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Fibrodysplasia ossificans progressiva (FOP; MIM #135100) is a debilitating genetic disorder of connective tissue metamorphosis. It is characterized by malformation of the great (big) toes during embryonic skeletal development and by progressive heterotopic endochondral ossification (HEO) postnatally, which leads to the formation of a second skeleton of heterotopic bone. Individuals with these classic clinical features of FOP have the identical heterozygous activating mutation (c.617G>A; R206H) in the gene encoding ACVR1 (also known as ALK2), a bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) type I receptor. Disease activity caused by this ACVR1 mutation also depends on altered cell and tissue physiology that can be best understood in the context of a high-fidelity animal model. Recently, we developed such a knock-in mouse model for FOP (Acvr1R206H+/−) that recapitulates the human disease, and provides a valuable new tool for testing and developing effective therapies. The FOP knock-in mouse and other models in Drosophila, zebrafish, chickens and mice provide an arsenal of tools for understanding BMP signaling and addressing outstanding questions of disease mechanisms that are relevant not only to FOP but also to a wide variety of disorders associated with regenerative medicine and tissue metamorphosis.

FOP: the clinical picture

Fibrodysplasia ossificans progressiva (FOP; MIM #135100) is a debilitating genetic disorder of connective tissue metamorphosis. It is characterized by malformation of the great (big) toes during embryonic skeletal development and by progressive heterotopic endochondral ossification (HEO; see Box 1 for glossary) postnatally, leading to the formation of a second skeleton of heterotopic bone. Although FOP is an extremely rare disease and the most severe form of HEO in humans, its lessons are relevant to every common, non-genetic form of HEO, such as that which occurs after soft tissue injury (Kaplan et al., 2004; Pignolo and Foley, 2005), injury to the central nervous system (van Kuijk et al., 2002), total joint replacement surgery (Neal et al., 2002) and severe war wounds (Potter et al., 2006; Forsberg et al., 2009), as well as HEO that occurs in atherosclerosis and end-stage valvular heart disease (Mohler et al., 2001). In addition, disease mechanisms revealed by FOP might be harnessed and exploited to create new skeletal elements for regenerative medicine in individuals with fracture non-unions, failed spine fusions, traumatic bone loss or congenital agenesis of skeletal elements.

The two defining clinical features of classic FOP are congenital malformations of the great toes and progressive heterotopic ossification in characteristic anatomical patterns (Kaplan et al., 2008a; Shore et al., 2006). Heterotopic ossification is typically seen first in the dorsal, axial, cranial and proximal regions of the body, and later in the ventral, appendicular, caudal and distal regions (Kaplan et al., 1990; Cohen et al., 1993; Rocke et al., 1994).

During the first decade of life, children with FOP develop inflammatory soft tissue swellings (known as flare-ups) that appear suddenly and expand rapidly. A flare-up is the first clinical indication of the tissue metamorphosis, which involves the catabolism of soft connective tissues (including aponeuroses, fascia, ligaments, tendons and skeletal muscles) and their replacement by extraskeletal bone through HEO (Kaplan et al., 1993a). FOP is frequently misdiagnosed, and is often mistaken for aggressive fibromatosis or various musculoskeletal tumors (Kitterman et al., 2005).

Minor trauma such as intramuscular immunizations (Lanchoney et al., 1995), mandibular blocks for dental work (Luchetti et al., 1996), muscle fatigue, blunt muscle trauma from bumps, bruises and falls (Glaser et al., 1998), or influenza-like illnesses (Scarlett et al., 2004) can trigger painful episodes of progressive HEO, suggesting that inflammation plays a crucial role in disease progression (Kaplan et al., 2005a). Trauma induced by operative removal of heterotopic bone also leads to new bone formation (Kaplan et al., 1993a; Kaplan et al., 2008a).

Although skeletal muscle is the tissue most often affected by heterotopic ossification, extraskeletal bone also forms in other connective tissues such as aponeuroses, fascia, ligaments and tendons. The diaphragm, tongue and extraocular muscles are spared from FOP. Cardiac muscle and smooth muscle are not affected (Connor and Evans, 1982).

Flare-ups of FOP are episodic; disability is cumulative. Most affected individuals develop HEO by 7 years of age, with severely restricted mobility of the spine and upper limbs by 15 years (Cohen et al., 1993; Rocke et al., 1994). Most affected individuals are confined to a wheelchair by the third decade of life, and require...
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Disease Models & Mechanisms have also been correlated with disease flare-ups (Kaplan et al., 1998). As tissue trauma and viral infections, supporting the idea that genetic factors direct the disease phenotype during prenatal development, whereas environmental factors have a major influence on postnatal progression of HEO (Hebela et al., 2005).

Histopathology of FOP lesions

Lesion formation in FOP is a pathological process of skeletal metamorphosis in which the normal structure and function of a tissue (skeletal muscle, for example) is destroyed and replaced by that of another tissue (bone). This process has been well described, and begins with a catabolic stage that is associated with muscle cell injury and death that is characterized by an inflammatory mononuclear cell infiltrate involving lymphocytes, macrophages and mast cells (Kaplan et al., 1993a; Gannon et al., 1997; Gannon et al., 1998; Gannon et al., 2001; Hegyi et al., 2003; Pignolo et al., 2005; Shore and Kaplan, 2010). As the process of tissue metamorphosis spreads through contiguous and adjacent sites with subsequent episodic flare-ups, skeletal elements ramify to form a disabling second skeleton of heterotopic bone.

Recent cell lineage tracing studies investigated the origins of the progenitor cells that participate in the various stages of bone morphogenetic protein (BMP)-induced heterotopic ossification in mouse models (Lounev et al., 2009). Despite the osteogenic response of skeletal myoblasts to BMPs in vitro, MyoD+ skeletal muscle precursors contribute minimally to heterotopic ossification (<5%) (Lounev et al., 2009). Cells of smooth muscle origin also did not contribute to the chondrogenic or osteogenic anlagen. However, cells that expressed the vascular endothelial marker Tie2 contributed to all stages of BMP-induced heterotopic ossification, constituting 40-50% of lesional cells at the fibroproliferative, chondrogenic and osteogenic stages of maturation. Importantly in FOP, cells of Tie2+ origin seem to be responsible in part for the formation of the fibroproliferative lesion (Medici et al., 2010; Wosczyna et al., 2012).

A unique FOP case study together with murine bone marrow transplantation experiments implicated the innate immune system...
in disease flare-ups. However, the presence of the FOP mutation in cells of the innate immune system was not required to induce a flare-up of disease activity (Kaplan et al., 2007a). Rather, normal innate immune cells were sufficient to trigger disease flare-ups in the connective tissues of a genetically susceptible chimeric host. Activation of inflammatory pathways through the innate immune system seems to be an important trigger for postnatal flare-ups of FOP (Kaplan et al., 2005a).

**The ACVR R206H mutation in FOP**

Individuals with classic clinical features of FOP all have the identical heterozygous activating mutation (c.617G>A; R206H) in the gene encoding ACVR1 (also known as ALK2), a BMP type I receptor. Codon 206 is highly conserved and occurs within the glycine-serine (GS) region of the cytoplasmic domain of ACVR1 (Shore et al., 2006). Phenotypic and genotypic variants of FOP (atypical FOP) have been described (Fukuda et al., 2008; Furuya et al., 2008; Kaplan et al., 2009a; Bocciardi et al., 2009; Carvalho et al., 2010; Petrie et al., 2009; Ratbi et al., 2010; Gregson et al., 2011; Whyte et al., 2012).

The ACVR1 R206H mutation is fully penetrant; all persons examined who carry this mutation have FOP. DNA sequencing of genomic DNA supports that there is no locus heterogeneity in FOP (Shore et al., 2006). All known individuals with FOP (classic or atypical) harbor heterozygous activating mutations in ACVR1 (Shore et al., 2006). Phenotypic and genotypic variants of FOP (atypical FOP) have been described (Fukuda et al., 2008; Furuya et al., 2008; Kaplan et al., 2009a; Bocciardi et al., 2009; Carvalho et al., 2010; Petrie et al., 2009; Ratbi et al., 2010; Gregson et al., 2011; Whyte et al., 2012).

Diagnosis of individuals with classic FOP can be made based on clinical evaluation alone, by associating the great toe malformations (Kaplan et al., 2009a). All known individuals with FOP (classic or atypical) harbor heterozygous activating mutations in ACVR1 (Kaplan et al., 2009a).

Clinical diagnosis of FOP can be confirmed by DNA sequence analysis of the ACVR1 gene (Kaplan et al., 2009b). DNA sequencing can also be used to evaluate suspected cases of atypical FOP or FOP variants (Kaplan et al., 2009b).

**Effects of the ACVR1 R206H mutation on BMP signaling**

All of the ACVR1 mutations identified in individuals with classic or atypical FOP occur in highly conserved amino acids, indicating their functional importance (Kaplan et al., 2009a). Protein structure homology modeling of the resulting ACVR1 proteins predicts that these mutant receptors are likely to activate the ACVR1 protein and enhance receptor signaling (Kaplan et al., 2009a; Groppe et al., 2007; Bocciardi et al., 2009; Petrie et al., 2009). Several articles provide excellent reviews of this crucially important signaling pathway (Huse et al., 2001; Derynck and Zhang, 2003; Shi and Massagué, 2003; Gazzaroro and Canalis, 2006; Schmierer and Hill, 2007; Wu and Hill, 2009).

A series of studies demonstrated that signal transduction through the BMP pathway is altered in cells from individuals with FOP (Shafritz et al., 1996; Ahn et al., 2003; Serrano de la Peña et al., 2005; Fiori et al., 2006; Billings et al., 2008), with increased phosphorylation of BMP pathway signaling mediators (BMP-specific Smad proteins and p38MAPK) and increased expression of BMP transcriptional targets in the absence of exogenous BMP ligand. Subsequent in vitro and in vivo analyses demonstrated that BMP signaling activation can be induced by the mutant ACVR1 R206H receptor, which activates BMP signaling without the need for BMP to initiate the signaling cascade, and stimulates an additional increased pathway activation in response to BMP (Shen et al., 2009; Fukuda et al., 2009; van Dinther et al., 2010; Song et al., 2010).

**Animal models of FOP**

Animal models of human genetic disease are vital for validating the exact genetic cause of a condition, for understanding the cellular and molecular mechanisms of disease pathology, and for developing translational strategies to prevent disease and treat affected individuals. An ideal model for FOP would recapitulate the complete FOP phenotype, including the characteristic skeletal malformations and the progressive heterotopic bone formation through an endochondral process.

**BMP implantation**

Pioneering studies (Urist, 1965) led to the identification of BMPs as a family of proteins with the unique capacity to induce the entire program of endochondral bone formation. Directly introducing recombinant BMP proteins to in vivo sites was an initial approach used to develop models of heterotopic ossification (Wozney et al., 1988). The resulting HEO replicates all of the histological stages that are observed in FOP in humans (Glaser et al., 2003). HEO has also been achieved though injection of cells expressing viral constructs that overexpress BMPs (Gugala et al., 2003).

**Modeling FOP in flies and fish**

The evolutionarily conserved BMP signaling pathway has been studied in several highly informative animal models, including *Drosophila melanogaster* (Bangi and Wharton, 2006; Twombly et al., 2009; Le and Wharton, 2012) and the zebrafish, *Danio rerio* (Little and Mullins, 2009), providing important insight into the cellular and molecular mechanisms of BMP signaling and the activities of the ACVR1 receptor and its orthologs in vivo (Little and Mullins, 2009). BMP signaling in mammalian systems has been examined predominantly in the mouse to investigate molecular mechanisms and developmental consequences of signaling.

**Transgenic mice overexpressing BMP: Nse-BMP4**

Transgenic overexpression of BMPs driven through a variety of promoters has not been associated with induction of HEO. An exception is a transgenic mouse that specifically overexpresses BMP4 under control of the neuron-specific enolase (Nse) promoter. Nse-BMP4 mice exhibit FOP-like HEO following an inflammatory
or neuro-inflammatory induction (Kan et al., 2004; Kan et al., 2009). Double transgenic mice overexpressing both Noggin and BMP4 under control of the Nse promoter showed full rescue of heterotopic bone formation (Kan et al., 2004).

**Constitutively active ACVR1 in chick embryos and mice (ALK2Q207D)**

A constitutively active form of ACVR1 has been shown in chick embryos to enhance chondrogenesis, expand cartilage elements, promote joint fusions and induce heterotopic ossification (Zhang et al., 2003). These features are similar to clinical findings in individuals with FOP and occur in response to dysregulation of the BMP signaling pathway (Shore et al., 2006; Kaplan et al., 2009b; Shore and Kaplan, 2010).

Global constitutive activation of ACVR1 in mouse models causes embryonic lethality; however, transgenic mice containing a Cre-inducible constitutively active allele (ALK2Q207D) have been developed (Fukuda et al., 2006). Whereas local Cre-induced postnatal constitutively active ACVR1 expression and BMP signaling did not yield heterotopic ossification (due to lack of an inflammatory stimulus), intramuscular injection of Cre-expressing adenovirus or Cre-expressing adenoviruses and cardiotoxin to induce muscle injury and inflammation resulted in HEO and joint fusion, and caused functional impairment at the site of injection (Yu et al., 2008; Medici et al., 2010).

**A knock-in mouse model of classic FOP (Acvr1R206H/+)**

None of the previously described BMP implantation or transgenic mouse models fully reproduces the congenital phenotype or clinical progression of FOP. Therefore, more faithful disease models are required to understand the molecular and cellular mechanisms that direct HEO in FOP as well as to serve as in vivo systems to test potential therapies.

We recently developed an Acvr1 R206H (c.617G>A) knock-in mouse (Acvr1R206H/+). Unlike transgenic mouse models in which multiple copies of a mutant gene of interest are randomly inserted into the genome, the knock-in technology uses homologous recombination to exchange one of the two normal copies of the mouse Acvr1 gene with a copy of the mutant gene in its endogenous chromosomal location, thereby preserving the precise genetic and molecular regulation of the target gene. Although mouse germline transmission of the Acvr1 R206H mutation is perinatal lethal, mice that are 70-90% chimeric for Acvr1R206H/+ cells exhibit every clinical feature of classic FOP, including embryonic skeletal malformations and postnatal HEO through the identical progression of cellular events seen in the human condition (Chakkalakal et al., 2012).

The Acvr1R206H/+ knock-in mice show fidelity to the human disease and develop FOP, just as in humans, confirming a phenotypic specificity caused by the FOP (R206H) ACVR1 mutation (Chakkalakal et al., 2012). Acvr1R206H/+ knock-in chimeric mice also showed malformations of hind limb first digits, analogous to those seen in individuals with classic FOP. Acvr1R206H/+ mice also showed the full spectrum of congenital malformations observed in individuals with FOP: fusion of subaxial cervical facet joints, costovertebral malformations, and osteochondromas of the proximal tibias and scattered other sites. Importantly, knock-in Acvr1R206H/+ mice also developed spontaneous and injury-induced FOP-like lesions that progressed into mature heterotopic endochondral bone, as in individuals with FOP (Chakkalakal et al., 2012). These mice validate the idea that the recurrent activating mutation of the BMP type I receptor ACVR1 (R206H) occurring in all individuals with classic clinical features of the disease (Shore et al., 2006) is the direct genetic cause of FOP and of all of its resulting pathology (Chakkalakal et al., 2012).

The Acvr1R206H/+ knock-in mouse model sheds light on many important questions about the cellular targets of the FOP mutation, including those that could only be addressed in viable chimeras exhibiting the classic FOP phenotype. In particular, the model confirmed findings that cells of Tie2⁺ origin differentiate to form mature heterotopic bone through an endochondral pathway (Louve et al., 2009; Medici et al., 2010; Wosczyna et al., 2012). Both wild-type and mutant Tie2⁺ mesenchymal progenitor cells
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### Table 1. Comparison of clinical and genetic features of FOP with mouse models of HEO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Human FOP (classic)</th>
<th>BMP implant models</th>
<th>Nse-BMP4 transgenic mice</th>
<th>caALK2 (Q207D) transgenic mice</th>
<th>ALK2 (R206H) knock-in mice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heterotopic endochondral ossification (HEO)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progressive HEO in characteristic anatomic patterns</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malformed great toes</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthotopic fusion of subaxial cervical vertebrae</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costovertebral malformations</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteochondromas</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short broad femoral necks</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early degenerative joint disease</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spontaneous disease progression</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflammation-induced lesion formation</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACVR1 (R206H) canonical mutation</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locus integrity of gene mutation</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stoichiometric fidelity of gene mutation</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germline transmission</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Embryonic lethal</td>
<td>Perinatal lethal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1, unknown; caALK2, constitutively active ALK2.
constitute much of the early anabolic fibroproliferative lesion in the chimeric mice and can differentiate into heterotopic bone. This suggests that the Acvr1R206H mutation is not required in precursor cells, and that wild-type precursor cells can receive instructive signals in a cell non-autonomous manner to guide their differentiation through an endochondral pathway (Chakkalakal et al., 2012). These findings support the physiological importance of designing preventions and treatments that target both cell autonomous and cell non-autonomous responses to BMP signaling (Chakkalakal et al., 2012).

The FOP chimeric knock-in mouse model is novel among animal models in that it recapitulates all of the features of a complex human disease with complete fidelity, and thus provides a valuable tool to address important physiological questions and therapeutic strategies that can be applied to the treatment of FOP and related disorders (Table 1) (Chakkalakal et al., 2012). Together with other models, it will be useful for addressing some of the outstanding questions regarding FOP (Box 2) and for improving treatments (see below).

### Treatment strategies for FOP

Currently there are no effective medical treatment options to prevent the formation of heterotopic bone in FOP. Removal of heterotopic bone is avoided, because surgical trauma to tissues is likely to induce additional bone formation (Kaplan et al., 1993a; Kitterman et al., 2005; Kaplan et al., 2008a). Guidelines for symptomatic management of FOP are available on the IFOPA website (www.ifopa.org). Glucocorticoids are used to manage symptoms of flare-ups affecting major joints of the appendicular skeleton, especially when used immediately after the onset of a flare-up (Kaplan et al., 2008a). Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents, cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitors, mast cell stabilizers and leukotriene signal transduction inhibitors of ACVR1 receptor activity (Glaser et al., 2003; Kaplan et al., 2007b; Yu et al., 2008; Hong and Yu, 2009; Kaplan et al., 2012)

The identification of the recurrent point mutation that causes FOP in all classically affected individuals (~98% of all known cases of FOP) provides a specific target for drug development (Kaplan et al., 2007b). Innovative therapeutic approaches to treating FOP include:

1. Blocking activity of the mutant FOP receptor with soluble BMP antagonists, inhibitory RNA technology, monoclonal antibodies directed against ACVR1, or small-molecule selective signal transduction inhibitors of ACVR1 receptor activity (Glaser et al., 2003; Kaplan et al., 2007b; Yu et al., 2008; Hong and Yu, 2009; Kaplan et al., 2012)

2. Inhibiting the inflammatory and/or neuro-inflammatory triggers of FOP flare-ups (Kan et al., 2011; Salisbury et al., 2011)

3. Diverting the responding mesenchymal stromal cells to a soft tissue fate (Zasloff et al., 1998; Shimono et al., 2011; Kaplan and Shore, 2011)

4. Altering the inductive and/or conducive microenvironments that promote the formation of FOP lesions (Kaplan et al., 2011).

### Conclusion

The discovery of the FOP gene (ACVR1) and the creation of a knock-in animal model of FOP provide important tools to unravel the pathophysiology of this disease, but many outstanding questions remain. As Thomas Maeder wrote, “FOP and its problems lie at the crossroads of several seemingly unrelated disciplines. Answers to questions that FOP poses will also address grander issues of how the body first creates its shape and then knows where to stop, how tissues decide to become what they are, and why they do not turn into something else” (Maeder, 1998).

### Box 2. Outstanding questions

- What are the premonitory biomarkers of FOP flare-ups?
- How does the canonical ACVR1 (ALK2) mutation influence the innate immune system that has been associated with induction of flare-ups?
- How does the BMP pathway drive the progression of the disease, and what other interacting pathways are involved?
- What progenitor cells contribute to HEO?
- What are the most effective therapies to prevent or abort FOP flare-ups?


