the ‘first target’...
because cell replacement in this case would not require formation of neuronal connectivity, and because of the involvement of the RPE in AMD and certain retinal diseases (Ramsden et al., 2013) (Fig. 5B,C). The attempts to differentiate cells that display morphological similarities and characteristics of RPE cells have gained momentum (Idelson et al., 2009; Klimanskaya et al., 2004; Vugler et al., 2008), and stem-cell-derived RPE has been transplanted into the subretinal space to slow the degeneration of photoreceptors in rodent models (Lu et al., 2009; Lund et al., 2006). These experiments led the way to the launching of a first-in-human clinical trial in which RPE cells derived from human embryonic stem cells were transplanted into the subretinal space of patients with AMD or Stargardt disease, and initial results appear promising (Schwartz et al., 2012; Schwartz et al., 2014). Alternatively, direct transplantation of stem or progenitor cells has also shown some promise in animal models, presumably through the secretion of trophic factors that rescue dying cells and attenuate degeneration (Otanı et al., 2004). The secretory nature of growth factors such as ciliary neurotrophic factor (CNTF) have been exploited for a novel mode of therapy by intraocular implanting of CNTF-releasing encapsulated cells (Sieving et al., 2006).

An ambitious goal for true regenerative cell therapy for RDDs is the transplantation of photoreceptors because the loss of photoreceptor cells underlies vision loss in RDDs. Once achieved, this would not only attenuate disease progression (as current forms of gene therapy and RPE transplantation allow) but also lead to tissue replacement and/or repair. Photoreceptor replacement would circumvent difficulties associated with gene-based therapy and could potentially be applied to RDDs with genetic causes and even at an advanced stage of disease. Unlike transplantation of RPE or cells that act via trophic effects, photoreceptor replacement would require not only correct localization and integration of the cells but also the formation of functional synaptic connections with the inner retina. This challenge is currently being addressed and tested in mouse models of RDDs. Post-mitotic photoreceptor precursor cells that express GFP driven by the transcription factor NRL and thus are destined to differentiate into rod photoreceptors (Akimoto et al., 2006; Swaroop et al., 2010) have been shown to integrate into the host retina of different RDD rodent models, and, although the efficacy of integration was initially very low, more recent studies were able to improve transplantation efficacy and demonstrate improvement in retinal and/or visual function (MacLaren et al., 2006; Pearson et al., 2012; Yao et al., 2011). Such integration was also achieved when photoreceptor precursors were derived from mouse embryonic stem cells in culture, rather than collected from early postnatal donor mice (Gonzalez-Cordero et al., 2013). In addition, fully mature photoreceptors taken from adult retina could also integrate in wild-type retina, but with limited survival (Gust and Reh, 2011). Human embryonic-stem-cell-derived and patient-specific iPSC-derived photoreceptor precursors have also been transplanted in the mouse retina, although with limited success (Hambright et al., 2012; Tucker et al., 2013). It is important to note that, although transplantation of rod photoreceptors is showing improved efficacy in mouse models, obtaining cone photoreceptor integration and survival remains elusive. It is clear that we still have a long way before photoreceptor transplantation in humans becomes a reality, but mouse models of RDDs will continue to serve as the primary experimental system in which cell therapy of neuronal tissue will be developed.

**Drug discovery**

Potential molecular targets for drug therapy are being identified for different retinal disorders (Fig. 5B,C). Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) plays a key role in neovascularization and vascular leakage in diabetic retinopathy (DR) and AMD (Adams et al., 1994; Kvatna et al., 1996). Anti-VEGF therapy is valuable for the treatment of neovascular AMD and other retinal diseases (Campochiaro et al., 2011; Jo et al., 2014). The Ras GTPase pathway, which functions downstream of VEGF, is active during development of normal or pathological vascular networks. Negative regulation of this pathway by delivery of α-miR-132, a chemically engineered oligonucleotide with a sequence that is complementary to the endogenous microRNA-132, was shown to prevent angiogenic sprouting in the developing mouse eye (Westenskow et al., 2013). Another success for pharmacological intervention has been achieved in the double-KO Abca4−/−; Rdh8−/− mouse, a model for rod and cone degeneration, resembling features of Stargardt disease. Targeted activation or blocking of the G-protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) signaling pathway and direct inhibition of adenylate cyclase by pharmacological compounds seems to improve photoreceptor cell survival, preserve photoreceptor function and attenuate the accumulation of pathological autofluorescent protein deposits produced by degenerating photoreceptors in the retina (Chen et al., 2013).

In summary, the animal models of RDDs have set the initial stage for developing and testing effective treatment paradigms such as gene therapy, and cell-, drug- and small-molecule-based therapies. Additional animal models of RDDs will expand the scope for developing new treatment for RDDs.

**Conclusions and perspectives**

Advances in molecular genetics and particularly next-generation sequencing methods have greatly accelerated the pace of gene discovery for RDDs, and mouse models have been instrumental in deciphering the biology of these debilitating blinding disorders as well as for the development of novel therapeutic modalities. However, although many mouse models have provided novel insights into biochemical and cellular pathways underlying retinal disease, the rodent eye and retina differ from those of the human, and in many instances mouse models do not faithfully replicate the human condition. Basic differences include the fact that rodents are nocturnal and have a rod-dominated retina with only two types of cone photoreceptors (versus three in humans). More importantly, the mouse retina does not have a cone-enriched macula, which is at the center of vision in humans. In addition, dissimilarities in life span and rate of disease progression in mice versus humans can complicate some of the interpretations. Nonetheless, mouse models are currently the leading in vivo tool for exploration of disease in general and retinal diseases in particular by virtue of their cost and availability, the ability for genetic manipulation, and the relative ease of their use. An alternative sought-out model for studying RDDs is the zebrafish, because of its phylogenetic proximity to humans. The zebrafish produces large number of embryos, which develop ex vivo and are thus amenable to genetic manipulations and experimentation. This makes them an ideal model for high-throughput drug screening. The ex vivo development and transparency of zebrafish embryos further enable their use for studying early developmental genes associated with embryonic lethality, which is a bottleneck in mouse models.

With advances in stem-cell and iPSC technology, the first steps to emulate human disease in vitro are currently being taken using sophisticated culturing techniques (Eiraku et al., 2011; Nakano et al., 2012). Ultimately, such experimental systems might allow the study of pathogenic mechanisms as well as initial attempts at therapy. However, the need to study interactions within a living mammal will...
remain, and mouse models of disease will continue to be the mainstay of such efforts. New technologies of genetic manipulation, such as CRISPR-Cas, that allow precision genome editing can be employed to quickly engineer mouse genomes, and it would even be possible to simultaneously alter many genes. Such technologies are promising for creating animal models for multigenic complex RDDs and for elucidating pathogenic mechanisms involving gene-gene and gene-environment interactions.

Currently, identification of a gene and mutations associated with RDDs is a relatively easy task because of the availability of tools for genetic analysis. However, to develop treatment for genetic diseases it is necessary to first decode the function of the gene. To increase our knowledge of gene function there is a need to develop better and more efficient tools to target gene manipulation. The subcellular functional analysis of proteins requires more sophisticated technical advancements, such as single-molecule tracking in vivo with high-resolution imaging.

The molecular players are relatively better known in rods than in cones. Therefore, future research should focus more in this direction given the crucial role of cones in human vision. The photoreceptors heavily rely upon trafficking modules, but their identity and function is not well understood. Further research in this area can lead to new modes of drug delivery for RDDs. The photoreceptor transplantation treatment for RDDs is limited by the inability of photoreceptors to properly form synaptic connections, because the development, maintenance and function of photoreceptor synapses are poorly understood. Additional investigations are also required to elucidate complex interactions among retinal neurons and supportive retinal Müller glia. We are confident that model organisms, especially mice, will continue to provide original and valuable insights into the biology, disease and therapy of the retina.
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