Elaidyl-sulfamide, an oleylethanolamide-modelled PPARα agonist, reduces body weight gain and plasma cholesterol in rats
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SUMMARY

We have modelled elaidyl-sulfamide (ES), a sulfamoyl analogue of oleylethanolamide (OEA). ES is a lipid mediator of satiety that works through the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha (PPARα). We have characterised the pharmacological profile of ES (0.3-3 mg/kg body weight) by means of in silico molecular docking to the PPARα receptor, in vitro transcription through PPARα, and in vitro and in vivo administration to obese rats. ES interacts with the binding site of PPARα in a similar way as OEA does, is capable of activating PPARα and also reduces feeding in a dose-dependent manner when administered to food-deprived rats. When ES was given to obese male rats for 7 days, it reduced feeding and weight gain, lowered plasma cholesterol and reduced the plasmatic activity of transaminases, indicating a clear improvement of hepatic function. This pharmacological profile is associated with the modulation of both cholesterol and lipid metabolism regulatory genes, including the sterol response element-binding proteins SREBF1 and SREBF2, and their regulatory proteins INSIG1 and INSIG2, in liver and white adipose tissues. ES treatment induced the expression of thermogenic regulatory genes, including the uncoupling proteins UCP1, UCP2 and UCP3 in brown adipose tissue and UCP3 in white adipose tissue. However, its chronic administration resulted in hyperglycaemia and insulin resistance, which represent a constraint for its potential clinical development.

INTRODUCTION

The obesity epidemic continues to spread throughout the world, and the search for efficient therapies to combat obesity has become a priority for health systems (Stein and Colditz, 2004). However, the lack of drugs capable of reducing body weight gain without inducing adverse effects has led to a situation in which there is almost no available pharmacotherapy for obesity. The failure of the main classical central nervous system targets against obesity (i.e., serotonin transmission-based drugs or cannabinoid receptor antagonists) has prompted interest in the targeting of safer peripheral mechanisms controlling appetite and energy expenditure (Crespillo et al., 2011; de Fonseca, 2008). Among them, the anorectic lipid oleylethanolamide (OEA) has been found to offer interesting properties for pharmaceutical development (Rodríguez de Fonseca et al., 2001; Fu et al., 2003).

OEA is an acylethanolamide similar to the endocannabinoid anandamide (AEA), although AEA does not bind to or activate the cannabinoid CB1 receptor (Rodríguez de Fonseca et al., 2001). OEA is synthesised by a variety of cells, including astrocytes, neurons, enterocytes and adipocytes. In the small intestine, this lipid mediator is reduced by fasting and increased upon re-feeding in an opposite pattern to that exhibited by AEA (Fu et al., 2003; Gómez et al., 2002; Rodríguez de Fonseca et al., 2001). Its main role is to serve as a fat sensor, controlling fat intake and helping the metabolic network to adapt to the dietary fat load (Schwartz et al., 2008). OEA exerts a number of pharmacological effects, including the induction of satiety, the reduction of body weight gain and the stimulation of lipolysis, through the activation of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha (PPARα) (Fu et al., 2003; Rodríguez de Fonseca et al., 2001). OEA binds to this nuclear receptor with high affinity, and its effects are absent in mice lacking PPARα (Fu et al., 2003). OEA reduces triglycerides and cholesterol, and, combined with a cannabinoid antagonist, blocks body weight gain and improves dyslipidaemia in animal models of obesity (Pavon et al., 2008; Serrano et al., 2008a). Additionally, the systemic administration of OEA has been found to modulate glucose homeostasis, as well as both insulin release (Ropero et al., 2009) and insulin signalling in both hepatocytes and adipocytes (González-Yanes et al., 2005; Martínez de Ubago et al., 2009). Although previous studies have reported that OEA actions are dependent on peripheral mechanisms, including the activation of sensory terminals and the release of gut peptides (Gómez et al., 2002; Rodríguez de Fonseca et al., 2001; Serrano et al., 2011), it has been recently described that the hypophagia observed after the...
administration of OEA is partially mediated through the hypothalamic release of oxytocin (Gaetani et al., 2010).

Taking into consideration the pharmacological profile of OEA as an anti-obesity agent, a series of sulfamoyl derivatives of OEA were synthesised in our laboratory (Cano et al., 2007). Some of these compounds were found to induce a potent reduction of food intake and to be activators of PPARα receptors. The most active compounds were derivatives of either stearoyl or oleyl. Because we observed that the trans-isomer of OEA, elaidylethanolamide, was almost as efficient as OEA in reducing food intake (Rodriguez de Fonseca et al., 2001), we have synthesised elaidyl-sulfamide (ES), a trans-analogue of OEA. We analysed the interaction of ES with the PPARα receptor by molecular docking and in vitro studies with co-activators. For the docking studies, we compared the crystallised data obtained with the reference PPARα ligand, GW409544, with OEA and ES (Xu et al., 2001). We also tested the efficacy of ES for inducing hypophagia and reducing plasma lipids. Overall, ES emerges as a useful pharmacological tool for studying the physiological relevance of OEA in the context of obesity. Its chronic administration to obese animals revealed important cellular responses of both liver and adipose tissues for controlling lipid biosynthesis and thermogenesis, as well as the induction of insulin resistance.

RESULTS

ES inhibits feeding

The acute administration of ES induced a dose-dependent reduction of food intake in adult obese male rats that had been deprived of food for 24 hours. ES and oleoyl-sulfamide (OS) were found to be active, but lauryl-sulfamide (LS) was inactive (Fig. 1A).

Two-way ANOVA analysis showed that the effect of ES on food intake was treatment- and dose-dependent [dose/treatment effect: \( F(2,84)=6.11, P<0.01 \)] and time-dependent [time effect: \( F(3,84)=35.46, P<0.0001 \)]. ES at a dose of 3 mg/kg body weight was acutely effective in the first 60 minutes (0’-30’, \( P<0.001 \); 30’-60’, \( P<0.05 \)) (Fig. 1B). We also detected an interaction between dose and time \([F(6,84)=9.18, P<0.0001]\) (i.e. treatment and doses affected the food intake differently depending on the time of administration).

ES was found to be more potent than the natural ligand OEA (Fig. 1C) and its structural analogue OS, as revealed by the IC\(_{50}\) analysis using non-linear regression (Fig. 1D). Elaidylethanolamide (EEA), the trans-analogue of OEA, induced feeding suppression with half the potency of OEA and with a similar potency to that of OS.

Docking of ES on the PPARα receptor is similar to that of OEA and the PPARα agonist GW409544

Docking studies of compound GW409544, OEA and ES were carried out; the most stable solutions are listed in Table 1 (along with docking energy and the percentage of occurrence of the most important hydrogen bond). For the validation of the theoretical model, the experimental structure of compound GW409544 bound to the ligand-binding domain (LBD) of PPARα (Xu et al., 2001) was used. According to the crystal structure, the aromatic rings of GW409544 established several interactions with non-polar amino acids of the PPARα-LBD. Additionally, GW409544 adopted a conformation within the receptor that allowed the carboxylate group to form hydrogen bonds with Ser280, Tyr314, His440 and Tyr464 on the activation function 2 (AF2) helix. This last hydrogen bond stabilised the helix and acted as a molecular switch to activate the transcriptional activity of PPARα. The AutoDock program successfully reproduced the binding mode for GW409544, showing a root mean square deviation (RMSD) of one of the most stable binding conformations (pose #55) of 0.7 Å in comparison with the experimental geometry, and the same hydrogen bond patterns (Fig. 2A).

The 100 most stable docking solutions for OEA and ES were analysed, and the results suggest the existence of different potential

**Fig. 1.** Feeding inhibition induced by the acute administration of elaidyl-sulfamide (ES). (A) Dose response of feeding inhibition induced by the acute administration of either ES, oleoyl-sulfamide (OS) or lauryl sulfamide (LS). Drugs were administered (i.p.) at a dose of 3 mg/kg body weight. Food intake was measured 60 minutes after injections. (B) Time course of the inhibition of food intake induced by ES at doses of 0.3 and 3 mg/kg. (C) Dose response of feeding inhibition of natural oleylethanolamide (OEA) and its trans-isomer elaidylethanolamide (EEA) at a dose of 3 mg/kg body weight. (D) IC\(_{50}\) (mg/kg) and confidence intervals calculated from experimental data. ES was the most potent compound tested, whereas LS was an inactive compound. Data-points and bars indicate the mean ± s.e.m. (n=8 animals/group). Two-way ANOVA and Bonferroni post-hoc test: (*) \( P<0.05 \) and (***) \( P<0.001 \) vs vehicle group.
ES reduces body weight gain and food intake

Over the 7 days of treatment with either vehicle or ES (3 mg/kg body weight), we monitored body weight gain and cumulative food intake (Fig. 6). Two-way ANOVA analysis showed that the effect of ES on body weight was treatment-dependent [treatment effect: \( F(1,112) = 86.05, P < 0.0001 \)]. Glucose levels decreased significantly in ES-treated rats at 5, 10, 15, 30, 45 and 60 minutes after insulin injection (Fig. 5B). Glucose levels changed significantly in a time-dependent manner [time effect: \( F(11,122) = 9.31, P < 0.0001 \)]. In the ITT experiment, we found an interaction between treatment and time \[ F(11,122) = 3.14, P < 0.01 \], indicating that treatment did not have the same effect on glucose levels and, consequently, insulin sensitivity at all values of time.

Acute administration of ES improves glucose tolerance and insulin sensitivity

Because OEA regulates glucose homeostasis (González-Yanes et al., 2005), we checked the effect of acute ES treatment (3 mg/kg body weight) on both glucose tolerance after a parenteral glucose load and insulin sensitivity after an acute insulin administration. In the glucose tolerance test (GTT), ES induced a significant improvement in glucose tolerance when compared with the vehicle-treated animals [treatment effect: \( F(1,112) = 27.36, P < 0.0001 \)], and this effect was prominent at 15, 30, 45, 60 and 120 minutes after glucose load (Fig. 5A). The glucose level changed significantly in a time-dependent manner [time effect: \( F(7,112) = 11.09, P < 0.0001 \)]. We did not find an interaction between treatment and time \[ F(7,112) = 0.59, P = 0.76 \], i.e. the treatment effect on the glucose level was similar over time. In the insulin tolerance test (ITT), the effect of insulin on blood glucose differed significantly between the vehicle and ES [treatment effect: \( F(1,112) = 86.05, P < 0.0001 \)]. Glucose levels decreased significantly in ES-treated rats at 5, 10, 15, 30, 45 and 60 minutes after insulin injection. In the ITT experiment, we found an interaction between treatment and time [treatment effect: \( F(7,112) = 3.14, P < 0.01 \)], indicating that treatment did not have the same effect on glucose levels and, consequently, insulin sensitivity at all values of time.

ES is not an aversive drug

To test whether the effective dose of ES (3 mg/kg body weight) reduced food intake by inducing a nonspecific state of behavioural suppression, a conditioned taste aversion test was performed in Wistar rats that were fed a regular laboratory diet (Fig. 4). The systemic administration of lithium chloride provoked conditioned taste aversion in rats and significantly reduced the saccharin consumption compared with water intake \( (P<0.01, \text{Student's } t\text{-test}) \). By contrast, rats treated with ES or vehicle exhibited a natural preference for a saccharin solution \( (P<0.05) \), suggesting that ES does not induce an aversive effect that might contribute to the reduction of food intake.

Acute administration of ES improves glucose tolerance and insulin sensitivity

Because OEA regulates glucose homeostasis (González-Yanes et al., 2005), we checked the effect of acute ES treatment (3 mg/kg body weight) on both glucose tolerance after a parenteral glucose load and insulin sensitivity after an acute insulin administration. In the glucose tolerance test (GTT), ES induced a significant improvement in glucose tolerance when compared with the vehicle-treated animals [treatment effect: \( F(1,112) = 27.36, P < 0.0001 \)], and this effect was prominent at 15, 30, 45, 60 and 120 minutes after glucose load (Fig. 5A). The glucose level changed significantly in a time-dependent manner [time effect: \( F(7,112) = 11.09, P < 0.0001 \)]. We did not find an interaction between treatment and time \[ F(7,112) = 0.59, P = 0.76 \], i.e. the treatment effect on the glucose level was similar over time. In the insulin tolerance test (ITT), the effect of insulin on blood glucose differed significantly between the vehicle and ES [treatment effect: \( F(1,112) = 86.05, P < 0.0001 \)]. Glucose levels decreased significantly in ES-treated rats at 5, 10, 15, 30, 45 and 60 minutes after insulin injection. In the ITT experiment, we found an interaction between treatment and time [treatment effect: \( F(7,112) = 3.14, P < 0.01 \)], indicating that treatment did not have the same effect on glucose levels and, consequently, insulin sensitivity at all values of time.

ES triggers the interaction of PPARα with co-activators in solution

Because docking analysis indicated that ES might act as a PPARα agonist, we further explored this possibility by in vitro GST pull-down assay to determine which compound can induce a physical interaction between PPARα and coactivator A (CoA). Fig. 3 shows that both OEA and WY14653 behaved as PPARα agonists, in accordance with previous reports (Cano et al., 2007). ES was as effective as OEA and the substitution of the sulfamide moiety with a propylsulfamide group (elaidyl-propyl-sulfamide; EPS) abolished its ability to activate PPARα. The reduction of the acyl side chain, as in the lauryl-sulfamide column (LS column), also blunted the transcriptional activity (Fig. 3). Thus, the behavioural and biochemical profiles of ES, OEA and LS were tightly correlated, suggesting, by structural modelling, that OEA and ES can act as PPARα agonists.
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weight loss was significant from day 4 to the end of the study ($P<0.001$) (Fig. 6A). We found an interaction between treatment and time [$F(6,98)=5.94$, $P<0.0001$], indicating that the treatment did not have the same effect on body weight loss at all time points. ES also reduced cumulative food intake [treatment effect: $F(1,98)=19.2$, $P<0.01$] more markedly in the last 2 days of the study (Fig. 6B). Two-way ANOVA analysis also showed a time effect [$F(6,98)=297.26$, $P<0.0001$], but no interaction was detected between factors [$F(6,98)=1.22$, $P=0.30$], indicating that treatment has the same effect over time.

Chronic ES administration reduces circulating cholesterol levels and increases both glucose and insulin levels

The metabolites studied in plasma were glucose, urea, uric acid, high density lipoprotein (HDL)-cholesterol, triglycerides and total cholesterol. As for the liver enzymes analysed, we included the transaminases GOT, GPT and GGT (Table 2). The results of the ES treatment showed a significant decrease in the circulating levels of total cholesterol ($P<0.01$) found 2 hours after the last injection of the 7-day treatment with ES, and this decrease was not derived from a reduction in HDL-cholesterol levels. The amount of total fat in the liver was similar between the ES and vehicle groups. The plasma activity of the liver enzymes GOT ($P<0.001$), GPT and GGT (both at $P<0.01$) was reduced in comparison with the vehicle group. Basal glucose levels were increased after treatment with ES ($P<0.05$). The chronic administration of ES induced hyperglycaemia and increased plasma insulin levels ($P<0.05$).

ES modulates cholesterol synthesis regulatory genes in liver and WAT and increases thermogenic regulatory genes in WAT and BAT

To analyse the metabolic response to a 7-day treatment with ES (3 mg/kg body weight), we studied the expression of specific genes in liver, white adipose tissue (WAT), brown adipose tissue (BAT), skeletal muscle and the hypothalamus. The selected enzymes and regulatory factors represent the selection of molecules implicated in lipid and cholesterol metabolism and thermogenesis that have a putative role in energy metabolism. Clearly, the physiological differences between liver, WAT and BAT meant that the molecules analysed for gene expression were not the same in these tissues. So, we chose CPT1, an enzyme involved in the regulation of β-oxidation in both liver (isozyme a) and WAT (isozyme b), for our analysis. INSIG1 and SREBF1 have recently been shown to be crucial regulators of cholesterol biosynthesis. Uncoupling proteins
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(UCPs) in BAT are implicated in the generation of heat by non-shivering thermogenesis.

The gene expression levels of factors and enzymes involved in cholesterol metabolism and \( \beta \)-oxidation in the liver and WAT showed significant changes as a result of ES treatment (Fig. 7). Most genes studied in the liver showed decreased levels of expression after ES treatment; this decrease was statistically significant for \( \text{Ppar} \) \( \alpha \) (\( \text{P} < 0.01 \)). This result suggests a desensitisation of this receptor, as well as \( \text{Cpt1a} \) (\( \text{P} < 0.01 \)) and \( \text{Acox1} \) (\( \text{P} < 0.05 \)), indicating a reduction of \( \beta \)-oxidation (Fig. 7A). Moreover, \( \text{Insig1} \) gene expression was significantly increased in the liver (\( \text{P} < 0.05 \)). The upregulated expression of the \( \text{Insig1} \) gene was associated with a decrease in \( \text{Srebf1} \) expression (\( \text{P} < 0.05 \)). Thus, a unique combination of effects has been described as a hallmark for the inhibition of cholesterol biosynthesis, supporting the plasma reduction of this lipid after repeated ES treatments. With respect to gluconeogenesis, ES induced a decrease in phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PCK1) in the liver, suggesting that the hyperglycaemia observed is not a result of the enhanced production of glucose in the liver.

Regarding the WAT, the gene expression of both \( \text{Insig1} \) (\( \text{P} < 0.01 \)) and \( \text{Cpt1b} \) (\( \text{P} < 0.001 \)) was significantly increased after ES treatment (Fig. 7B). No other significant changes were detected in WAT. Together, these data suggest the reduced production of cholesterol and an increase in the \( \beta \)-oxidation of fatty acids in WAT.

Genes implicated in the control of thermogenesis, such as the UCPs, were analysed in WAT, BAT and skeletal muscle. Among the three UCP genes (\( \text{Ucp1/2/3} \)) analysed, only \( \text{Ucp3} \) gene expression exhibited a significant increase in WAT after ES treatment (Fig. 7B). Interestingly, these three UCP genes were highly expressed in BAT after ES treatment (Fig. 7C). No changes in UCP gene expression were detected in skeletal muscle, but a decrease in \( \text{Ppara} \) expression was statistically significant (Fig. 7D). ES
treatment did not affect the mRNA level of *Ppar* in the hypothalamus (Fig. 7E).

**DISCUSSION**

Five relevant findings can be highlighted in the present study. First, ES is a drug modelled upon OEA that retains its ability to interact with the PPARα receptor as an agonist. Second, like OEA, ES is able to acutely reduce food intake and to produce a reduction of body weight gain when given repeatedly to obese rats. Third, ES administration reduces the plasma circulation of cholesterol by regulating cholesterol-synthesis-controlling genes, including *Srebf1* and *Insig1*, in the liver and WAT. Fourth, ES increases the expression of the thermogenic genes – *Ucp1*, *Ucp2* and *Ucp3* – in BAT. Finally, the chronic administration of ES induces insulin resistance, as revealed by the enhanced hyperglycaemia and hyperinsulinaemia observed after a 7-day treatment. Overall, these findings implicate ES as an attractive tool for understanding the role of OEA and the PPARα receptor in the context of obesity, although the induction of insulin resistance is a serious limitation for its development as an anti-obesity drug.

Considering the ability of ES to bind to the PPARα receptor, docking studies revealed that ES fulfils most structural requirements found in the reference agonist, GW409544. Moreover, docking studies revealed that the number of hydrogen bonds that ES establishes with the relevant amino acids of the active centre of the LBD provides more stability to ES binding than those modelled for OEA. GST pull-down studies confirmed that these ES properties trigger physical interaction between ES and co-activators in solution in a similar way to that observed for OEA and the reference agonist GW409544. Simple structural modifications of ES molecules that would theoretically disrupt those hydrogen bonds, such as the addition of a propyl moiety to the sulfamide, led to the generation of inactive drugs.

Food intake studies revealed that ES is an active feeding suppressant that is slightly more potent than OEA. Structural requirements for feeding suppression paralleled those found for the interaction and activation of the PPARα receptor. This finding further supports the role of the PPARα receptor in the mediation of feeding suppression induced by OEA and related drugs. However, repeated administration of ES does not produce major changes in the total amount of food eaten, despite the clear reduction of body weight gain. Several explanations could account for this paradoxical effect. First, in the absence of data regarding the metabolic stability of ES, it is possible that ES actions on appetite might vanish after a few hours, producing a rebound of feeding, as described for short-
acting feeding suppressants. Further research is needed to clarify this point. Second, the ability of ES to activate the PPARα receptor and the stability of this activation provided by its chemical interactions with the LBD might induce counter-regulatory mechanisms that deactivate the permanent active state of PPARα receptors induced by ES. In support of this finding, we found that chronic ES treatment induced the downregulation of PPARα receptor gene expression in the liver and skeletal muscle, as well as the downregulation of genes regulated by the PPARα receptor, such as *Acox1* and *Srebf1* (Fu et al., 2003; König et al., 2007; König et al., 2009; Rodríguez de Fonseca et al., 2001).

Nevertheless, the slight reduction in food intake observed after repeated ES treatments was associated with a reduction in body weight that appears even before the observation of reduced cumulative food intake. Weight loss is associated with several findings. First, in WAT we detected an increase in *Cpt1b* gene expression, a relevant adipose isoform of CPT1 (Esser et al., 1996), indicating activation of the β-oxidation of fatty acids (mainly long-chain fatty acids), which leads to greater energy expenditure in WAT (Schreurs et al., 2010). A similar profile of *Cpt1b* induction in WAT has been recently described for the structurally related compound oleoyl-estrone (Salas et al., 2007), which has also been shown to reduce body weight gain (Salas et al., 2007). Because malonyl-CoA inhibits CPT1 activity, the increase of *Cpt1b* expression in WAT supports the trend of increased expression of *Scd1* and *Fasn*, enzymes responsible for the catalysis of a rate-limiting step in the synthesis of fatty acids from malonyl-CoA. Further studies need to address the action of ES on these enzymes in the adipose tissue; although it has been reported that OEA is a potent inhibitor of liver *Scd1* expression (Serrano et al., 2008b). In contrast, we observed a decrease of *Cpt1a* and *Acox1* gene expression in the liver, suggesting downregulation of the β-oxidation of fatty acids, which supports the trend of downregulated *Scd1* and *Fasn* gene expression. It should be noted that *Cpt1b* was shown to be 30- to 100-fold more sensitive to malonyl-CoA inhibition than was *Cpt1a*. Consequently, malonyl-CoA inhibition is an interesting target for regulating *Cpt1b* in WAT for the treatment of metabolic disorders (Shi et al., 2000; Schreurs et al., 2010).

Although there are no available data regarding the induction of thermogenesis by OEA, we have observed that ES induces the expression of the three UCPs in BAT and also induces *Ucp3* in WAT. We hypothesised that the high expression of the thermogenic regulatory genes *Ucp1*, *Ucp2* and *Ucp3* in BAT after a 7-day treatment with ES might be a key factor for the significant reduction of body weight via a PPARα-independent mechanism.

ES administration also reduces plasma cholesterol levels and improves the plasma parameters used as biomarkers of liver dysfunction, including the activity of the transaminases. This general protective effect of OEA on the liver has been previously described (Serrano et al., 2008a). To further investigate whether ES is capable of influencing fatty acid metabolism and cholesterol homeostasis, we studied two groups of genes that co-ordinately regulate de novo lipogenesis, *Srebf1* and *Insig1*, and cholesterol biosynthesis, *Srebf2* and *Insig2* (Dong and Tang, 2010; Raghew et al., 2008). Our data indicate that ES is a potent inducer of *Insig1* and *Insig2* in the liver, and *Insig1* in WAT. This capability is probably mediated by the increased levels of circulating insulin. Although this enhanced expression in the adipose tissue could account for the reduction of body weight gain through its inhibitory actions on lipogenesis and preadipocyte differentiation (Li et al., 2003; Takaih et al., 2004), it might account for the reduced cholesterol biosynthesis via *Srebf2* downregulation in the liver. *Insig1* and *Insig2* expression induced by ES might result in the retention of SREBF1 and SREBP2 proteins in the nuclear and endoplasmic reticulum membranes, thereby preventing cleavage of these proteins and the subsequent activation of lipogenic and sterol pathways. *INSIG1* and *INSIG2* also bind to the cholesterol biosynthetic enzyme HMG-CoA reductase, leading to its ubiquitylation and further degradation (Dong and Tang, 2010). Thus, the marked increase of *Insig1* and *Insig2* expression induced by ES in the liver, together with the reduction of *Srebf1* and *Srebf2*, cooperates in the reduction of cholesterol biosynthesis without affecting HDL levels. This finding is relevant in the context of obesity associated with dyslipaemia.

Finally, ES administration also regulates glucose homeostasis, although chronic administration results in tolerance and the reversal of the acute actions. Acute ES treatment improves glucose handling and increases insulin sensitivity, suggesting increased insulin signalling. In fact, insulin levels were found to be elevated after a 7-day treatment with ES, which was associated with an increase in glycaemia, as has been described after OEA administration (Gonzalez-Yanes et al., 2005). This increase in glycaemia is not related to enhanced hepatic gluconeogenesis: the expression of key enzymes such as PCK1 are downregulated after chronic treatment with ES. However, the increased glycaemia could be related to potential inhibition by ES of insulin signalling in target organs, as was described for OEA in liver and adipose tissue (Gonzalez-Yanes et al., 2005; Martinez de Ubago et al., 2009). Although this hypothesis remains to be assessed for ES, it is reasonable to think that this compound could mimic the actions of OEA on insulin signalling. OEA reduces glucose uptake activated by insulin through a JNK- and p38-dependent phosphorylation of glucose transporter GLUT4 (Gonzalez-Yanes et al., 2005). In hepatoma cells, OEA inhibits insulin receptor phosphorylation through the same pathway, blunting the response to insulin. This induction of insulin resistance is a serious limitation for the development ES as an anti-obesity drug. Further studies are needed to reveal the mechanisms mediating this side effect.

In conclusion, we have synthesised a sulfamoyl OEA analogue that retains the main properties of the natural anorectic lipid, and we have helped to reveal the mechanisms mediating its hypolipemiant actions, as well as its effects on the reduction of body weight gain. Although this new class of drug might have offered a new therapeutic opportunity for the treatment of complicated obesity, the induction of insulin resistance after the chronic administration of the sulfamoyl OEA analogue limits its pharmaceutical development.

**METHODS**

**Drugs**

Oleoylethanolamide (OEA), elaidylethanolamide (EEA), oleoyl-sulfamide (OS), elaidyl-sulfamide (ES), elaidyl-propyl-sulfamide (EPS) and lauryl-sulfamide (LS) were synthesised in the laboratory as previously described (Cano et al., 2007; Rodríguez de Fonseca et al., 2001). For in vitro studies, the compounds were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). For in vivo treatments, they were dissolved with 5% Tween®-80 and 95% sterile saline as the vehicle.
Experimental animals
Experiments were performed on 6- to 7-month-old male Wistar rats weighing 475-525 g (Charles Rivers Laboratories, Barcelona, Spain). The rats were individually housed in standard cages and maintained in the standardised conditions of the animal facilities (Servicio de Estabulario, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad de Málaga, Spain) at 20±2°C room temperature, 40±5% relative humidity and a 12-hour light-dark cycle (lights off 8:00 p.m.) with dawn and dusk effect. Water and standard chow (Prolab RMH 2500, 2.9 kcal/g) were available ad libitum, unless otherwise indicated for the specific experimental procedures. The experiments performed in this study are in compliance with Spanish regulations concerning the protection of experimental animals (Real Decreto 1201/2005, October 21, 2005; BOE no. 252), as well as with the European Communities Council Directive of November 24, 1986 (86/609/EEC).

Feeding experiments
Acute treatment
To habituate the animals, 72 hours before testing with drugs, the animals were food deprived for 24 hours with ad libitum access to water. The bedding material was removed from the cage, and a small can containing food pellets was placed inside the cage for 4 hours. When this initial test was finished, the rats were maintained under a free-feeding period of 48 hours. After this time, the animals were definitively food-deprived for 24 hours with free access to water during the food intake studies. The acute effects of OEA, EEA, ES, OS and LS on feeding behaviour were analysed in Wistar rats (n=8 animals/group). Drugs (OEA, EEA, ES, OS and LS at a dose of 3 mg/kg body weight; ES at doses of 0.3 and 3 mg/kg body weight) or vehicle (5% Tween®-20 in sterile saline solution) were administered (i.p.) at 9 a.m., which corresponded to 30 minutes before the beginning of the studies and, consequently, the beginning of food exposure. Animals were immediately returned to their home cage with no bedding material. Finally, a can with a measured amount of food (usually 30-40 g) and a bottle containing 250 ml of fresh water were placed in the cage at time 0. Food pellets and food spills were weighed at 30, 60, 120 and 240 minutes.

Subchronic treatment
Male Wistar rats (n=8/group) weighing ~300 g (12 weeks old) were individually housed and had ad libitum access to standard chow for 4 months. When the weight curves achieved ~500 g, the cumulative food intake and the body weight gain were monitored daily prior to ES injection for 7 days. ES at a dose of 3 mg/kg body weight and vehicle (5% Tween®-20 in sterile saline solution) were administered daily at 9 a.m. by i.p. injection for 7 days. After subchronic treatment, the animals were killed by decapitation 2 hours after the last injection of ES. Blood, brain, liver, WAT, BAT and skeletal muscle were collected and quickly frozen.

Docking studies
Theoretical calculations
All calculations were performed on an Intel® Core™ 2 Duo T9300 workstation using Linux Debian version 4.0, kernel 2.6.18.

Molecular docking study
Docking experiments with the compounds were carried out by means of the Autodock v3.0.5 package (Morris et al., 1998). For the calculations, a grid box with dimensions of 60×60×60 points was constructed around the binding site based on the location of the co-crystallised ligand GW409544 (coordinates: x=–17.866; y=–13.599; z=–3.726). The dimensions of the axis were 22.5 Å, and the spacing of the grid points was 0.375 Å (da Costa Leite et al., 2005). The Lamarckian genetic algorithm (LGA) procedure was employed, the docking runs were set to 100, the maximum number of generations was set to 27,000 and the maximum number of energy evaluations was set to 25,000,000. The rest of the parameters were taken as default.

Analysis of the binding model
To select the binding mode of each compound, we applied a qualitative analysis based on the location/orientation of the best 100 docked conformations given by Autodock in relation to the co-crystallised ligand GW409544 (Ali et al., 2008). Hydrogen bonds and the properties of the ligand-receptor interaction in the binding mode of each compound were evaluated by using Accelrys Discovery Studio® version 2.5 (Accelrys, Inc., San Diego, CA). Measurements of the docked conformations RMSD were carried out through ADT v1.5.

GST pull-down
In vitro translation and bacterial overexpression of proteins
In vitro-translated wild-type human PPARα was generated by coupled in vitro transcription/translation (TNT system) using rabbit reticulocyte lysate as recommended by the supplier (Promega, Mannheim, Germany). Part of PPARα was translated in the presence of [35S]-methionine. The specific concentration of the receptor proteins was adjusted to approximately 4 ng/ml after taking the individual number of methionine residues per protein into account. Bacterial overexpression of GST-TIF2 was achieved in the Escherichia coli BL21(DE3)pLysS strain (Stratagene, Heidelberg, Germany). GST-TIF2 and GST-fusion protein expression were stimulated with 0.25 mM isopropyl-b-D-
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The fusion proteins were purified and immobilised by glutathione-Sepharose 4B beads (Amersham-Pharmacia, Upppsala, Sweden) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

Plasmids

Full-length cDNAs for human PPARα were subcloned into the T7/SV40-promoter-driven pSG5 expression vector (Stratagene, Heidelberg, Germany). The same constructs were used for both the T7-RNA-polymerase-driven in vitro transcription/translation of the respective cDNAs and for the viral-promoter-driven overexpression of the respective proteins in mammalian cells. The nuclear receptor interaction domains of human TIF2 (spanning from amino acids 646 to 926) were subcloned into the GST-fusion protein vector pGEX (Amersham-Pharmacia, Upppsala, Sweden).

GST pull-down

Assays were performed with 50 ml of a 50% Sepharose bead slurry of GST or GST-TIF2 (pre-blocked with 1 mg/ml bovine serum albumin) and 20 ng of in-vitro-translated [35S]-labelled PPARα in the presence or absence of their respective compounds. Proteins were incubated in the immunoprecipitation buffer containing 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.9), 200 mM KCl, 1 mM EDTA, 4 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 0.1% Nonidet P-40 and 10% glycerol for 20 minutes at 30°C. In-vitro-translated proteins that were not bound to GST-fusion proteins were washed away with immunoprecipitation buffer. GST-fusion protein-bound [35S]-labelled nuclear receptors were resolved by electrophoresis through 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gels and quantified on a Fuji FLA3000 reader (Tokyo, Japan) using Image Gauge software (Fuji Photo Film Co., Tokyo, Japan).

Conditioned taste aversion

Wistar rats (n=8 per treatment group) were deprived of water for 24 hours and then accustomed to drinking from a graded bottle during a 30-minute test period for 4 days. On day 5, water was substituted with a 0.1% saccharin solution and, 30 minutes later, the animals received injections (i.p.) of vehicle, ES (3 mg/kg body weight) or lithium chloride (0.4 M, 7.5 ml/kg body weight). During a 30-minute test period for 4 days. On day 5, water was administered (i.p.) in 24-hour-fasted rats. Twenty-five minutes later, tail blood samples were collected (basal level, 0 minutes). Tail blood samples were then collected at 5, 10, 15, 30, 60 and 120 minutes after insulin administration (i.p.) (Actrapid, Novo Nordisk Pharma, Madrid, Spain) at a dose of 1 IU/kg body weight. Glucose levels were determined using a standard glucose oxidase method, as described previously (González-Yanes et al., 2005). ITT experiments were performed with groups of eight animals (n=8).

Metabolic parameter analysis

Blood samples from rats treated with ES for 7 days were collected into tubes containing EDTA-2Na (1 mg/ml blood). The samples were immediately centrifuged, and the plasma was aliquoted and stored at –80°C until the determination of biochemical parameters. The following plasma metabolites were measured in plasma: glucose, triglycerides, total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, urea, uric acid, glutamate-pyruvate transaminase (GPT), glutamate-oxaloacetate transaminase (GOT), gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase (GGT) and insulin. The metabolites were analysed using commercial kits according to the manufacturer’s instructions and a Hitachi 737 Automatic Analyzer (Hitachi Ltd, Tokyo, Japan). The insulin levels were measured using a commercial rat insulin ELISA kit (Mercodia, Sweden).

Total fat extraction in liver

Total lipids were extracted from frozen liver samples with chloroform-methanol (2:1, v/v) and butylated hydroxytoluene (0.025%, w/v) according to the Bligh and Dyer method. After two centrifugation steps (2800 g, 4°C for 10 minutes), the lower phase containing lipids was extracted with a Pasteur pipette. Nitrogen was used to dry each sample, and the liver fat content was expressed as a percentage of the tissue weight (Alonso et al., 2012).

RNA isolation and quantitative real-time PCR analysis

RNA from the liver, WAT (visceral fat), BAT, skeletal muscle and hypothalamus samples were extracted using the Trizol® method, according to the manufacturer’s instruction (Gibco BRL, Life Technologies, Baltimore, MD). Tissue portions (100-300 mg) were placed into 1-1.5 ml of Trizol Reagent (Invitrogen, CA) and homogenised with an IKA-Ultra-Turrax® T8 (IKA-Werke GmbH, Staufen, Germany). To ensure the purity of the mRNA sequences and exclude proteins and molecules smaller than 200 nucleotides, RNA samples were isolated with an RNeasy Minelute Cleanup Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), which included digestion with DNase I column (RNase-free DNase Set, Qiagen), according to the manufacturers’ instructions. The total mRNA concentrations were quantified using a spectrophotometer (Nanodrop 1000 Spectrophotometer, Thermo Scientific, Rochester, NY) to ensure A260/280 ratios of 1.8 to 2.0.

Reverse transcription was carried out from 1 mg of mRNA using the Transcriptor Reverse Transciptase kit and random hexamer primers (Transcriptor RT, Roche Diagnostic GmbH, Mannheim, Germany). Negative controls included reverse transcription reactions that omitted the reverse transcriptase. Quantitative real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (quantitative RT-PCR) was performed using an ABI PRISM® 7300 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) and the FAM...
**TRANSLATIONAL IMPACT**

**Clinical issue**

Obesity and its complications (including diabetes, dyslipaemia and cardiovascular complications) are becoming a major worldwide epidemic. Because safe and effective pharmacological treatments for obesity are lacking, major efforts are being dedicated to identifying new targets and strategies for new anti-obesity drugs. This challenge involves the synthesis of new chemical scaffolds and the validation of biological targets. One of these targets is the oleoylthanolamide–peroxisome-proliferator-activated-receptor-α (OEA–PPARα) signalling system, which is involved in appetite regulation, fat intake and metabolism. Chemical modelling of OEA might help to understand whether OEA-based agents are useful for the development of new anti-obesity drugs.

**Results**

In this study, the authors show that sulfamoyl derivatives modelled on OEA are effective at reducing appetite in rats. Among them, elaidyl-sulfamide (ES), the C18 trans-sulfamoyl analogue of OEA, was the most potent feeding suppressant. This compound was found to interact with the active centre of the PPARα receptor. Chronic administration of ES to obese male rats reduced body weight, food intake and plasma cholesterol. In addition, the presence of markers of hepatic dysfunction drastically reduced after ES treatment. Genetic expression analyses indicated that ES modulates the expression of genes involved in the regulation of cholesterol synthesis, favouring the inhibition of hepatic dysfunction drastically reduced after ES treatment. Genetic expression analyses indicated that ES modulates the expression of genes involved in the regulation of cholesterol synthesis, favouring the inhibition of this process. However, chronic administration of ES induced insulin resistance, which might be related to the well-known ability of OEA to negatively modulate insulin signalling in the liver and adipose tissue.

**Implications and future directions**

This study provides proof of concept that chemical modelling of the lipid mediator OEA is a useful strategy for understanding its role in obesity. Determining whether OEA-modelled compounds also reduce body weight, food intake and plasma cholesterol in humans requires further preclinical development and eventually clinical trials. The observed induction of insulin resistance in the rat might be a serious limitation for the pharmaceutical development of OEA-modelled compounds; further preclinical studies using human tissues or cell lines are needed to establish whether OEA-modelled drugs also inhibit insulin signalling in humans. Additional studies are also necessary to analyse the effect of these compounds on diet-induced and genetic obesity. The effect of these compounds on motivational and affective behaviour must also be addressed to rule out unwanted side-effects that have limited the success of previous anti-obesity agents.

**Statistical analysis**

All data for the graphs and tables are expressed as the mean ± standard error of the mean (s.e.m.). The different experiments included eight animals per group according to the assay. Statistical analysis of the results, IC50 calculations and curve fitting by non-linear regression were performed using GraphPad Prism version 5.04 software (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA). The significance of differences between groups was evaluated by two-way ANOVA (treatment and time factors) followed by a post hoc analysis for multiple comparisons (Bonferroni test) or Student’s t-test. A P-value below 0.05 was considered statistically significant.
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